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Abstract

Antibacterial resistance necessitates the development of novel treatment methods for infections. Protein aggregates have recently been applied as antimicrobials to disrupt bacterial homeostasis. Past work on protein aggregates has focused on genome mining for aggregation-prone sequences in bacterial genomes rather than on rational design of aggregating antimicrobial peptides. Here, we use a synthetic biology approach to design an artificial gene encoding a de novo aggregating antimicrobial peptide. This artificial gene, *opaL* (overexpressed protein aggregator Lipophilic), disrupts bacterial homeostasis by expressing extremely hydrophobic peptides. When this hydrophobic sequence is disrupted by acidic residues, consequent aggregation and antimicrobial effect decreases. Further, we developed a probiotic delivery system using the broad-host range conjugative plasmid RK2 to transfer the gene from donor to recipient bacteria. We utilize RK2 to mobilize a shuttle plasmid carrying *opaL* by adding the RK2 origin of transfer. We show that *opaL* is non-toxic to the donor, allowing for maintenance and transfer since its expression is under control of a promoter with a recipient-specific T7 RNA polymerase. Upon mating of donor and recipient *Escherichia coli*, we observe selective growth repression in T7 polymerase-expressing recipients. This technique could be used to target desired pathogens by selecting pathogen-specific promoters to control T7 RNA polymerase expression and provides a basis for the design and delivery of aggregating antimicrobial peptides.
Introduction

Antibacterial resistance represents a growing public health threat. Resistant bacteria can cause infections that are untreatable with most or all current antibiotics. For instance, carbapenem resistant enterobacteriaceae have been reported in nations including the United States, India, the UK, and others.\(^1\) Here, we develop a novel de novo aggregating antimicrobial peptide (AMP) and repurpose an RK2-mediated bacterial conjugation system to deliver the gene encoding this peptide. The toxicity of aggregating peptides arises from disruptive interaction of exposed hydrophobic side chains with cellular proteins, induction of oxidative stress, overload of proteolytic machinery, and co-aggregation with endogenous macromolecules.\(^2\) Instead of binding a particular macromolecular target site, aggregating AMPs cause widespread disruption of homeostasis in bacteria, potentially slowing resistance since many resistant phenotypes involve target site alterations. Bednarska et al. and Khodaparast et al. demonstrated the promise of aggregating AMPs\(^3,4\) using peptides derived from existing bacterial protein sequences by predicting aggregation propensity with the statistical thermodynamics algorithm TANGO.\(^5\) A small fraction of the screened peptides showed significant antibacterial activity. However, the approaches were limited since the peptides were derived from naturally-occurring bacterial sequences and required screening of numerous candidates. Even the successful peptides were shown to lose function upon sequence rearrangements, indicating that they would not be amenable to directed evolution efforts which otherwise could restore activity if resistance was to arise.

The broad-host-range conjugative plasmid RK2 was originally isolated from antibiotic resistant \textit{Pseudomonas aeruginosa} and \textit{Enterobacter aerogenes} strains at the Birmingham Accident Hospital in 1969.\(^6\) Under optimal conditions, RK2, and its shuttle plasmids have very high conjugation frequencies.\(^7-9\) For instance, RK2 has been shown to mobilize shuttle plasmids
from donor to recipient *E. coli* and from donor *E. coli* to recipient *P. aeruginosa* with conjugation frequencies of 8 and 0.2 transconjugants per donor (respectively). The RK2 plasmid can be efficiently mobilized among most gram-negative and many gram-positive bacteria. The pET11a backbone was chosen over RK2 itself so that its higher copy number of 15-20 copies per cell (with its pBR322 OriR) relative to RK2’s 4-7 copies per cell and strong T7 promoter would maximize OpaL expression in targeted bacteria. This system might be particularly useful for treating infections which involve biofilms since the rate of bacterial conjugation greatly increases in biofilms, even up to 1,000-fold. Precedent for such conjugative delivery can be found in studies that have used bacterial conjugation to deliver antibacterial CRISPR systems and toxic hyper-replicating plasmids.

We rationally design a de novo aggregating antimicrobial peptide, OpaL (Overexpressed protein aggregator Lipophilic), by choosing numerous hydrophobic amino acid residues to maximize protein aggregation (Fig. 1A). To the best of our knowledge, OpaL represents the first aggregating antimicrobial peptide designed without using preexisting sequences found in nature. We show that OpaL causes aggregation in bacteria and exhibits a bactericidal effect. We use the conjugative plasmid RK2 to transfer a pET11a-*opaL* shuttle plasmid from donor to recipient bacteria and target the strain of interest via a strain-specific promoter. Our work provides a new therapeutic strategy with potential clinical applications.

**Results and Discussion**

We rationally design a de novo aggregating antimicrobial peptide, OpaL (Overexpressed protein aggregator Lipophilic), by choosing numerous hydrophobic amino acid residues to maximize protein aggregation (Fig. 1A). To the best of our knowledge, OpaL represents the first
aggregating antimicrobial peptide designed without using preexisting sequences found in nature. We show that OpaL causes aggregation in bacteria and exhibits a bactericidal effect. We use the conjugative plasmid RK2 to transfer a pET11a-opaL shuttle plasmid from donor to recipient bacteria and target the strain of interest via a strain-specific promoter. Our work provides a new therapeutic strategy with potential clinical applications.

OpaL’s sequence was manually constructed while considering design parameters around hydrophobic aggregation and intracellular half-life (Fig. 1A). To promote insolubility, 139 amino acids of OpaL’s 185 residue sequence (75.1%) possess hydrophobic side chains. These include 4 (2.2%) alanine, 15 (8.1%) cysteine, 53 (28.6%) isoleucine, 17 (9.2%) methionine, 14 (7.6%) proline, and 36 (19.5%) valine (Fig. 1B). As long stretches of hydrophobic residues further promote aggregation, most of OpaL consists of consecutive nonpolar amino acid sequences, though intermittent glycines were included since increased conformational flexibility has also been known to increase aggregate formation when hydrophobic amino acids are prevalent. OpaL was made to be relatively large (with a molecular weight of about 18.5 kDa) compared to many antimicrobial peptides because amorphous aggregates are favored for larger proteins. Terminal polar amino acids (serine, asparagine, and threonine) were incorporated to avoid degradation by proteases which vastly shorten protein half-lives by recognizing bulky terminal hydrophobic residues. N-formylmethionine was still allowed at the N-terminus since, despite its hydrophobicity, N-formylmethionine promotes long protein half-lives. Since the N-formylmethionine found in bacterial proteins is often cleaved by proteases, the next several chosen residues were also among those which promote long half-lives. OpaL’s hydrophobicity-centered design leaves it amenable to a rich array of sequence variations since a large number of possible rearrangements would still retain OpaL’s extremely hydrophobic character and therefore opens the
door to the development of a new class of aggregating antimicrobial peptide.

We also created a similar 184 residue control peptide called OpaLacidic which possesses markedly less potential for hydrophobic aggregation. OpaLacidic includes 30 aspartic acid residues comprising 16.3% of the sequence (supplementary Fig. S1). To facilitate charge-charge repulsion and interfere with hydrophobic aggregation, these aspartic acids were placed every five residues over most of the sequence. This peptide served to highlight the importance of OpaL’s hydrophobic aggregation mechanism.

We demonstrated OpaL’s intracellular toxicity by expressing it from the strong T7 promoter on the pET11a-opaL shuttle plasmid in E. coli BL21 (DE3). In pET11a-derived vectors, a lac operator is located downstream of the T7 promoter, allowing induction with isopropyl β-D-1-thiogalactopyranoside (IPTG) (Fig. 1A). When measuring the optical density (OD) of bacterial cultures of BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaL, OpaL expression with 1.0 mM IPTG completely precluded growth (Fig. 2A). With 0.1 mM IPTG, OpaL expression decreased mean OD (Fig. 2B), but some growth occurred. Without IPTG, BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaL showed a longer lag time compared to BL21 (DE3) not carrying any plasmids, potentially due to leaky expression from the opaL gene (Fig. 2C). BL21 (DE3) expressing OpaLacidic displayed significantly lower toxicity than OpaL, albeit with lengthened lag times relative to control bacteria not carrying any plasmids (Fig. 2A-C). To further investigate this, we deleted the open reading frame of opaL to create the control plasmid pET11a-ΔopaL. BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-ΔopaL demonstrated significantly higher growth than cells expressing OpaL or OpaLacidic, although upon induction (0.1 and 1.0 mM IPTG) a lower growth plateau and longer lag time were observed compared to the control without any plasmid (Fig. 2A-B) possibly due to metabolic burden from the transcription of short RNAs containing any remaining sequence between the promoter and
terminator that was not deleted.

In colony forming unit (CFU) experiments, viable cell counts of BL21 (DE3) expressing OpaL dropped to zero after 4 hours of induction with 1.0 mM IPTG (p<0.01), indicating a bactericidal mechanism of action (Fig. 2D). Without IPTG, the viable cell count continued to increase significantly over time. Viable cell counts after 2 hours and 4 hours for BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaLacidic remained relatively constant (p>0.05) with 1.0 mM IPTG indicating a weaker bacteriostatic effect compared to OpaL (Fig. 2E). Without IPTG, bacterial CFU increased over time. These results confirm the antibacterial effect of OpaL.

To computationally test OpaL’s aggregation, we employed the statistical thermodynamics algorithm TANGO.\(^5\) OpaL showed an extremely high mean aggregation propensity of 35.7% (values greater than 5% predict aggregation).\(^5\) OpaLacidic showed a low mean aggregation propensity of 0.7% (Fig. 3A). These data support OpaL’s formation of hydrophobic aggregates. We also used QUARK, an ab initio protein structure prediction tool, to predict the tertiary structures of OpaL and OpaLacidic. DeepView was employed to visualize these structures and compute their solvent-exposed hydrophobic surface areas. OpaL was predicted to be rich in β-sheet structures (Fig. 3B), while OpaLacidic was mostly composed of unstructured loops (Fig. 3C). OpaL’s greater antibacterial toxicity relative to OpaLacidic is consistent with these results since many pathological protein aggregates are also rich in β-sheets.\(^5,27\) OpaL’s predicted structure demonstrated 43.6% hydrophobic surface area, while OpaLacidic demonstrated a predicted hydrophobic surface area of 21.2% (Fig. 3B-C). Again, OpaL’s higher antibacterial toxicity relative to OpaLacidic is consistent with these results since protein aggregation is known to be heavily dependent on hydrophobicity.\(^2,5,28\)

To provide experimental evidence for OpaL’s aggregation, we performed an aggregation
assay by staining host BL21 (DE3) with the dye nile red which fluoresces upon exposure to hydrophobic environments. After induction with 1.0 mM IPTG, cells carrying pET11a-opaL showed significantly greater increases in fluorescence relative to cells with pET11a-opaLacidic, pET11a-ΔopaL, or cells without any plasmids (p<0.01) (Fig. 3D). After induction with 0.1 mM IPTG, cells expressing OpaL showed higher increases in fluorescence relative to the other groups, though the differences were not statistically significant. These results are consistent with the data from TANGO and QUARK, further supporting OpaL’s mechanism of hydrophobic aggregation. We also extracted total and soluble protein fractions from strains expressing OpaL, OpaLacidic and ΔOpaL (supplementary Fig. S3A-B). The insoluble protein fraction showed substantially more bands (and higher intensity bands) only with expression of OpaL (supplementary Fig. S3A), while the soluble fraction remained similar for OpaL, OpaLacidic and ΔOpaL strains (supplementary Fig. S3B). Finally, we used laser scanning confocal fluorescence microscopy to directly visualize intracellular OpaL aggregates stained with nile red (Fig. 3E). Bacteria expressing OpaL exhibited visible inclusion body formation, while aggregates were not observed in controls with OpaLacidic and ΔOpaL.

We show that bacterial conjugation facilitates delivery of opaL-carrying donor cells to targeted BL21 (DE3) recipient cells that express the T7 RNA polymerase (Fig. 4A). To mobilize opaL, we chose the RK2 plasmid for its high transfer frequency, conjugative promiscuity, and stability. To deliver opaL into recipient cells, we designed the pET11a-opaL shuttle plasmid which includes a 450 bp sequence identical to the origin of transfer (OriT) site in RK2 and a chloramphenicol (Cm) resistance gene (supplementary Fig. S2). We co-transformed both RK2 and pET11a-opaL into E. coli C600 to create donor cells. Since E. coli C600 do not express T7 polymerase, OpaL expression does not occur in host donor cells. Future extensions of this may
allow promoter-based targeting of pathogenic microorganisms via pathogen-specific expression of T7 RNA polymerase. We confirmed that, when mobilized by RK2, pET11a-\textit{opaL} is transferred conjugatively by measuring mating frequency between donor and recipient cells (2.63\times10^{-2} \pm 1.23\times10^{-2} transconjugants per recipient).

We mated donor \textit{E. coli} C600 carrying RK2 and pET11a-\textit{opaL} plasmids with recipient BL21 (DE3) carrying the GFP-expressing pHL662 plasmid using OD-adjusted 1:3 donor to recipient ratios and 1.0 mM IPTG. GFP fluorescence was employed to distinguish between strains (supplementary Fig. S4A). Ratios of recipient colonies to total colonies were computed. The experimental group’s recipient CFU fractions were 2.0-fold lower than the fractions of the mating control in which donors only carried RK2 (p<0.01) (Fig. 4B). We also measured recipient growth curves using GFP fluorescence from mating cultures in a microplate reader. Recipient BL21 (DE3) demonstrated significantly lower growth when donors delivered both RK2 and pET11a-\textit{opaL} compared to the control (Fig. 4C) (p<0.001).

We performed three-strain matings between donor C600 carrying RK2 and pET11a-\textit{opaL} plasmids, targeted recipient BL21 (DE3) with pHL662, and non-target recipient \textit{E. coli} DH5\textalpha{} expressing mCherry from the pUV145 plasmid\textsuperscript{31} (supplementary Fig. S4B) using a 1:1:2 OD-adjusted ratio of targeted recipients to non-target recipients to donors. The ratio was chosen because it gives an approximately equal number of donor cells relative to total recipient cells. Fractions of targeted recipients and fractions of non-target recipients were determined relative to total colonies on each plate. The experimental group’s targeted recipient CFU fractions were 2.7-fold lower than those in the mating control (p<0.001), while the non-target recipient CFU fractions were not significantly different from the control (p=0.71) (Fig. 4D).

We designed and tested OpaL as a basis for rational design of novel antimicrobials.
Aggregating peptides offer a new approach to addressing bacterial resistance, since unlike small-molecule antibiotics, hydrophobic aggregates disrupt homeostasis rather than binding to a specific macromolecular target site.\textsuperscript{2,28} Furthermore, insoluble aggregates may show less susceptibility to efflux and OpaL’s de novo character may decrease the frequency of enzymatic exaptation towards specific binding and cleavage of sequence motifs. Even if resistance was to arise, OpaL’s design is highly amenable to directed evolution. Because OpaL’s aggregation centrally depends on its hydrophobic characteristics, mutations should be less likely to decrease OpaL’s activity, widening the pool of potentially improved mutants as compared to most protein therapeutics.\textsuperscript{32} After iterated mutagenesis, opaL may regain activity against resistant pathogens. Mutations in opaL’s promoter may enable outgrowth, but donors with fresh copies of the original opaL could be introduced to restore full expression. Given that hydrophobic aggregation can occur in any aqueous cellular environment, OpaL may exhibit activity in diverse types of bacteria. This technology provides new opportunities for addressing antibiotic-resistant infections.

**Methods**

*Strains, plasmids, kits, and gene synthesis*

The pET11a-opaL and pET11a-opaLacic vector designs were constructed by GenScript using their artificial gene synthesis and custom cloning services. The opaL open reading frame, opaLacic open reading frame, RK2 OriT, and chloramphenicol resistance (CmR) gene were artificially synthesized. RK2 was obtained in *E. coli* C600 (ATCC\textsuperscript{®} 37125\textsuperscript{™}). We used a Mix & Go *E. coli* Transformation Kit from Zymo Research to induce chemical competence in the *E. coli* C600 (RK2) before transforming with pET11a-opaL. To prevent loss of RK2, we grew these cells under kanamycin selection. *E. coli* C600 (RK2, pET11a-opaL) and *E. coli* C600 (RK2) were
subsequently used as donor bacteria.

The pHL662 plasmid, carried by E. coli XL1 Blue, was Addgene vector 37636. The E. coli XL1 Blue was used as recipient bacteria for measuring the mating frequency. We isolated pHL662 using a Zymo Research Zyppy Plasmid Miniprep Kit. Chemically competent E. coli BL21 (DE3) were acquired from NEB and transformed with pHL662. These E. coli BL21 (DE3) (pHL662) were used as recipients in the mating-toxicity assays. Separate samples of E. coli BL21 (DE3) were also transformed with pET11a-opaL for toxicity assays. E. coli NEB10-β was acquired from NEB and used as a host for propagating the pET11a-\textit{AopaL} vector. The pUV145 plasmid was carried in an E. coli DH5α host. E. coli DH5α carrying pUV145 were employed in our three strain mating-toxicity assay. A list of all strains and plasmids used for this work is presented in supplementary table S1.

\textit{Culture conditions}

Growth media included Luria Bertani (LB) broth (liquid medium) and LB agar (solid medium) with selective antibiotics as described for each experiment. Liquid cultures were incubated at 37°C in an orbital shaker or in a Tecan GENios plate reader. When using the plate reader, cultures were set to shake for 10 minutes, stand idle for 10 minutes, and then shake for an additional 10 seconds prior to taking a measurement. Solid cultures were grown in a stationary incubator at 37°C. The \textit{opaL} gene and the \textit{opaLacidic} gene were induced using IPTG at concentrations of 1.0 or 0.1 mM as described for each experiment. GFP from pHL662 and mCherry from pUV145 were induced using IPTG at concentrations of 1.0 mM. Except where otherwise noted, ampicillin was used to maintain pET11a-\textit{opaL} and pET11a-\textit{opaLacidic} and kanamycin was used to maintain RK2, pHL662, and pUV145.

\textit{Molecular cloning}
The pET11a-ΔopaL control plasmid was prepared by removing opaL’s open reading frame (positions 6124 to 6690). To accomplish this, pET11a-opaL was first propagated in E. coli DH5α to facilitate DNA methylation. Primers were designed to amplify the part of pET11a-opaL which excludes the opaL-containing sequence between pET11a-opaL plasmid’s NdeI and BamHI cut sites. The forward primer (5’-ggaaggggatcggctgctaacaaag-3’) still retained the original BamHI cut site sequence, while the reverse primer (5’-gaggagggatcctatatctcctttaaagttaacaaat-3’) included a small overhang which replaced the NdeI cut site with another BamHI cut site upon amplification. After amplifying this sequence, the PCR product was double digested with DpnI (a methylation-dependent restriction enzyme) and BamHI. The purpose of using DpnI was to degrade any remaining background DNA which still contained opaL. Next, the linear vector was ligated overnight and then electroporated into E. coli NEB10-β. A transformant was picked and grown in liquid media overnight. The pET11a-ΔopaL plasmid was miniprepped from this culture and then confirmed to have the correct size by performing gel electrophoresis alongside a sample of pET11a-opaL. Maps of plasmids used for this work are presented in supplementary Fig. S1.

Toxicity assay using growth curves

Overnight cultures (three biological replicates) of E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaL, E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opalacidic, E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-ΔopaL, and E. coli BL21 (DE3) without any plasmids were diluted 1×10⁻⁴ and incubated for 2 hours. Samples from each culture were diluted 1:50 into fresh media with 1.0 mM IPTG, 0.1 mM IPTG, and 0.0 mM IPTG in a 96 well plate. Absorbance values were measured every 20 minutes for 20 h using the Tecan Genios plate reader settings described earlier. These data were normalized by subtracting the absorbance of the media and dividing by the OD at t=0 for each sample.

Toxicity assay using Colony Forming Units (CFUs)
We tested *opaL*’s antibacterial activity in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-*opaL* and *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-*opaLacidic*. Overnight starter cultures (three biological replicates) were diluted 1:1000 and incubated for 2 hours. Serial dilutions of these exponential cultures were plated on solid medium to obtain CFUs at t=0 h. The cultures were further diluted 1:100. Immediately after these dilutions, we split the cultures into control and experimental tubes and then added IPTG to the experimental tubes (1.0 mM final concentration) in order to induce *opaL* expression. Serial dilutions were then plated on solid media at t=2 h and t=4 h.

*Computational prediction of aggregation using TANGO*

The online TANGO platform ([http://tango.crg.es/protected/academic/calculation.jsp](http://tango.crg.es/protected/academic/calculation.jsp)) was used to predict percent aggregation for OpaL and OpaLacidic. The same parameters were used for both peptides. We assumed OpaL and OpaLacidic concentrations of 2 mM based on T7’s known expression levels. Using standard physiological parameters for *E. coli*, we entered an ionic strength of 0.25 mM, a cytosolic pH of 7.5, and a temperature of 37°C. The N- and C-termini were given the default parameter of not having any chemical modifications.

*Computational structure prediction using QUARK*

The online QUARK platform ([https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/QUARK/](https://zhanglab.ccmb.med.umich.edu/QUARK/)) was used to predict tertiary structures for OpaL and OpaLacidic. The raw amino acid sequences were inputted into the algorithm and the results retrieved in PDB file format. From these files, 3D graphics were created with DeepView v4.1.0. DeepView was also used to compute OpaL and OpaLacidic’s solvent-exposed hydrophobic surface areas.

*Nile red aggregation assay*

Overnight cultures (ten biological replicates) of *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-*opaL*, *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-*opaLacidic*, *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-
ΔopaL, and E. coli BL21 (DE3) without any plasmids were diluted 1:10 into 900 μL of fresh media and incubated for 1 h before being pelleted, washed, and resuspended in Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS). Nile red was added to a final concentration of 1.0 μg/mL. Absorbance was measured at 590 nm and fluorescence was measured at 590 nm excitation and 610 nm emission in a Tecan Genios plate reader. Next, IPTG was added to five of the replicates to a concentration of 1.0 mM and the other five replicates to a concentration of 0.1 mM. These samples were then incubated at 37°C for 2 h with shaking. Absorbance and fluorescence were measured again at the same wavelengths. Initial and final fluorescence values were normalized to the corresponding absorbance values and the overall normalized changes in fluorescence were calculated.

Fluorescence microscopy to visualize aggregates

Pairs of overnight cultures of E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaL, E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaLacidic, and E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-ΔopaL were diluted 1:10 and incubated for 90 minutes with shaking at 37°C. IPTG was then added to half of the cultures at final concentrations of 1.0 mM and all the cultures were incubated for another 90 minutes. Next, the cells were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in PBS with 4% formaldehyde. The bacteria and intracellular aggregates were imaged using a Nikon A1R laser scanning confocal microscope with a 100x objective lens (numerical aperture 1.4), a 561 nm laser, and a 595/50 nm emission filter.

Protein gels

Overnight cultures of E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaL, E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaLacidic, and E. coli BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-ΔopaL were diluted 1:10 into 5 mL of fresh media and incubated for 90 minutes with shaking at 37°C. IPTG was then added to each culture at final concentrations of 1.0 mM and the cultures were incubated for another 90
minutes. Next, the cells were pelleted, washed, and resuspended in PBS. The samples were sonicated for 30 seconds each. Total protein fractions and soluble protein fractions were run on an 8% Tris-Glycine polyacrylamide gel. The total fractions were taken directly from the lysed samples while the soluble fractions were taken from the supernatant after pelleting the total fractions. The gel was run at 4°C for 1.5 hours using 150 V, 160 mA conditions. The gel was then fixed and stained using Coomassie Brilliant Blue R-250 dye. The gel was imaged using a Bio-Rad Gel Doc™ EZ Gel Imager.

Mating frequency assay

Mating frequency assays were performed to confirm that RK2 and pET11a-\(\text{opaL}\) are capable of conjugative transfer. 1 mL overnight cultures (three biological replicates) of \(E. \ coli\) C600 donors carrying both RK2 and pET11a-\(\text{opaL}\), \(E. \ coli\) C600 donors carrying the only RK2, and \(E. \ coli\) XL1 Blue recipients carrying pHL662 were pelleted and washed to remove antibiotics before being resuspended in 250 μL of media. The volumes of these cultures were adjusted to have equal OD values before mating cultures with 1:5 donor to recipient ratios were made by volume. \(E. \ coli\) C600 (RK2, pET11a-\(\text{opaL}\)) and \(E. \ coli\) C600 (RK2) were each separately paired with the recipients. 20 μL of the mating cultures were spotted on LB agar plates without selection and incubated for 5 hours. Next, we cut out solid agar slices with the spots and transferred them to liquid cultures without selection. After 1 h of incubation, the cultures were diluted 1:10,000 and plated on X-Gal with appropriate antibiotics.

X-Gal allowed distinction between blue donor (\(E. \ coli\) C600) colonies and white transconjugant (\(E. \ coli\) XL1 Blue) colonies. \(E. \ coli\) XL1 Blue possess the \(\Delta\text{lacZ}\) genotype and so cannot metabolize X-Gal to produce blue pigment. Donors and transconjugants with both RK2 and pET11a-\(\text{opaL}\) were selected with chloramphenicol while donors and transconjugants with only
RK2 were selected with ampicillin. Control X-Gal plates without antibiotics were made for each mating culture. Mating frequencies were determined by taking the ratio of transconjugant colonies to total recipient colonies.

**Two strain mating-toxicity assay using CFUs**

Mating-toxicity assays using CFUs demonstrated the functionality of our bacterial conjugation delivery system for transferring *opaL* to target bacteria. 1 mL overnight cultures were made with three biological replicates of *E. coli* C600 donors carrying both RK2 and pET11a-*opaL*, *E. coli* C600 donors carrying only RK2, and *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) recipients carrying pHL662. These cultures were then diluted 1:100 in 5 mL LB medium and incubated for 3 h, followed by pelleting and washing twice with LB to remove antibiotics, and then resuspension in 250 μL of fresh medium. Culture volumes were adjusted to give approximately equivalent OD values. Next, donor and recipient strains were mixed to create mating cultures with 1:3 donor to recipient ratios. Mating cultures were spotted onto 1.0 mM IPTG plates without selection and incubated for 5 h. We cut out the solid agar slices with mating spots, transferred them each into 1 mL of PBS, and vortexed thoroughly to resuspend the mated bacteria. These cells were diluted to 1×10^{-5} and plated on LB agar plates containing kanamycin (to maintain pHL662) and 1.0 mM IPTG. GFP-expressing (recipient) colonies and non-fluorescent (donor) colonies were counted using 470 nm excitation and 530 nm emission wavelengths.

**Two strain mating-toxicity assay using fluorescence growth curves**

Mating-toxicity assays using fluorescence growth curves further showed the functionality of our bacterial conjugation delivery system for the *opaL* gene. 1 mL overnight cultures were made with four biological replicates of *E. coli* C600 donors carrying both RK2 and pET11a-*opaL*, *E. coli* C600 donors carrying only RK2, and *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) recipients carrying pHL662. The
overnight cultures were washed twice to remove antibiotics and resuspended in 250 μL of LB media. Culture volumes were adjusted to give approximately equivalent OD values. Next, donor and recipient strains were mixed to create mating cultures with 1:1 donor to recipient ratios. Mating cultures were spotted onto 1.0 mM IPTG plates without selection and incubated for 5 h. We cut out the solid agar slices with mating spots and transferred them to liquid media, where they were incubated for 1 h. The cultures were diluted 1:20 into fresh media with 1.0 mM IPTG in a 96 well plate. GFP fluorescence was measured with 485 nm excitation and 535 nm emission every 20 minutes for 20 h using the Tecan Genios plate reader settings described earlier. These data were normalized with the media’s autofluorescence values.

*Three strain mating-toxicity assay using CFUs*

We performed a three strain mating-toxicity assay to demonstrate that this conjugation based delivery approach functions effectively when bacteria other than the donors and the targeted recipients are present. 1 mL overnight cultures were made with three biological replicates of *E. coli* C600 donors carrying both RK2 and pET11a-opal, *E. coli* C600 donors carrying only RK2, *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) recipients carrying pHL662, and *E. coli* DH5α recipients carrying pUV145. As in the two strain assay, the overnight cultures were diluted 1:100 in 5 mL LB and incubated for 3 h, followed by washing twice to remove antibiotics, and resuspension in 250 μL of media. Culture volumes were adjusted to give approximately equivalent OD values. Recipient *E. coli* BL21 (DE3), recipient *E. coli* DH5α, and donor *E. coli* C600 were mixed to create mating cultures with ratios of 1:1:2 respectively. Mating cultures were spotted onto 1.0 mM IPTG plates without selection and incubated for 5 hours. Equivalent volumes of *E. coli* DH5α alone were spotted onto 1.0 mM IPTG plates without selection and incubated for 5 h. We cut out the solid agar slices with mating spots, transferred them each into 1 mL of PBS, and vortexed thoroughly to resuspend the
mated bacteria. These cells were diluted to $1 \times 10^{-5}$ and plated on kanamycin (to maintain pHL662 and pUV145) and 1.0 mM IPTG. GFP-expressing (target recipient) colonies and non-fluorescent (donor) colonies were counted using 470 nm excitation and 530 nm emission wavelengths, while mCherry-expressing (non-target recipient) colonies were counted using 540 nm excitation and 590 nm emission wavelengths.

**Figures and tables**

**Figure 1. Rational design of OpaL.** (A) The pET11a-<em>opal</em> vector expresses OpaL from the T7 promoter and so restricts expression to the target strain, BL21 (DE3). Polar terminal patches were incorporated in OpaL to increase OpaL’s intracellular half-life. Numerous (75.1%) hydrophobic residues facilitate formation of toxic intracellular aggregates. (B) OpaL’s primary amino acid sequence with hydrophobic amino acids in blue, glycines in black, aspartic acids in red, and polar amino acids in green.
Figure 2. Antimicrobial effect of OpaL. (A-C) Growth curves of BL21 (DE3) carrying plasmids as labeled under (A) 1.0 mM IPTG induction, (B) 0.1 mM IPTG induction, and (C) without IPTG induction. (D) CFUs of BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaL at t=0, t=2, and t=4 hours post induction with 1.0 mM IPTG and at the same time points without IPTG. (E) CFUs of BL21 (DE3) carrying pET11a-opaLacidic at t=0, t=2, and t=4 hours post induction with 1.0 mM IPTG and without IPTG. These data represent the means of three biological replicates. Error bars represent standard error and P-values were calculated using a two-tailed type II t-test.
Figure 3. **OpaL causes intracellular aggregate formation.** (A) Predicted mean aggregation propensity percentages for OpaL and OpaLacidic using the TANGO algorithm. (B) OpaL’s structure as predicted by the QUARK algorithm. (C) The structure of OpaLacidic as predicted by QUARK. (D) BL21 (DE3) carrying plasmids as labeled were stained with nile red to show that OpaL forms hydrophobic aggregates. These data represent the means of five biological replicates. Error bars represent standard error and *P*-values were calculated using a two-tailed type II t-test. (E) Intracellular OpaL aggregates visualized with fluorescence microscopy and nile red. Aggregates are visible in the bacteria expressing OpaL (top leftmost panel), but not in the controls.
Figure 4. OpaL shows targeted killing when delivered by bacterial conjugation. (A) Donor bacteria transfer the broad-host-range conjugative plasmid RK2 and the shuttle plasmid pET11a-opaL to recipient bacteria. RK2 encodes a relaxosome complex which makes a single-stranded nick in the origin of transfer (OriT) and a transferosome complex which facilitates the transfer of the ssDNA to the recipient. The 450 bp OriT sequence from RK2 was cloned into pET11a-opaL to facilitate conjugative transfer of opaL. BL21 (DE3) encodes T7 RNA polymerase which binds the T7 promoter upstream of opaL and initiates expression, allowing OpaL to kill the targeted host.
bacterium. (B) Two-strain CFU mating-toxicity assay as measured by the ratio of BL21 (DE3) recipient CFU/mL to total CFU/mL. (C) Two-strain growth curve mating-toxicity assay measured via recipient GFP fluorescence normalized to OD. These data represent the means of four biological replicates and error bars represent standard error. (D) Three-strain CFU mating-toxicity assay as measured by the ratios of BL21 (DE3) recipient CFU/mL to total CFU/mL and DH5α non-target recipient CFU/mL to total CFU/mL. For panels B and D the mean recipient fractions relative to total cells are displayed, the data represent the means of three biological replicates, error bars represent standard error, and $P$-values were calculated using a two-tailed type II t-test.

Supplementary Figure S1. The amino acid sequence of OpaLacidic. Unlike OpaL, the OpaLacidic peptide’s hydrophobic patches are much more frequently interrupted by aspartic acid residues. In addition, OpaLacidic has a higher proline content, a longer stretch of C-terminal polar residues, and alternatively ordered residues within its hydrophobic patches.
**Supplementary Figure S2.** Plasmid maps for the broad-host range conjugative plasmid RK2, the shuttle vector pET11a-opaL which includes an RK2 OriT sequence and the opaL gene, the pET11a-opaLacidic plasmid, and the control vector pET11a-ΔopaL which does not have an open reading frame ahead of the T7 promoter.
Supplementary Figure S3. Protein extracted from Opal, Opalacidic, and ΔOpal run on 8% Tris-Glycine polyacrylamide gels. (A) Total fraction of extracted protein. (B) Soluble fraction of extracted protein. Arrows in panel A indicate bands corresponding to aggregated insoluble proteins not observed in the soluble fraction of proteins shown in panel B. The number and abundance of aggregated protein bands is highest for Opal strain (panel A). The soluble fraction of proteins is similar across Opal, Opalacidic and Δ Opal. These results support that Opal causes formation of aggregated proteins.
**Supplementary Figure S4.** (A) One of the replicates used to determine CFUs for the two strain mating-toxicity experiment. (B) One of the replicates used to determine CFUs for the three strain mating-toxicity experiment. The same pair of plates is shown under two different excitation/emission filters to distinguish between GFP expressing recipient *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) and mCherry expressing recipient *E. coli* DH5α.
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