Measurements and Simulations of Aerosol Released while Singing and Playing Wind Instruments

- 4 Tehya Stockman^a, Shengwei Zhu^b, Abhishek Kumar^c, Lingzhe Wang^d, Sameer Patel^e, James
- Weaver^f, Mark Spede^g, Don Milton^h, Jean Hertzbergⁱ, Darin Toohey^j, Marina Vance^k, Jelena
 Srebric^l, Shelly L. Miller^m
- 7
- ^a Department of Civil, Environmental, and Architectural Engineering, University of Colorado
 Boulder, Boulder, CO, 80309, USA
- ^b Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, 20742, MD,
- 11 USA
- ^c Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, 80309, CO,
 USA
- ^d Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, 20742, MD,
 USA
- ^e Department of Civil Engineering, Indian Institute of Technology, Gandhinagar, Gujrat, 382355,
 India
- 18 ^fNational Federation of State High School Associations, Indianapolis, IN, 46402, USA
- ^g Department of Performing Arts, Clemson University, Clemson, SC, 29634, USA
- ^h Maryland Institute for Applied Environmental Health, School of Public Health, University of
- 21 Maryland, College Park, MD, 20740, USA
- ⁱ Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, 80309, CO,
- 23 USA
- ^j Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Sciences, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder,
 80309, CO, USA
- ^k Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, 80309, CO,
 USA
- ¹Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Maryland, College Park, 20742, MD,
- 29 USÅ
- ^m Department of Mechanical Engineering, University of Colorado Boulder, Boulder, 80309, CO,
- 31 USA
- 32

33 Appendix 1: Recommendations for Musicians

- 34
- 35 The goals of the recommendations are to limit emissions and exposure to potentially infections
- 36 aerosols produced by musical performance. Wind instruments, singing, and theater performance

37 produce aerosols, which vary by intensity and pitch.¹ We recommend a layered approach to

38 decreasing exposure to potentially infectious aerosols, larger droplets, and surfaces. The layered

39 approach we recommend includes: wearing face masks and putting masks over the bells of wind

40 instruments; rehearsing and performing in well-ventilated spaces; limiting rehearsal time;

- 41 practicing good hand hygiene; disposing of liquids from spit valves in an appropriate receptacle.
- 42

43 1.1 Masks for singers and musical instruments

44 We found that bell "masks" for wind instruments and well-fitted masks for singers and

45 performers were effective at decreasing aerosols and larger droplet emissions from musical

46 performance. Aerosol concentrations measured at bell of instruments and in front of the mouth of

47 singers decreased across a wide range of instruments and performers when a mask was worn.

48 These control measures also decreased the jet speed and extent, decreasing the plume range of

49 more highly concentrated aerosol exposure.

50

51 1.1.1 Note on bell covers for woodwind instruments

While air and aerosols escape from keyholes from woodwind instruments, we found that the
number of particles was minimal. Using a bell cover eliminated a majority of the aerosol coming

54 from the instrument. Because covering every keyhole of a woodwind instrument (such as playing

55 with the instrument in a bag) makes it difficult and uncomfortable to play, we recommend bell

56 covers as the main control measure for woodwind instruments.

57

58 1.1.2 Note on materials of bell covers

59 Standard 3-layer surgical masks were used for small instruments, such as woodwinds. Large

60 instruments were tested with Merv-13 material inside of a nylon spandex material. Utilizing only

a stretchy material such as spandex is not recommended. As the material stretches to fit over the

62 bell, aerosols can more easily pass through the holes in the material.

¹ Alsved et al. 2020. DOI 10.1080/02786826.2020.1812502.

64 1.2 Face Shields

Face shields are only effective at close range to stop large droplets (such as the visible droplets

66 from a cough or sneeze) and do not prevent aerosols from being emitted or inhaled. Aerosols are

67 small and follow streamlines around face shields. Lindsley et al. found that face shields blocked

68 approximately 2% of aerosols generated by a cough aerosol simulator.²

69

70 1.3 Plexiglass/Plastic/Glass Barriers are Not Recommended

71 We do not recommend plexiglass partitions or barriers as they have been shown to not protect

72 against aerosol exposure³. Similar to face shields, aerosols follow streamlines around the

barriers. There is large variability in each room and the HVAC system (or lack thereof) for each

space. This makes it especially challenging to determine the effect of barriers, because the effects

75 may vary considerably depending on these factors.

76

77 1.4 Social Distancing Recommended

78 Performers should follow social distancing protocols as recommended by the CDC for music

79 activities. Aerosol concentrations are highest closest to the source, both inside and outside, and

80 decrease with distance.

81

82 1.5 Rehearsal Space Preference Order

83 We recommend that musicians and performers perform in outside environments compared to

84 indoor environments. If musicians cannot perform outside, then indoor performance spaces must

85 be highly ventilated. Rehearsal space preference order:

86 1. Outdoors

87 2. Indoors with elevated outdoor air exchange rate from HVAC

- 88 3. Indoors with typical outdoor air exchange rate from HVAC plus recirculation air
- 89 through MERV 13 filters or addition of appropriately sized HEPA air cleaners

² Lindsley et al. 2021. DOI 10.1080/15459624.2013.877591.

³ Fried et al. 2020. DOI 10.1213/ANE.00000000005249.

90	4. Indoors with outdoor air exchange rate from open windows supplemented with
91	appropriately sized HEPA air cleaners when airflow is reduced under certain outdoor
92	wind conditions.
93	
94	1.6 Limiting Rehearsal Time
95	If indoor spaces are used, we recommend having at least three air changes per hour in the
96	rehearsal room and limiting rehearsal time to 30 minutes ^{4,5} at a time before leaving the room for
97	at least one air change. For a room that has three air changes per hour, one air change is 20
98	minutes.
99	
100	1.7 HEPA Air Cleaners to Supplement Ventilation
101	
102	If indoor spaces are used, we recommend using HEPA air cleaners. Portable HEPA air cleaners
103	have been shown in previous studies to decrease risk of airborne diseases such as tuberculosis. ⁶
104	HEPA air cleaners increase air changes per hour and decrease aerosol concentrations in a room.
105	The HEPA air cleaner should be appropriately sized for the space. This <u>blog</u> by Shelly Miller
106	contains more information about CADR and HEPA air cleaners. An important number to
107	consider when looking at HEPA air cleaners is the clean air delivery rate (CADR), which is often
108	given in cubic feet per minute.
109	
110	
111	
112	
113	
114	

⁴ Melikov et al. 2020. DOI 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.140908.
⁵ Miller et al. 2021. DOI 10.1111/ina.12751.
⁶ Miller-Leiden et al. 1996. DOI 10.1080/10473289.1996.10467523.

115 Appendix 2: Bell Covers

116

117 2.1 Bell Covers vs No Bell Covers for Various Instruments and Performers



118



120 over 1 minute for each sample, each test is 4 or 5 APS samples. The error bars show the standard

121 *deviation of each test.*





124 Fig S2. Each bar is the time average of each test. Each test was 4 - 5 minutes in length; the APS averages

over 1 minute for each sample, each test is 4 or 5 APS samples. The error bars show the standard

- *deviation of each test.*



Fig S3. Each bar is the time average of each test. Each test was 4 minutes in length; the APS averages

130 over 1 minute for each sample, each test is 4 APS samples. The error bars show the standard deviation of

each test.

133 Appendix 3: Additional Instrument Experiments

- 134 The following section shows results of APS-sized aerosols for additional instruments tested:
- 135 woodwinds (bassoon, clarinet, flute, oboe, saxophone), brass (French horn, trumpet, trombone,
- tuba), performers (baritone singer, soprano singer, theater performer).
- 137
- 138 3.1 Woodwinds
- 139 3.1.1 Bassoon



Fig S4. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for
bassoon player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS
size-resolved number concentrations over time of bassoon player (bottom) for particles in the

- 145 ranges: $0.523 1 \ \mu m$, $1-2.5 \ \mu m$, $2.5-5 \ \mu m$, $5-10 \ \mu m$, and $10-20 \ \mu m$. Sampling was done at the
- 146 bell of the instrument.
 - APS Number Conq.:09232020 Clarinet 10¹ 10¹ voo llee dN/dlogDp (# cm⁻² D(µm) 10⁰ 10⁻¹ 10 70 0 20 30 40 50 60 80 Time (min) Size-resolved APS Number Conc.:09232020 Clarinet Total Number Conc. (cm⁻³) 0 20 1 5 5 1 5 1 ~0.5-1 μm -1-2.5 μm -2.5-5 μm ask. -5-10 μm -10-20 μm surgical urnical 40 Time (min) 10 20 30 50 60 70 80 90 Total Volume Conc. ($\mu m^3 cm^{-3}$) 0 0 1 2 6 4 9 9 Size-resolved APS Volume Conc.:09232020 Clarinet ~0.5-1 μm 1-2.5 μm 2.5-5 μm <u>regin</u> Deain pue -5-10 µm 10-20 µm 10 20 30 40 50 Time (min) 60 70 80 90
- 147 3.1.2 Clarinet



Fig S5. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for clarinet player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS size-resolved number concentrations over time of clarinet player (bottom) for particles in the ranges: $0.523 - 1 \mu m$, 1-2.5 μm , 2.5-5 μm , 5-10 μm , and 10-20 μm . Sampling was done at the bell of the instrument.



Fig S6. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for flute 158

- player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS size-159
- 160 resolved number concentrations over time of flute player (bottom) for particles in the ranges:
- $0.523 1 \mu m$, 1-2.5 μm , 2.5-5 μm , 5-10 μm , and 10-20 μm . Sampling was done at the bell of the 161
- 162 instrument.

3.1.4 Oboe 163



165



player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS size-167

resolved number concentrations over time of oboe player (bottom) for particles in the ranges: 168

 $0.523 - 1 \mu m$, 1-2.5 μm , 2.5-5 μm , 5-10 μm , and 10-20 μm . Sampling was done at the bell of the 169

170 instrument.

171 3.1.5 Saxophone



Fig S8. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for
saxophone player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute.
APS size-resolved number concentrations over time of saxophone player (bottom) for particles in
the ranges: 0.523 – 1 μm, 1-2.5 μm, 2.5-5 μm, 5-10 μm, and 10-20 μm. Sampling was done at
the bell of the instrument.

- 180 3.2 Brass
- **181 3.2.1** French Horn
- 182



183





186 French horn player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute.

187 APS size-resolved number concentrations over time of French horn player (bottom) for particles

in the ranges: $0.523 - 1 \ \mu\text{m}$, $1-2.5 \ \mu\text{m}$, $2.5-5 \ \mu\text{m}$, $5-10 \ \mu\text{m}$, and $10-20 \ \mu\text{m}$. Sampling was done at

the bell of the instrument.



Fig S10. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for trumpet player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS size-resolved number concentrations over time of trumpet player (bottom) for particles in the ranges: $0.523 - 1 \mu m$, $1-2.5 \mu m$, $2.5-5 \mu m$, $5-10 \mu m$, and $10-20 \mu m$. Sampling was done at the bell of the instrument.



Fig S11. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for 201 202 trombone player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS 203 size-resolved number concentrations over time of trombone player (bottom) for particles in the 204 ranges: 0.523 – 1 µm, 1-2.5 µm, 2.5-5 µm, 5-10 µm, and 10-20 µm. Sampling was done at the bell of the instrument. 205 206

3.2.4 Tuba 207





Fig S12. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for tuba 210

- 211 player (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS size-
- 212 resolved number concentrations over time of tuba player (bottom) for particles in the ranges:

213 $0.523 - 1 \mu m$, 1-2.5 μm , 2.5-5 μm , 5-10 μm , and 10-20 μm . Sampling was done at the bell of the

214 instrument.

3.3 Performers 215

216 3.3.1 Baritone Singer



218

Fig S13. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for 219 baritone singer (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS 220 size-resolved number concentrations over time of baritone singer (bottom) for particles in the 221 ranges: $0.523 - 1 \ \mu m$, $1-2.5 \ \mu m$, $2.5-5 \ \mu m$, $5-10 \ \mu m$, and $10-20 \ \mu m$. Sampling was done at the 222

223 bell of the instrument.



Fig S14. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for soprano singer (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute. APS size-resolved number concentrations over time of soprano singer (bottom) for particles in the ranges: $0.523 - 1 \mu m$, $1-2.5 \mu m$, $2.5-5 \mu m$, $5-10 \mu m$, and $10-20 \mu m$. Sampling was done at the bell of the instrument.

3.3.3 Theater



Fig S15. UHSAS size-resolved number concentration over time from 400 nm to 1000 nm for

theatre performer (top). The UHSAS particle concentrations were averaged over one minute.

APS size-resolved number concentrations over time of theatre performer (bottom) for particles in

the ranges: 0.523 – 1 µm, 1-2.5 µm, 2.5-5 µm, 5-10 µm, and 10-20 µm. Sampling was done at

- the bell of the instrument.