

ARTICLE

https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09149-2

OPEN

Evidence for non-steady-state carbon emissions from snow-scoured alpine tundra

John F. Knowles ^{1,2}, Peter D. Blanken³, Corey R. Lawrence ⁴ & Mark W. Williams^{1,3}

High-latitude warming is capable of accelerating permafrost degradation and the decomposition of previously frozen carbon. The existence of an analogous high-altitude feedback, however, has yet to be directly evaluated. We address this knowledge gap by coupling a radiocarbon-based model to 7 years (2008–2014) of continuous eddy covariance data from a snow-scoured alpine tundra meadow in Colorado, USA, where solifluction lobes are associated with discontinuous permafrost. On average, the ecosystem was a net annual source of $232 \pm 54 \text{ g C m}^{-2}$ (mean ± 1 standard deviation) to the atmosphere, and respiration of relatively radiocarbon-depleted (i.e., older) substrate contributes to carbon emissions during the winter. Given that alpine soils with permafrost occupy $3.6 \times 10^6 \text{ km}^2$ land area and are estimated to contain 66.3 Pg of soil organic carbon (4.5% of the global pool), this scenario has global implications for the mountain carbon balance and corresponding resource allocation to lower elevations.

¹ Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research, University of Colorado Boulder, UCB 450, Boulder, CO 80309-0450, USA. ² School of Geography and Development, The University of Arizona, Earth and Natural Resources 2 Building, South 4th Floor, Tucson, AZ 85721-0137, USA. ³ Department of Geography, University of Colorado Boulder, UCB 260, Boulder, CO 80309-0260, USA. ⁴ U.S. Geological Survey, P.O. Box 25046, Denver Federal Center, MS 980, Denver, CO 80225, USA. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to J.F.K. (email: Johnknowles@email.arizona.edu)

eterotrophic respiration of thawed permafrost carbon is considered one of the most likely positive climate feedbacks between high-latitude ecosystems and the atmosphere^{1,2}. Yet, the magnitude of this feedback represents a highly uncertain component of global climate change predictions^{3,4}, and the potential contribution of high-altitude permafrost systems to this phenomenon remains virtually unknown^{5,6}. Recent work, however, has shown that a variety of alpine shrubland and meadow ecosystems are net annual sources of carbon to the atmosphere⁷⁻¹⁰, and there is evidence of permafrost degradation in mountain ranges around the world that function as indicator systems and source areas of water and nutrients to lower elevations¹¹⁻¹⁴. Since lower temperature soils are more sensitive to warming^{15,16}, climate change could have a disproportionate impact on high-altitude soil organic matter (SOM) reserves, but ecosystem response to permafrost degradation is complicated by changes in plant-soil interactions, hydrology, and nutrient fluxes that operate on dissimilar timescales^{10,17–20}

High-latitude tundra ecosystems are currently net annual carbon dioxide (CO₂) sources to the atmosphere, but large standing carbon stocks indicate periods of carbon sequestration in the past²¹. This carbon sink-to-source threshold coincides with a period of recent rapid climate warming, suggesting that the direct and/or indirect effects of permafrost degradation are capable of accelerating decomposition to the degree that it exceeds vegetation primary productivity^{21,22}. Measurement of soil radiocarbon is a powerful tool with which to investigate temporal carbon cycling dynamics because it can be used to approximate the overall mean decay rate of carbon in soils^{23,24}. In particular, when compared across soil carbon pools of differing mean age isolated by density separations or other methods, the radiocarbon signature of soil respiration can constrain the relative source of each pool to soil-derived CO2. In this study, we used a density fractionation method to partition soil into three pools corresponding to relatively fast, intermediate, and slowly cycling carbon (described in Methods section)²⁵. We then used the data to parameterize a multi-pool soil carbon model in order to calculate the relative contribution of these carbon sources to the measured radiocarbon content of carbon dioxide (14CO₂) from a long-term alpine tundra research site on Niwot Ridge, Colorado, USA.

The Niwot Ridge site is believed to be the highest-elevation eddy covariance tower in North America (40°03'07" N; 105°35'11" W; 3494 m above sea level)^{26,27}, and is one of the only sites equipped to evaluate the seasonal carbon dynamics of the potentially vulnerable alpine tundra ecosystem (Fig. 1a; see also ref. ²⁸). In the Rocky Mountains, snow-scoured alpine tundra results from persistently windy conditions, and these exposed locations are common above the alpine treeline²⁹. On Niwot Ridge, frost creep and solifluction have produced lobes of slowly moving soil on gentle slopes with negligible snow cover $^{30-32}$. Although these locations represent only a fraction of the heterogeneous alpine environment (Fig. 1b), they are the most active geomorphic, hydrologic, and biologic zones in the area³³, and function as control points of winter biological activity on the snow-scoured landscape^{34,35}. Locations with solifluction deposits were previously believed to support permafrost^{30,36}, but recent work suggests that soil temperature now rises above 0 °C at both 2 and 4 m depths for several weeks in summer^{33,37}. Given that the environmental conditions at these sites were marginal for the presence of permafrost in the 1970s, and that summer air temperatures and the number of positive degree days have been increasing on Niwot Ridge since that time^{37,38}, respiration of previously frozen substrate could contribute to currently observed carbon emissions at this site.

The constituent carbon pools and radiocarbon content of alpine tundra soils at this location have not been previously coupled to eddy covariance measurements of the net ecosystem exchange of CO_2 (NEE); therefore, this work represents a unique opportunity to test the degree to which the alpine tundra ecosystem may be responding to environmental-driven or disturbance-driven changes. We specifically set out to characterize the net annual carbon source strength of a high-altitude alpine tundra site and to evaluate the relative contributions of distinct soil carbon pools to the observed ecosystem respiration fluxes on a seasonal basis. Here, we show sustained net annual carbon emissions including respiration of older carbon substrate during the winter, both of which suggest non-steady-state ecosystem function. The degree to which this ecosystem parallels the increasingly well-characterized paradigm of arctic tundra carbon cycling will constrain the likelihood and magnitude of an alpine tundra permafrost carbon feedback to environmental change or disturbance.



Fig. 1 Two-dimensional representation of the flux tower footprint. Contours denote the cumulative percent contribution (in red) of heterogeneous alpine tundra source areas to the net ecosystem exchange of carbon dioxide (NEE) flux between 1 January 2008 and 31 December 2009⁵⁵. **a** Inset shows the location of Niwot Ridge in Colorado, USA (40°03' N; 105°35' W). Background image ©2018 Google. **b** A k-means clustering algorithm separates the tundra into five unsupervised land cover classes based on the red-green-blue spectrum to demonstrate the scale of spatial heterogeneity in the area of peak measurement sensitivity⁵⁵. Radiocarbon data were collected from areas of dry, moist, and wet meadow vegetation tundra including two solifluction lobes (labeled) associated with permafrost

Year	1 Jun-31 Aug T _a (°C)	1 Sep-31 May T _a (°C)	MAAT (°C)	1 Apr-15 Jun PPT (mm)	16 Jun-15 Sep PPT (mm)	TAP (mm)
2008	9.2	-5.5	-1.8	279	220	1119
2009	8.2	-4.7	-1.5	211	124	916
2010	9.5	-4.3	-0.8	268	128	1046
2011	10.0	-5.3	-1.4	281	333	1307
2012	11.0	-3.3	0.3	86	275	846
2013	10.0	-5.4	-1.5	149	252	956
2014	8.6	-4.4	-1.1	156	186	869
Mean	9.5	-4.7	-1.1	204	217	1008
SD	0.9	0.8	0.7	76	77	163
Year	GS start (doy)	GS end (doy)	GSL (d)	GS GPP (g C m $^{-2}$)	GS $R_{\rm eco}$ (g C m ⁻²)	NEE (g C m ⁻²
2008	166 ± 4	241 ± 11	80 ± 16	123.8	68.5	177.4
2009	171 ± 4	238 ± 22	74 ± 29	115.0	60.1	194.1
2010	168 ± 4	232 ± 15	69 ± 17	106.3	53.0	177.1
2011	170 ± 18	242 ± 11	77 ± 25	122.0	66.4	261.5
2012	163 ± 12	242 ± 9	81 ± 17	126.5	72.2	224.1
2013	159 ± 8	241 ± 9	87 ± 17	126.1	73.7	314.0
2014	169 ± 15	233 ± 17	69 ± 28	107.8	53.5	278.7
Mean	167 ± 11	238 ± 13	77 ± 21	118.2	63.9	232.4
		4	7+6	0 F	0 E	F2 6

Results

The tundra-atmosphere carbon flux. Net annual carbon loss from the alpine tundra ecosystem ranged from 177 g C m^{-2} in 2010 to $314 \text{ gC} \text{ m}^{-2}$ in 2013 and averaged $232 \text{ gC} \text{ m}^{-2}$. The mean growing season length was 77 ± 7 days (mean ± 1 standard deviation) from 16 June to 27 August (Table 1); the standard deviation among methods used to calculate growing season length was 21 days (see Methods section for details)³⁹. During the growing season, the mean cumulative gross primary productivity (GPP) was 118 g C m⁻² (Table 1), and a previous study using the Community Land Model (CLM; version 4.5) showed good agreement between the diurnal and seasonal cycles of measured and modeled GPP (r = 0.86 at daily resolution) at this location⁴⁰. The mean cumulative growing season ecosystem respiration $(R_{eco}; 64 \text{ g C m}^{-2}; \text{ Table 1})$ was less than previously estimated from chamber (3 years; mean = 197 g C m⁻²) and multiple linear regression (1 year; 130 g C m⁻²; based on soil moisture and temperature) techniques⁴¹, but prior results were based on less rigorously calculated and generally longer growing seasons. The mean cumulative growing season NEE was -41 g C m^{-2} , where negative values denote net carbon uptake by the surface.

Respiration fluxes dominated the NEE for approximately 9 months of the year, and the mean annual cumulative R_{eco} was 341 g C m⁻². The R_{eco} was most variable during the late winter months and the spring, and the magnitude of the carbon source during this time principally determined the ecosystem carbon balance on an annual basis (Fig. 2). The tundra functioned as a decreasing carbon sink during the growing season (p = 0.07; Fig. 3a) despite the absence of significant temporal trends in growing season GPP or Reco. Net annual carbon emissions increased over the seven-year study period (p = 0.07; Fig. 3b), but neither the cumulative NEE nor the cumulative Reco were significantly correlated with seasonal air temperature or precipitation. The absence of clear environmental forcing highlights the potential influence of competing plant and microbial processes, temporal lags, and/or complex biogeophysical interactions on the trends identified by this work.

The mean annual carbon source strength of snow-scoured alpine tundra on Niwot Ridge (232 g C m^{-2}) was similar in magnitude to

the subalpine forest carbon sink $(-217 \text{ g C m}^{-2})^9$. Although the majority of the western United States carbon sink is located in mountain regions^{42,43}, this result demonstrates that the areal subalpine/alpine ratio of a given area, together with the trajectory and variability of NEE from each respective ecosystem, ultimately determines the integrated ecosystem carbon sink or source status in mountainous terrain. That the alpine tundra was a stronger carbon source than has been previously estimated $(175 \text{ g C m}^{-2})^9$ was due to the relatively more robust gap-filling procedures used by this study, in combination with the increasing carbon source strength over time (most recent study only contained data through 2012). In the context of other alpine ecosystems around the world, the alpine tundra on Niwot Ridge was a greater source of carbon to the atmosphere than a Mediterranean alpine shrubland in Spain (annual mean NEE = 50 g C m^{-2} ; 2 years)⁷ and an alpine wetland meadow in China (annual mean NEE = $106 \text{ g C} \text{ m}^{-2}$; 3 years)⁸, neither of which was associated with permafrost. In this way, additional carbon respiration from permafrost degradation could factor into the carbon source strength difference between these ecosystems and the alpine tundra on Niwot Ridge.

Soil carbon fractions and radiocarbon dynamics. Tundra ecosystems are characteristically heterogeneous environments due to fine scale variations in topographic position and moisture status^{29,34,41,44}. As a result, soil carbon pools and fluxes were measured and characterized with respect to radiocarbon content at four locations including one dry and moist meadow site, and two wet meadow sites on solifluction lobes that may be underlain by permafrost. Across the soil moisture gradient, the free light soil fraction (Lf; inter-aggregate particulate organic matter) ranged from 15.4 to 32.6% of the bulk soil on a per mass basis and was composed of 26.4 to 29.6% carbon. The radiocarbon content of the Lf soil fraction (148.1‰) was significantly higher at one of the wet meadow sites compared to any other site (10.6‰ to 66.2‰; Table 2). The combined occluded light and heavy soil fractions (Hf*; organic matter occluded within soil aggregates and/or complexed with minerals) composed the remainder of soil mass and contained between 4.9 and 9.4% carbon (Table 2). As is



Fig. 2 The alpine tundra was annually a net source of carbon to the atmosphere. The interannual variability of cumulative NEE of carbon dioxide between 2008 and 2014, shown as the equivalent grams of carbon per square meter. A positive slope denotes net carbon loss to the atmosphere and vertical dashes mark the beginning and end of each growing season determined as the average of three methodological techniques³⁹



Fig. 3 Carbon emissions from the alpine tundra ecosystem increased over time. Mann-Kendall regression analysis of the cumulative NEE of carbon dioxide during **a** the growing season and **b** the entire year between 2008 and 2014. Dashed lines correspond to the 95% confidence interval

typical, the Hf^{*} fraction was radiocarbon depleted compared to the Lf and ranged from -66.2 to -98.7%. During the summer, the mean $^{14}CO_2$ respired from all four sites ranged from 33% to 46%. During the winter, gradient-method soil respiration³⁴ from the dry and moist meadow sites was undetectable and

therefore insufficient to prepare a sample for radiocarbon analysis. However, soil respiration continued throughout the winter at both wet meadow sites (mean winter respiration rate = $0.62 \ \mu mol \ m^{-2} \ s^{-1}$)³⁴, where the mean ¹⁴CO₂ of soil respiration was 33.8‰ and -16.9‰, respectively (Table 2).

14″ W

Site	Season	Vegetation	Total C (kg m ⁻²)	Lf (%)	Hf* (%)	Lf C (%)	Hf* C (%)	∆ ¹⁴ C CO₂ (‰)	∆ ¹⁴ C Lf (‰)	∆ ¹⁴ C Hf* (‰)
13	Summer	DM	14.1	32.6	67.4	26.4	8.4	45.8 (n = 5)	24.4	-98.7
14	Summer	MM	10.8	16.4	83.6	26.9	9.7	44.7 (n = 5)	10.6	-86.5
17	Summer	WM	9.9	16.0	84.0	29.6	4.6	33.2 (n = 8)	66.2	-68.8
18	Summer	WM	10.6	15.4	84.6	27.2	9.6	36.0 (n = 10)	148.1	-72.5
17	Winter	WM	9.9	16.0	84.0	29.6	4.6	33.8 (n = 5)	66.2	-68.8
18	Winter	WM	10.6	15.4	84.6	27.2	9.6	-16.9(n = 7)	148.1	-72.5



Fig. 4 Radiocarbon modeling indicates wintertime respiration of older carbon from wet meadow control points on solifluction lobes. Model results from the **a** dry meadow, **b** wet meadow (summer), and **c** wet meadow (winter) scenarios show a lower decay rate of light fraction carbon (k_{Lf}) and a higher decay rate of heavy plus occluded light fraction carbon (k_{Hf^*}) at the wet meadow site during winter. The input partitioning parameter (α) describes the fraction of the light carbon pool that is transferred to the heavy carbon pool at each time step. **d** Seasonal comparison of modeled versus measured Lf and Hf* carbon fractions suggests non-steady-state carbon cycling at the wet meadow site, i.e., seasonal pools are not well captured

Radiocarbon modeling. Our results imply non-steady state carbon cycling with implications for the storage and release of older carbon. Winter respiration of Hf^{*} carbon was specifically indicated by measurements from one wet meadow site that showed respiration of more depleted carbon during winter compared to summer (Table 2). These seasonal differences yielded modeled decay rates that were lower for Lf carbon (k_{Lf}) and higher for Hf^{*} carbon (k_{Hf^*}) during the winter relative to the drier sites or summer conditions at the same site (Fig. 4a–c). In contrast, the radiocarbon signature of respired carbon was always less depleted at the other wet meadow site (Table 2), and wintertime soil respiration was negligible at the dry and moist meadow sites, perhaps due to the lack of an insulating organic soil horizon^{34,45}.

Since the model runs at an annual resolution, the best-fit parameters determined from the seasonal flux data do not necessarily result in agreement between measured and modeled pool sizes, which reflect the long-term influence of the model conditions. In particular, the parameters required to fit winter respiration fluxes at the wet meadow site where respiration fluxes were depleted during winter resulted in very large discrepancies between the measured and modeled pool sizes (Fig. 4d). Accordingly, recent increases in the respiration Hf* carbon during the winter could be responsible for the relatively small Hf* pools at the wet sites compared to the dry sites (no wintertime soil respiration). From these results, it can be inferred that wintertime conditions at the wet meadow sites on solifluction lobes were uniquely conducive to microbial activity and that this scenario could be capable of stimulating decomposition of previously stable soil carbon.

Potential contributing factors to an imbalanced carbon cycle. Within the context of previous work at this site^{31–33,36,37,46}, increasing carbon emissions over time could be linked to respiration of a previously occluded carbon substrate that is augmenting present-day soil respiration from permafrost control points throughout the snow-scoured tundra³⁴. Since the NEE was not correlated with synoptic meteorology on an annual basis, the possibility of longer-term environmental changes and/or lags must be considered. Both summer air temperature (0.7 °C decade $^{-1}$) and annual positive degree days (DD; 157 DD decade $^{-1}$) have increased on Niwot Ridge since the middle of the twentieth century^{37,38}, and chronic nitrogen deposition has been shown to accelerate decomposition of intermediate-age SOM in dry alpine tundra⁴⁶. Accordingly, the carbon cycle on Niwot Ridge may be responding to multi-decadal increases in air temperature and/or nutrient availability, which can stimulate respiration disproportionately more than primary productivity^{47,48}. For example, carbon emissions are currently dominating over carbon uptake at high latitudes in response to increased mean annual air temperature of 0.35 °C decade⁻¹ since the 1970s²¹. Specifically, Alaskan heath, wet sedge, and tussock tundra were observed to be net annual sources of 20 g C m⁻², 84 g C m⁻², and 105 g C m⁻², respectively, to the atmosphere over recent 6-8 year periods^{49,50}. The parallel trajectory of increasing net annual carbon loss identified by this work suggests that the alpine and arctic tundra carbon cycles may respond to the effects of environmental change in an analogous manner.

Disturbance can also affect carbon cycling in permafrost areas by changing the physical, hydrological, and/or biological characteristics of an ecosystem¹⁷. Solifluction, in particular, is one of the most widespread processes of soil movement in periglacial environments, and physical disturbance could be affecting the vertical distribution of soil organic carbon beneath solifluction lobes^{20,51}. In this way, elevated R_{eco} could result from ongoing solifluction that has exposed previously occluded carbon stocks, although this effect is not well documented in the literature²⁰. Permafrost thaw can also result in soil drydown that promotes increased aerobic respiration¹⁷, and hydrological reorganization can similarly affect vegetation with implications for nutrient allocation and productivity^{10,40}. Previous work on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau hypothesized that slow vegetation recovery after hydrological disruption from permafrost collapse could result in large net annual carbon loss¹⁰; however, neither sudden hydrological nor vegetation changes have been observed at the long-term Niwot Ridge study plots^{30,31}, and increasing aeolian or dissolved organic or inorganic carbon outputs from the system would not affect the carbon fluxes reported here. Ultimately, it is uncertain which if any of these processes may be contributing to respiration of old carbon on Niwot Ridge, but sustained and potentially increasing net annual carbon emissions suggest that the alpine tundra ecosystem is not currently functioning at an equilibrium state.

This study may be the first to directly quantify the respiration of old soil organic matter from alpine tundra. Coupled to 7 years of continuous eddy covariance data, these results constrain the contribution of old carbon to currently observed patterns of mountain carbon cycling. Although snow-scoured areas represent only a small fraction of alpine tundra, these ecosystems are globally distributed and are generally representative of the locations where mountain permafrost occurs. As such, permafrost degradation could be progressively contributing to the carbon source strength of alpine tundra, thereby reducing the integrated carbon sink strength of mountain areas in general. Although the connections between high-latitude climate warming, permafrost degradation, and disturbance are increasingly recognized as important feedbacks to climate change, future eco-climatological projections generally neglect the role of other potentially sensitive systems in this scenario. These results imply that mountain ecosystems could contribute to this feedback in alpine areas where subsurface carbon stocks are vulnerable to environmental disturbance. Similarly, non-steady-state carbon cycling identified

by this work could herald biogeochemical disequilibrium in other spatially heterogeneous, semiarid, or seasonally snow-covered ecosystems given the nature of alpine areas as sentinels of change.

Methods

Site description. The snow-scoured alpine tundra study site was located on Niwot Ridge in the Colorado Rocky Mountains, USA27. Niwot Ridge begins on the Continental Divide and extends eastward as a narrow arête for about 2 km before it widens into a series of gentle slopes on rounded knobs down to the alpine treeline, approximately 8-9 km east of the Continental Divide^{30,52}. In total, the Niwot Ridge alpine zone covers approximately 20 km² in area³¹. Over the period 1982 to 2012, the mean annual air temperature and precipitation near the study site were -2.2 °C and 884 mm (~75% in the form of snow), respectively, but snow cover was sparse due to windy conditions that scoured snow from the soil surface throughout the winter^{27,53}. Continuous meteorological data have been collected on Niwot Ridge since the early 1950s, including the longest high-altitude air temperature record in the conterminous United States⁵⁴. This makes Niwot Ridge an ideal place to study the effects of climate change and variability on the alpine tundra carbon cycle, and two identical 3-m eddy covariance (EC) towers, believed to be the highest in North America, were established near the T-Van site (40°03'06" N; 105°35'07" W; 3480 m asl) in 2007²⁶. These towers measured the NEE of CO2 over a temporally consistent statistical measurement footprint wherein 80% of the cumulative flux originated from within 400 horizontal meters predominantly to the west of each tower along the prevailing wind direction (Fig. 1a)^{26,55}. At each tower, a co-located sonic anemometer (CSAT 3; Campbell Scientific, Logan, UT, USA) and infrared gas analyzer (LI-7500; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) were used to quantify the vertical wind fluctuations and the density of atmospheric CO₂, respectively^{26,27}. The NEE was calculated as the covariance between instantaneous (10 Hz) deviations from the 30-min mean of the vertical wind speed and the scalar density of CO2. Mann-Kendall and least squares regression analyses were used to identify temporal trends in the cumulative NEE, and statistical correlation between meteorology and the carbon cycle, respectively.

Eddy covariance measurements. Previous studies have focused extensively on the data quality from the T-Van study area including the potential for systematic uncertainty resulting from advective flows, sensor heating, and/or beneath-tower CO2 storage, which is generally reduced due to the short measurement height, gently sloping terrain, prevailing windy conditions, and persistent westerly wind direction at the study site^{26,27}. Post-processing of the EC data consisted of standard coordinate rotation and the Webb adjustment⁵⁶. Missing values in the eddy covariance data were replaced by the average value under similar meteorological conditions⁵⁷, and the GPP and Reco were modeled following ref. ⁵⁸. We primarily used data from the west eddy covariance tower since it was located ~50 m closer to the solifluction lobes that may correspond to permafrost. However, during multiday periods of consecutively missing west tower data (5.5% of all data), empirical between-tower relationships specific to daytime and nighttime growing season NEE, and NEE during the rest of the year, were used for infilling purposes. These significant relationships ($p \ll 0.001$) were developed using 1 year of simultaneous east and west tower data collection (data collected between November 2007 and October 2009), and validated using east tower measurements to predict the west tower data between 1 August 2013 and 31 December 2013. Using this approach, the modeled west tower cumulative NEE was 3.3% less than the observed west tower cumulative NEE during that time. There was no significant trend in the percentage of infilled values during the study period.

We used the average of three different methods to determine the growing season start and end dates and the variability around the resultant growing season length³⁹. First, a carbon uptake period⁵⁹ was calculated in which the growing season length corresponds to the total number of days in which the 24-h mean NEE was less than zero. Second, 5-day and 10-day running averages of NEE were evaluated and the growing season start and end dates were identified as the days in which the NEE crossed from positive to negative values and vice versa⁶⁰. Third, variable length regressions were calculated on 3-day to 9-day subsets of total daily NEE during the spring and fall. For this method, the day with the steepest negative slope during the spring defined the beginning of the growing season and the day with the shallowest positive slope in autumn defined the end of the growing season⁶¹.

Radiocarbon measurements. Radiocarbon data are expressed as the parts per thousand (per mil; ‰) deviation from a standard (NBS Oxalic Acid I) of fixed isotopic composition:

$$\Delta^{14}C = [FM - 1]1000, \tag{1}$$

where

$$FM = \frac{\binom{{}^{14}C}{12}sample}{\binom{{}^{14}C}{12}standard},$$
(2)

and FM is the fraction modern. To correct for mass-dependent fractionation, all samples were corrected to a common δ^{13} C value of -25% and also for radioactive decay between the time of sampling and measurement⁶². The δ^{13} C was measured

offline from CO₂ splits at the Institute of Arctic and Alpine Research (INSTAAR) Stable Isotope Laboratory at the University of Colorado Boulder⁶³.

To collect soil respiration samples for radiocarbon analysis during the growing season, semi-permanent 25-cm diameter × 10-cm deep soil collars⁶⁴ were buried to a depth of 5 cm at four alpine tundra sites including one dry meadow, one moist meadow, and two wet meadow locations on solifluction lobes. Soil respiration samples were collected by attaching a closed dynamic chamber system to the soil collar⁶⁵; vegetation inside the soil collars was not clipped. Atmospheric (and soil) air from within the chamber was initially removed by circulating air (at 0.9 l min⁻¹; rate controlled by an adjustable flow meter) from within the chamber headspace through a desiccant (Mg(ClO₄)₂) and then through a column filled with soda lime. The CO₂ was scrubbed in this way until the equivalent of approximately three chamber volumes had passed over the soda lime, in order to maximize the removal of the atmospheric radiocarbon signature. Sample-containing air was then diverted around the soda lime trap to pass through a 2-l glass flask and a CO2 analyzer (LI-820; LI-COR, Lincoln, NE, USA) before being exhausted back into the chamber headspace (flow rate was reduced to $0.51 \,\mathrm{min}^{-1}$ during this step). The sample was isolated and collected by closing the valves on the flask when sufficient CO_2 had accumulated in the flask (~550 µmol mol⁻¹) to prepare a graphite target for radiocarbon analysis.

Due to the combination of persistent high winds and small magnitude respiratory fluxes, this chamber system was unable to capture sufficient carbon for radiocarbon analysis during the winter. As a result, two types of gas wells were deployed to each of the four sampling locations for wintertime radiocarbon analysis. The first type of gas well was constructed of a length of 0.64-cm diameter stainless steel tubing buried vertically in the soil to 15 cm depth (with ~10 cm of tubing extending above the soil surface to allow for sampling), then capped with a 0.64-cm Swagelok union fitted with a Teflon septum⁶⁶. The second type of gas well was constructed of a 7-cm length of 3.8-cm diameter polyvinyl chloride (PVC) pipe capped with a two-hole #10 rubber stopper and sealed with silicone gel^{34,67}. For sampling, two lengths of 0.64-cm diameter Teflon tubing extended from the interior of this gas well (through the two-hole rubber stopper) to a height of approximately 5 cm above the soil surface. Samples were collected from each of these gas wells by connecting a 470 cc pre-evacuated stainless-steel canister to the aboveground tubing (the second piece of tubing from PVC gas wells remained tightly capped) via a length of custom-crimped stainless-steel tubing that allowed the canister to fill over the period of approximately 1 h, in order to minimize disturbance to the soil CO2 profile. The average difference between simultaneous measurements of ¹⁴CO₂ collected during the growing season via the two gas well methods was 0.5‰ (n = 6). In winter, the ¹⁴CO₂ collected from the stainless-steel gas wells was an average of 6‰ higher than the ¹⁴CO₂ from PVC gas wells at the wet meadow site 17, but 19‰ lower than the PVC gas wells at the other wet meadow location (site 18) (n = 12). All air samples were purified and graphitized by the INSTAAR Laboratory for AMS Radiocarbon Preparation and Research at the University of Colorado Boulder, then shipped to the Keck Carbon Cycle AMS Lab at the University of California, Irvine, for analysis.

Although atmospheric CO₂ was removed from the chambers prior to sampling, we accounted for leakage of atmospheric CO₂ into the chambers during the accumulation period (which is of particular importance when soil respiration fluxes are low) by calculating the amount of ambient CO₂ (f_{air}) in each sample based on the δ^{13} C ratios of CO₂ in the sample ($\delta^{13}C_{obs}$), ambient air ($\delta^{13}C_{air}$), and heterotrophic soil respiration ($\delta^{13}C_{resp}$):

$$f_{\rm air} = \frac{\left(\delta^{13} C_{\rm obs}\right) - \left(\delta^{13} C_{\rm resp}\right)}{\left(\delta^{13} C_{\rm air}\right) - \left(\delta^{13} C_{\rm resp}\right)},\tag{3}$$

where $\delta^{13}C_{air} = -8\%$ and $\delta^{13}C_{resp} = -28\%^{68}$. Using this estimate of f_{air} we then calculated air-corrected ¹⁴C signatures ($\Delta^{14}C_{cor}$) from each observed soil respiration ¹⁴C signature ($\Delta^{14}C_{obs}$):

$$\Delta^{14}C_{cor} = \frac{\Delta^{14}C_{obs} - (f_{air}\Delta^{14}C_{air})}{(1 - f_{air})}.$$
(4)

Since radiocarbon analysis of respired soil air yields only a bulk Δ^{14} C value, the SOM was separated by physical means prior to radiocarbon analysis. Soils from 5 to 15 cm depth at the dry, moist, and wet meadow sites were air dried, sieved through 2 mm mesh, and then fractionated into inter-aggregate particulate organic matter (free light fraction (ft.f)), particulate organic matter cocluded within soil aggregates (occluded light fraction (oLf)), and organic matter that is complexed with minerals (heavy fraction; Hf) fractions (based on a density of 1.85 g cm⁻³) at the Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory in Berkeley, California, USA²⁵. All three soil fractions were similarly prepared for radiocarbon analysis at the INSTAAR Laboratory for AMS Radiocarbon Preparation and Research and shipped to the Keck Carbon Cycle AMS Lab for radiocarbon content analysis.

Soil carbon model. We used the software R to model the mean turnover time of the Lf (fLf) and Hf⁴ (oLf + Hf) SOM fractions and their seasonal contribution to soil respiration from a dry meadow and two wet meadow sites on solifluction lobes. We chose these sites for modeling based on the availability of supporting information and contrasts in the respired ¹⁴CO₂ flux. For the wet meadow site, separate models were fit to the summer and winter respiration fluxes; winter respiration was

not detectable at the dry meadow site precluding fitting of a separate model. In total, we assessed the output from three different model parametrizations (dry meadow, wet meadow summer, and wet meadow winter). Differences between the parameter fits of the summer and winter models and their implications are addressed in the manuscript text.

We used the two-pool model in series from the SoilR package as our basic modeling framework, with the Lf and Hf⁴ data from the density separation as constraints on the partitioning of the total carbon (TC) between the two model pools. Each model was initialized at time = 0 with a single depth increment and TC = 12.1 kg C m⁻², which was measured from depth-distributed sampling of the wet meadow site. We then ran the non-steady state models with annual time steps for the period from 1901 to 2015. Based on ref. ⁶⁹, we applied estimated annual carbon inputs of 72 and 124 g C m⁻² for dry and wet meadow simulations, respectively. We derived the radiocarbon content of annual inputs from an interpolation of pre- (IntCal13) and post-bomb (Northern Hemisphere zone 2)⁷⁰

Each of the models was initialized with values for model fitting parameters including the first-order decay constants of the light $(k_{\rm Lf})$ and heavy $(k_{\rm Hf^*})$ pools as well as an input partitioning parameter (α), which describes the fraction of the light pool that is transferred to the heavy pool at each time step. Initial values for carbon pool rate constants were set by assuming an equal contribution from each pool to the observed mean annual soil flux estimates and the initial value was arbitrarily set to $\alpha = 0.25$. We evaluated the parameter combinations resulting in the best model fit to the data using the FME package in R. Specifically, we varied the model fitting parameters, and the model output from each parameterization was compared to observations using a cost function routine. The model cost function considered both the agreement between measured and modeled values of the ¹⁴CO₂ of soil fluxes and the 14C content of the Lf and Hf* pools. Using this cost function routine, the parameter space was searched using a pseudo-random parameter selection to narrow the best-fit parameters at a coarse scale. A Levenberg-Marquardt algorithm method was subsequently implemented to further constrain the best-fit parameter combination, using the results of the pseudo-random method as the input. For each model fitting routine, the same minimum and maximum values for the parameter search space were used. After completion of the model fitting procedure, we ran the model using the best-fit parameter combination. It is important to note that these model best-fit parameters are representative of the instantaneous decay rates required to best match the observed seasonal respiration fluxes and do not necessarily equate to the true long-term decay rates of the model pools.

Data availability

The daily eddy covariance data are available at https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/ 10fb65e51cd04631bb80c82288b5c51a; 30-min and 10-Hz data are available from the authors upon request. The radiocarbon data are available at https://doi.org/10.6073/ pasta/467c6ed086546bc968c568bc1bfea5f9.

Code availability

The eddy covariance processing and radiocarbon modeling scripts are available from the authors upon request.

Received: 27 February 2018 Accepted: 20 February 2019 Published online: 21 March 2019

References

- Schuur, E. A. G. et al. Climate change and the permafrost carbon feedback. Nature 520, 171–179 (2015).
- 2. Xue, K. et al. Tundra soil carbon is vulnerable to rapid microbial
- decomposition under climate warming. *Nat. Clim. Change* 6, 595–600 (2016).
 Hopkins, F. M., Torn, M. S. & Trumbore, S. E. Warming accelerates
- decomposition of decades-old carbon in forest soils. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **109**, 1753–1761 (2012).
- Schuur, E. A. G. et al. Expert assessment of vulnerability of permafrost carbon to climate change. *Clim. Change* 119, 359–374 (2013).
- Bockheim, J. G. & Munroe, J. S. Organic carbon pools and genesis of alpine soils with permafrost: a review. Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res. 46, 987–1006 (2014).
- Beniston, M. et al. The European mountain cryosphere: a review of its current state, trends, and future challenges. *Cryosphere* 12, 759–794 (2018).
- Reverter, B. R. et al. Analyzing the major drivers of NEE in a Mediterranean alpine shrubland. *Biogeosciences* 7, 2601–2611 (2010).
- Zhao, L. et al. Seasonal variations in carbon dioxide exchange in an alpine wetland meadow on the Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Biogeosciences* 7, 1207–1221 (2010).
- Knowles, J. F., Burns, S. P., Blanken, P. D. & Monson, R. K. Fluxes of energy, water, and carbon dioxide from mountain ecosystems at Niwot Ridge, Colorado. *Plant Ecol. Divers.* 8, 663–676 (2015).

ARTICLE

- Mu, C. C. et al. Permafrost collapse shifts alpine tundra to a carbon source but reduces N₂O and CH₄ release on the northern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 44, 8945–8952 (2017).
- 11. Kääb, A., Chiarle, M., Raup, B. & Schneider, C. Climate change impacts on mountain glaciers and permafrost. *Glob. Planet. Change* 56, vii-ix (2007).
- 12. Pepin, N. et al. Elevation-dependent warming in mountain ranges of the world. *Nat. Clim. Change* 5, 424–430 (2015).
- Williams, M. W., Losleben, M. V. & Hamann, H. B. Alpine areas in the Colorado Front Range as monitors of climate change and ecosystem response. *Geogr. Rev.* 92, 180–191 (2002).
- 14. Seastedt, T. R. et al. The landscape continuum: a model for high-elevation ecosystems. *Bioscience* 54, 111–121 (2004).
- Monson, R. K. et al. Winter forest soil respiration controlled by climate and microbial community composition. *Nature* 439, 711–714 (2006).
- Kirschbaum, M. U. F. The temperature dependence of soil organic matter decomposition, and the effect of global warming on soil organic C storage. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 27, 753–760 (1995).
- Jorgenson, M. T. & Osterkamp, T. E. Response of boreal ecosystems to varying modes of permafrost degradation. *Can. J. For. Res.* 35, 2100–2111 (2005).
- Lawrence, D. M., Koven, C. D., Swenson, S. C., Riley, W. J. & Slater, A. G. Permafrost thaw and resulting soil moisture changes regulate projected highlatitude CO₂ and CH₄ emissions. *Environ. Res. Lett.* **10**, 094011 (2015).
- Abbott, B. W. et al. Biomass offsets little or none of permafrost carbon release from soils, streams, and wildfire: an expert assessment. *Environ. Res. Lett.* 11, 034014 (2016).
- Obu, J. et al. Effect of terrain characteristics on soil organic carbon and total nitrogen stocks in soils of Herschel Island, Western Canadian Arctic. *Permafr. Periglac. Process* 29, 92–107 (2017).
- Belshe, E. F., Schuur, E. A. G. & Bolker, B. M. Tundra ecosystems observed to be CO₂ sources due to differential amplification of the carbon cycle. *Ecol. Lett.* 16, 1307–1315 (2013).
- 22. Oechel, W. C. et al. Recent change of Arctic tundra ecosystems from a net carbon dioxide sink to a source. *Nature* **361**, 520–523 (1993).
- 23. Trumbore, S. E. Age of soil organic matter and soil respiration: radiocarbon constraints on belowground C dynamics. *Ecol. Appl.* **10**, 399–411 (2000).
- Sierra, C. A., Müller, M., Metzler, H., Manzoni, S. & Trumbore, S. E. The muddle of ages, turnover, transit, and residence times in the carbon cycle. *Glob. Change Biol.* 23, 1763–1773 (2017).
- Swanston, C. W. et al. Initial characterization of processes of soil carbon stabilization using forest stand-level radiocarbon enrichment. *Geoderma* 128, 52–62 (2005).
- Blanken, P. D. et al. A comparison of water and carbon dioxide exchange at a windy alpine tundra and subalpine forest site near Niwot Ridge, Colorado. *Biogeochemistry* 95, 61–76 (2009).
- Knowles, J. F., Blanken, P. D., Williams, M. W. & Chowanski, K. M. Energy and surface moisture seasonally limit evaporation and sublimation from snow-free alpine tundra. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 157, 106–115 (2012).
- Scholz, K., Hammerle, A., Hiltbrunner, E. & Wohlfahrt, G. Analyzing the effects of growing season length on the net ecosystem production of an alpine grassland using model-data fusion. *Ecosystems* 21, 982–999 (2018).
- Billings, W. D. & Mooney, H. A. The ecology of arctic and alpine plants. *Biol. Rev. Camb. Philos. Soc.* 43, 481–529 (1968).
- Benedict, J. B. Downslope soil movement in a Colorado alpine region: rates, processes, and climatic significance. Arct. Alp. Res. 2, 165–226 (1970).
- Ives, J. D. & Fahey, B. D. Permafrost occurrence in the Front Range, Colorado Rocky Mountains, USA. J. Glaciol. 10, 105–111 (1971).
- Fahey, B. D. Seasonal frost heave and frost penetration measurements in the Indian Peaks region of the Colorado Front Range. *Arct. Alp. Res.* 6, 63–70 (1974).
- Leopold, M. et al. Using geophysical methods to study the shallow subsurface of a sensitive alpine environment, Niwot Ridge, Colorado Front Range, USA. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* 40, 519–530 (2008).
- Knowles, J. F., Blanken, P. D. & Williams, M. W. Wet meadow ecosystems contribute the majority of over-winter soil respiration from snow-scoured alpine tundra. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 121, 1118–1130 (2016).
- Bernhardt, E. S. et al. Control points in ecosystems: moving beyond the hot spot hot moment concept. *Ecosystems* 20, 665–682 (2017).
- Janke, J. R. The occurrence of alpine permafrost in the Front Range of Colorado. *Geomorphology* 67, 375–389 (2005).
- Leopold, M., Völkel, J., Dethier, D. P. & Williams, M. W. Changing mountain permafrost from the 1970s to today—comparing two examples from Niwot Ridge, Colorado Front Range, USA. Z. Geomorph. 58, 137–157 (2014).
- Caine, N. Recent hydrologic change in a Colorado alpine basin: an indicator of permafrost thaw? *Ann. Glaciol.* 51, 130–134 (2010).
- 39. Barnard, D. M. et al. Reevaluating growing season length controls on net ecosystem production in evergreen conifer forests. *Sci. Rep.* 8, 17973 (2018).
- 40. Wieder, W. R., Knowles, J. F., Blanken, P. D., Swenson, S. C. & Suding, K. N. Ecosystem function in complex mountain terrain: combining models and

long-term observations to advance process-based understanding. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 122, 825–845 (2017).

- Knowles, J. F., Blanken, P. D. & Williams, M. W. Soil respiration variability across a soil moisture and vegetation community gradient within a snowscoured alpine meadow. *Biogeochemistry* 125, 185–202 (2015).
- Schimel, D. Carbon sequestration studied in western U.S. mountains. EOS Trans. AGU 83, 445–449 (2002).
- Desai, A. R. et al. Seasonal pattern of regional carbon balance in the central Rocky Mountains from surface and airborne measurements. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 116, G04009 (2011).
- Treat, C. C. et al. Tundra landscape heterogeneity, not interannual variability, controls the decadal regional carbon balance in the Western Russian Arctic. *Glob. Change Biol.* 24, 5188–5204 (2018).
- Koven, C. et al. On the formation of high-latitude soil carbon stocks: Effects of cryoturbation and insulation by organic matter in a land surface model. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 36, L21501 (2009).
- Neff, J. C. et al. Variable effects of nitrogen additions on the stability and turnover of soil carbon. *Nature* 419, 915–917 (2002).
- Valentini, R. et al. Respiration as the main determinant of carbon balance in European forests. *Nature* 404, 861–865 (2000).
- Piao, S. et al. Net carbon dioxide losses of northern ecosystems in response to autumn warming. *Nature* 451, 49–53 (2008).
- Euskirchen, E. S., Bret-Harte, M. S., Shaver, G. R., Edgar, C. W. & Romanovsky, V. E. Long-term release of carbon dioxide from arctic tundra ecosystems in Alaska. *Ecosystems* 20, 960–974 (2017).
- Celis, G. et al. Tundra is a consistent source of CO₂ at a site with progressive permafrost thaw during 6 years of chamber and eddy covariance measurements. J. Geophys. Res. Biogeosci. 122, 1471–1485 (2017).
- Jobbágy, E. G. & Jackson, R. B. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecol. Appl.* 10, 423–436 (2000).
- Marr, J. W. The development and movement of tree islands near the upper limit of tree growth in the southern Rocky Mountains. *Ecology* 58, 1159–1164 (1977).
- 53. Berg, N. H. Blowing snow at a Colorado alpine site: measurements and implications. Arct. Alp. Res. 18, 147-161 (1986).
- Greenland, D. The climate of Niwot Ridge, Front Range, Colorado, USA. Arct. Alp. Res. 21, 380–391 (1989).
- Kljun, N., Calanca, P., Rotach, M. W. & Schmid, H. P. A simple twodimensional parameterization for Flux Footprint Prediction. *Geosci. Model Dev.* 8, 3695–3713 (2015).
- Lee, X., Massman, W. & Law, B. Handbook of Micrometeorology: A Guide for Surface Flux Measurements and Analysis (Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004).
- Falge, E. et al. Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. Agric. For. Meteorol. 107, 43–69 (2001).
- Reichstein, M. et al. On the separation of net ecosystem exchange into assimilation and ecosystem respiration: review and improved algorithm. *Glob. Change Biol.* 11, 1424–1439 (2005).
- Churkina, G., Schimel, D., Braswell, B. H. & Xiao, X. Spatial analysis of growing season length control over net ecosystem exchange. *Glob. Change Biol.* 11, 1777–1787 (2005).
- Richardson, A. D. et al. Influence of spring and autumn phenological transitions on forest ecosystem productivity. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B* 365, 3227–3246 (2010).
- Hu, J., Moore, D. J. P., Burns, S. P. & Monson, R. K. Longer growing seasons lead to less carbon sequestration by a subalpine forest. *Glob. Change Biol.* 16, 771–783 (2010).
- Stuiver, M. & Polach, H. A. Discussion: reporting of ¹⁴C data. *Radiocarbon* 19, 355–363 (1977).
- Vaughn, B. H., Miller, J. B., Ferretti, D. F. & White, J. C. in *Handbook of Stable Isotope Techniques* (ed. de Groot, P.) 272–304 (Elsevier, London, 2004).
- Davidson, E. A., Belk, E. & Boone, R. D. Soil water content and temperature as independent or confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. *Glob. Change Biol.* 4, 217–227 (1998).
- Gaudinski, J. B., Trumbore, S. E., Davidson, E. A. & Zheng, S. Soil carbon cycling in a temperate forest: radiocarbon-based estimates of residence times, sequestration rates and partitioning of fluxes. *Biogeochemistry* 51, 33–69 (2000).
- 66. Moyes, A. B., Schauer, A. J., Siegwolf, R. T. W. & Bowling, D. R. An injection method for measuring the carbon isotope content of soil carbon dioxide and soil respiration with a tunable diode laser absorption spectrometer. *Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.* 24, 894–900 (2010).
- Pacific, V. J., McGlynn, B. L., Riveros-Iregui, D. A., Welsch, D. L. & Epstein, H. E. Variability in soil respiration across riparian-hillslope transitions. *Biogeochemistry* 91, 51–70 (2008).
- Czimczik, C. I. & Welker, J. M. Radiocarbon content of CO₂ respired from High Arctic Tundra in Northwest Greenland. *Arct. Antarct. Alp. Res.* 42, 342–350 (2010).

- Bowman, W. D. & Fisk, M. C. in *Structure and Function of an Alpine Ecosystem* (eds. Bowman, W. D. & Seastedt, T. R.) 177–197 (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2001).
- Hua, Q., Barbetti, M. & Rakowski, A. J. Atmospheric radiocarbon for the period 1950–2010. *Radiocarbon* 55, 2059–2072 (2013).

Acknowledgements

This study was funded by NSF awards BCS 1129562 to J.F.K. and DEB 0423662, DEB 1027341, and DEB 1637686 to the Niwot Ridge LTER. We thank Scott Lehman and Dave Bowling for improving our radiocarbon sampling design, Cristina Castanha for help with soil density fractionations, and Natascha Kljun and Carlos Sierra for supporting the Flux Footprint Prediction and radiocarbon modeling analyses, respectively. William Kolby Smith provided valuable feedback on an early version of this manuscript. Publication of this article was funded in part by the University of Colorado Boulder Libraries Open Access Fund. Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Author contributions

J.F.K., P.D.B., and M.W.W. designed the study, J.F.K. collected the data, J.F.K. and C.R.L. analyzed the data, J.F.K. wrote the manuscript, and J.F.K., P.D.B., C.R.L., and M.W.W. contributed to the final manuscript version.

Additional information

Supplementary Information accompanies this paper at https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-019-09149-2.

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

Reprints and permission information is available online at http://npg.nature.com/ reprintsandpermissions/

Journal peer review information: *Nature Communications* thanks the anonymous reviewers for their contribution to the peer review of this work. Peer reviewer reports are available.

Publisher's note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article's Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article's Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit http://creativecommons.org/ licenses/by/4.0/.

© The Author(s) 2019