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Abstract

This study examines the participation of historically erased Tainos and West Africans in the construction and meaning of La Catedral Primada de América, not simply as “contributors,” but as active agents and creators of its form and meaning in the culturally plural place of Hispaniola during the sixteenth century. A critical review of literature on La Catedral reveals how the early configurations of Imperio-Christian narratives of colonizer/colonized helped to shape later art-historical configurations of nationalism by carrying forward models of racial superiority from the time of Imperial Christian expansion to the nationalisms of the post-revolutionary period of independence. This is important because it demonstrates how the Dominant Narrative leaves no room for peoples and meanings outside of the Imperio-Christian worldview. A close reading of colonial slave records reveals interesting clues about the colonial society of Hispaniola and the substantial numbers of Taino and West African co-participants of the church. To consider how these individuals understood, or imagined, their new center of worship, I consider the most salient aspects of their sacred-aesthetics and apply them to an analysis of the church. West African, Taino, and Euro-Christian perspectives reveal La Catedral as an architectural composite of meanings that has not previously been discussed in the literature.
To the memory of the Taino and West Africans who participated in the building of

*La Catedral de Santo Domingo*
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CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

Scope and Thesis

This thesis examines the contributions of West African\(^1\) and Taíno\(^2\) artists to La Catedral Primada\(^3\) in Santo Domingo, Dominican Republic, and argues that they should be honored not as ancillary players, but as core participants in a building history that was multifaceted in its unfolding. The exclusion of these co-participants from earlier accounts of this structure can be construed as a by-product of the approach that has traditionally been taken to architecture in the Americas.\(^4\) Many of the labels scholars have used to categorize early modern architecture in the region emphasize cultural convergence, typically of the autochthonous and the foreign.\(^5\)

However, while some emphasize the purely Hispanist tradition, others proclaim the purity of indigeneity, and still others celebrate a utopic mestizaje, or hybridity. These labels and categories for art and architecture are also implicated in a broader cultural context by sustaining narratives that promote historical amnesia.\(^6\) Further, classification systems not only reveal an underlying preoccupation with ideas of race (that is, racial purity or mixture), but also enact a historical erasure that occurs when certain (“superior”) histories are privileged over (“inferior”) others, and when histories still reflect “Renaissance notions regarding the supremacy of the idea over praxis,” as Susan Verdi Webster has pointed out.\(^7\) To wit, these tendencies assign a double power dynamic of superior/inferior in naming types and styles, and in maintaining ideas of master/slave. That is, authorship that gives full creative license to the “master” architect while characterizing the skilled Taíno and West African participants as passive laborers capable only of following instructions. By virtue of privileging narratives of colonizer/colonized, a number of co-participants are left out of the story, or even erased.
In the case of the first church of the Americas, *La Catedral Metropolitana de Santo Domingo*, colonial records show that both “yndios” and “negros” participated in the construction. A subsequent chronicle reveals a number of side chapels founded by confraternities of “negros” and “negros criollos.” Not only did Taínos and West Africans contribute to the construction and financing of the church, but we know that Black confraternities used the church. This thesis discusses these previously unacknowledged co-participants while critically examining Eurocentric tendencies in previous scholarship on the church. I argue that the metanarratives of colonialism and nationalism continue the legacy of colonization and disallow alternative stories, therefore, colonizing history itself. Following critical studies on cultural intersection, agencies, and decolonial theory, and keeping in mind Robert Young’s call to understand “coloniality” alongside modernity, my thesis provides a critical, alternative architectural history of *La Catedral Primada* that considers West African and Taíno contributions and imaginaries on equal footing with those recorded by the Spanish.

**Framing This Study**

Most histories of the *La Catedral* include the “discovery” of Cristobal Colón, the exploits of the privateer Sir Francis Drake and the late gothic Imperial style, Isabelline. A look at the last eighty years of art and architectural history of the Americas sheds light on how the formation of the field has been implicit in the reification of these Euro-centric master narratives. The field grew out of nationalistic agendas roughly during the first half of the twentieth century, leading up to World War II. For example, scholars and architects from Argentina, namely Martin Noel (1888-1963) and Angel Guido (1896-1960), promoted colonial architecture as part of an agenda of regional patriotism while producing tomes on colonial art and architecture. With this trend toward nationalism came what art historian Gauvin Bailey calls the *renacimiento colonial* from
the 1920s-50s as a Latin American alternative to International Modernism.\textsuperscript{11} In Mexico, while serving as the director of the \textit{Dirección de Monumentos Coloniales}, Manuel Toussaint (1890-1955) contributed to the neo-colonial trend seen in the regional nationalisms elsewhere in Latin America.\textsuperscript{12} In Peru, architects and scholars Emilio Harth-Terré (1899-1983) and Ricardo Mariátegui Oliva proclaimed the merits of promoting colonial arts as a patriotic imperative.\textsuperscript{13}

The political climate in Europe, approaching the Second World War, also influenced the scholarship of Latin America as many scholars began to emigrate from the U.S. and Europe to Latin America. Among them was Hungarian-born Pál Kelemen (1894-1993), who contributed one of the first studies of Latin American architecture that mentioned (and was sympathetic to) the “workmen of the New World.”\textsuperscript{14} The French scholar Germain Bazin published articles on the colonial architecture of Brazil, which he called Luso-Brazilian architecture. The American Harold Wethey should be noted as one of the first scholars of Latin American art to entertain the mixing of “Indian” and Euro-Catholic styles in Andean Peruvian architecture.\textsuperscript{15} The German émigré Alfred Neumeyer also considered a broader cultural context by considering the universality of folk character as an explanation of indigenous contributions to the architecture of Peru.\textsuperscript{16} Acknowledgment of an indigenous presence was the impetus for George Kubler’s very influential work, "On the Colonial Extinction of the Motifs of Pre-Columbian Art," in which the author debunks the notion of native survivals by elaborating his five-part model, or process, of extinction, in which he asserts that what many consider indigenous folk art is really “a product of copying” that is devoid of symbolic meaning.\textsuperscript{17} What Gauvin Alexander Bailey calls the “Great Debate” has resulted in the division of the field, today distinguished by specializations and studies, that focus on the purely “indigenous,” mestizo, or European.
Today, architectural scholars, especially those concentrating on New Spain and Peru, have begun to organize around tropes of cultural intersection. However, researchers in the Caribbean have delayed in adopting a similar discourse. Felipe Hernández discusses this delay in Latin American architectural studies as well as the need for consolidating research on cultural intersection and architecture. His anthology, *Transculturation: Cities, Spaces and Architectures in Latin America* (2005), seeks to operationalize the concept of “transculturation.” That is, by “challeng[ing] foundational, homogenizing and hierarchical methods of architectural analysis, transculturation becomes a “multidimensional and endless interactive process between various cultural systems.”

Along these lines, North American art historians such as Tom Cummins, Jeanette Favrot Peterson, Eleanor Wake, Samuel Edgerton, Dana Leibsohn, Carolyn Dean, and Susan Verdi-Webster have all engaged the architecture of the Americas by challenging colonial narratives and ways of knowing and using theoretical tropes such as “hybridity,” materiality, and agency to contribute new approaches to the architectures of the Americas during the early modern era.

The present study enters into this theorized vein of architectural studies, which emphasizes hybridity, coloniality, and agency. Additionally, it draws upon the concept of the “art matrix,” as well as a comparable concept in Media Studies, the “circuit of culture,” which describes the processes of culture “through which any analysis of a cultural text or artifact must pass if it is to be adequately studied.” The “matrix” and “circuit” are systematic approaches to the same issues and concerns: what can be learned from the physical aspect of the cultural object, who are the agents involved, how is the thing used, and by what rules (or enforcement). As a part of a *matrix of visual culture* *La Catedral* is not limited as an autonomous object but is a dynamic, fluid, active force that participates in culture and its systems of meanings. While this thesis
offers alternative meanings and perspectives, and new possibilities for future study, it does not profess knowable evidence. This project is more concerned with paving new avenues than it is with establishing facts or fixing a new narrative. The interpretive framework of this project is, accordingly, based on my reading of the church supported by archival and literary patterns and sacred-aesthetic epistemologies.

**Thesis Outline**

In Chapter One, this study approaches these concerns by deconstructing the literature on the church, analyzing records of slavery, and Taíno and West African sacred-aesthetics in order to sieve out some of the main narratives, learn more about these co-participants, and reconstruct alternate meanings of the church. A more detailed outline of my goals follows.

In Chapter Two, I do two things. First, I destabilize the historical primacy of the dominant narrative by pointing to the colonial or Imperio-Christian perspective of its literature from the sixteenth century to today. Second, I perform a close reading of colonial slave records and court proceedings to uncover more information about the Taíno and West African co-participants of the church.

In Chapter Three, I emphasize some of the most salient aspects of Taíno and West African ways of understanding sacred objects and sites. I explore alternative meanings for *La Catedral* by adding Taíno and West African sacred-aesthetic perspectives of form, material, and space to its dominant narrative.

In the conclusion in Chapter Four, I develop the possibilities of extending this project. This future study includes research on the religiously syncretic *confradías* (confraternities) that emerged in the sixteenth-century and it examines how meaning is created through ritual performance. I also point to other materials, forms, and questions of culture that may be more
deeply explored in the archives and early literature, and end by considering the broader implications of my study.

My project interrogates questions of architectural hybridity by moving beyond the common colonized/colonizer classifications and the dichotomy of Spanish master-and-slave labor by looking at *La Catedral* as a culturally plural place. Discussing colonial visual culture has wide socio-historical implications linked to race and imperialism. Our exclusion of everything non-Christian-Euro-White, draws our attention to our own personally mediated forms of prejudice and racism. The very notion of categorizing and thus fixing history without considering these implications reveals an expansionist manifest-destiny mentality. Rather than naming (e.g., medieval, or Baroque), or fixing a cultural object to one time, place, and story, I use a decolonial lens along the lines of Walter Mignolo’s “decolonial paradigm.” It is a lens of multivalence that considers cultural objects as a part of a larger network of relations. It reads between the lines of the dominant narrative and challenges its colonialist assumptions by working reflexively and in a cross-disciplinary manner. The church acts as a useful case study, as a nodal point from which to move through time and explore the imaginaries, materiality, representations, and organizing mechanisms connected to the church. Accordingly, I shed light on the problematics of imperialistic and divisive naming, which imbues the study with “the logic of coloniality” by troubling “the patterns of understanding inherited from the European Renaissance . . . by playing them against the disarticulation and rearticulation of knowledge inherited from colonial and neo-colonial legacies.”
Notes:

1 I am studying the Yoruba culture and people. Using this term, however, has many implications—more generally that of a homogenizing and colonial view, which freezes a region of Africa and its arts in a past time and place. One perspective is that the term is laden with polemics of historic ethnic identity. Or, as Suzanne Blier explains, “tropes of indigenous primacy” defined colonially. I use “Yoruba” to conceive of a transatlantic concept of the sacred-aesthetic of the peoples who historically and mythologically originate from ancient Ife; Suzanne P. Blier, *Art and Risk in Ancient Yoruba: Ife History, Power, and Identity*, c. 1300 (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2015), 34 & 41; Further, due to the long history and large concentration of slave trading in West-Central Africa, a region culturally and historically dominated by the Yoruba culture, Yoruba peoples now occupy the areas of present-day Nigeria, Benin, and Togo. For example, a third of the one million enslaved from the Bight of Benin arrived at Saint-Domingue. Kevin Roberts, “The Influential Yoruba Past In Haiti,” *The Yoruba Diaspora in the Atlantic World*, eds. Toyin Falola and Matt D. Childs (Bloomington: Indiana University Press, 2004), 177-178. The first Africans arrived in the Americas from the Iberian Peninsula via the Muslim caravan routes which transported enslaved Africans from the Niger River Valley to Mediterranean trade centres or via the trade along the Gold Coast established by the Portuguese in the 1440s. Enslaved Africans began being transported from the Portuguese entrepôts of Sao Tomé, an island in the Gulf of Guinea, and Luanda on the mainland, directly to the Americas after 1530 and 1570, respectively. Herbert S. Klein, *African Slavery in Latin America and the Caribbean* (Oxford: Oxford University Press, 1986), 11-20. In terms of studying visual culture, art historian Babatunde Lawal has said, “The unity in diversity now evident in Yoruba art and culture is the result of several centuries of cultural, linguistic, and artistic interaction among the differently and previously antagonistic ethnic groups.” Babatunde Lawal *Visions of Africa: Yoruba* (Milan: Five Continents Editions, 2012), 12.

2 The title “Taíno,” is not without problems; like “Carib” it was a colonial invention that helped to classify and justify the enslavement of the original inhabitants of what we now know is the Caribbean, based on early Spanish knowledge of phonemes (appearance, behavior). Having said this, I acknowledge the right and authority of neo-indigenous movements that seek to reclaim their “Taíno” and “Carib” identities, just as they were stripped away from them during the early modern period. Nevertheless, I will use Taíno to describe the inhabitants of Hispaniola upon contact with Spanish colonizers who stripped their identity from them during the early modern period. Joé R. Oliver, *Caciques and Cemi Idols: The Web Spun by Taíno Rulers Between Hispaniola and Puerto Rico* (Tuscaloosa, University of Alabama Press, 2009), 28.

3 Befitting its formal and historical character, this church has had many names. *La Catedral Primada* is the name used in Santo Domingo today on street signs and by locals. The official Catholic title, *Basílica Catedral Metropolitana Santa María de la Encarnación Primada de América* and the reduced Basilica Cathedral of Santa Maria la Menor also appear as La Basilica and as *La Iglesia* in the earliest records and maps. I will be using *La Catedral* hereafter.

4 The linear, or sequential, organization of the history of progress is a tradition carried forward from the era of Humanism, the “Age of Discovery,” and colonization. It has much to do with who writes history, who is represented in history, and who gets left out, or erased.
For example: Strictly Hispanic, Hispano-Baroque, Hispano-Inca, Hispanic-Indian folk art, Hispanic-Andino Baroque, Andino Baroque, Andean Planiform, Mestizo Baroque (or Baroque Mestizo), European Mestizo, Peruvian Mestizo, Peruvian Baroque, Peruvian-Bolivian colonial baroque, Spanish Colonial, and Indo-Christian, to name a few.


Bailey, “Spain and Spanish America,” 3-4.

Ibid., 4.


This was the focus of a collection of interdisciplinary papers read at the Transcultural Architecture in Latin America Conference in 2001, organized by Hernández and later published in 2005.

Felipe Hernandez, “Introduction: Transcultural Architectures in Latin America,” Transculturation Cities, Spaces and Architectures in Latin America (Amsterdam: Rodopi Publications, 2005), xi. This book looks at the power of structures and discourses that are absent in the scholarship of Latin American architecture. Among the issues that Transculturation presents are new interpretations of popular architects and their work, new readings on architectural materials and vocabularies, and inquiries on gender, and “minority” architectures. Most notably the readings connect significant concepts in colonial theory and geopolitics, concepts that are relatively new to the world of Latin American architectural discourses.


The “art matrix” as posited by Donald Presiozi and Claire Farago in their 2012 manifesto, Art Is Not What You Think It Is, is a critical theory that calls for a systematic approach to art. That is, rather than considering the form, content, and context as separate entities, the “art matrix” considers the art/cultural object itself, the agents responsible for its creation, and the function of the object as parts that make up a “dynamically evolving relationship.” Much can also be learned from the treatment of cultural objects in media studies. Stuart Hall’s “circuit of culture,” which looks at five processes of culture—representations, identities, production, consumption, and regulation—for the analysis of any cultural object. Paul du Gay, Stuart Hall, Linda Janes, Anders Koed Madsen, Hugh Mackay, and Keith Negus, Doing Cultural Studies: The Story of a Sony Walkman 2nd ed. (Los Angeles: Sage, 2013), 8.


“History is an institution that legitimizes the telling of stories of happenings simultaneously silencing other stories, as well as stories of the silence of histories.”¹

“[A]ll we can read (or see in maps) about the place of the Americas in the World order is historically located from a European perspective that passes as universal.”²

A critical analysis of the literature on *La Catedral Primada* demonstrates how scholarship that relies on a Eurocentric model perpetuates a monolithic view of history that is emphasized in the historical sources, and which silences or erases Taíno and African actors from our collective story. I explore the history of Hispaniola’s slave economy in this chapter, based on sixteenth-century documents that testify to the Taíno and West African participation in building *La Catedral*. In doing so, I make a connection between the historical erasures created by the early colonial narratives of the church to historical erasures that continue to this day in scholarship as a consequence of nationalistic agendas and academic colonization.³

**Colonialist and Nationalist Histories**

The architectural scholarship on *La Catedral* being published in Santo Domingo says much about how the story (and the meaning) of the church was produced from sixteenth-century perspectives as well as from the perspective of Santo-Domingo-based scholarship published by the archdiocese and state organizations. Although chroniclers mention *La Catedral* in the early period of Spanish colonization of Quisqueya/Aiti (the Taíno names for the island), its story begins in the seventeenth-century with the ekphrastic prose of the second archbishop of Santo Domingo, Alessandro Geraldini (1455-1524), the Italian born Humanist scholar and poet.⁴ In his prayerful poem entitled *Mariana*, which dedicated the church to the Virgin, Geraldini writes of
the “clásicos arquitectos” (classical architects) and “talladores” (workers) in verses fifteen and sixteen but offers no further detail of their identities. Further, Geraldini conflates La Catedral with Rome as the center of the world in verse eighteen of Mariana: “For undergirding the Crown, from the seat of Rome, bestowing holy laws upon the whole world, and as Universal Teacher of peoples.” The accounts that followed also took on this missionizing, colonial view of the La Catedral. Many of the writings that chronicle the church during the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries were undertaken by clergy such as Luis Jerónimo Alcocer’s (1598-c.1664) Relación sumaria del estado presente de la Isla written in 1650 of the peoples, places, and things, of the city and Gil González Dávila’s (c.1570-1658) “Teatro eclesiastico de la Santa Iglesia Metropolitana de la Santo Domingo y vidas de sus obispos y arzobispos” (1649-55).

More comprehensive writings on the church appear in twentieth-century monographs, moving from a missionizing, imperialist view of the church to a pattern of nationalism, a trend throughout much of Latin America at this time. For example, La Catedral (1933) by historian Luis E. Alemar (1883-1945), at the time a member of the Dominican Academy of History and administrator at the National Archives, covers the history of the church’s construction, the architectural elements, and the men who governed and were buried in the crypt. Later, the German émigré Walter Palm consolidated the dispersed writings on the colonial city (by Alcocer, Utrera, Angulo, and Buschiazzo). Palm can be said to have brought La Catedral to the attention of the world and through his vast research strengthened Santo Domingo’s bid to be added to the ranks of World Heritage Sites in 1990.

The air of nationalism continued in works by the Spanish expatriate, María Ugarte, who moved to the Dominican Republic in 1940 and penned a series of articles and reports, as well as books on colonial monuments and on La Catedral. A 2007 tome, La Basílica, published in
Santo Domingo by the Archdiocese uses the same nationalistic narrative. It delineates the church’s placement in the scheme of European stylistic development, “the great men” of the church, and the strategic use of the church as cultural patrimony, in order to advance the city to the standards and ranks of the European-based World Heritage agenda. Due to this national commitment to cultural patrimony, which is premised on European systems of classifying structures and sites, the Taíno and West African co-participants and systems for understanding structures and sites have been largely left out of the story. To wit, in La Basilica, Part Two, entitled “Arquitectura de la Catedral,” mentions the enslaved Taíno and West African laborers briefly, only to deny any contribution that would problematize the European interpretation of the church.

On another level, much of the literature on La Catedral follows Palm’s model of measuring sixteenth-century Caribbean architecture against European traditions of progress and nationalism based on (neo-classical) Renaissance ideals about art and architecture. That is, La Catedral is inserted into a prefixed, linear teleology of Gothic, Renaissance, Baroque, and Neo-Classicism in order to measure its worth in terms of a European value system. The Palm model also relies on Kubler’s extinction narrative of the native populations and is Panofskian in its cementing of period-style with nationalism. Styles are not defined and fixed at certain points along a linear history, but rather, they combine, morph, and travel across borders and periods creating endless composites. In the case of La Catedral, a church that evades any ability to be classified or fixed in time because of its complex structural and representational meanings, this attempt at nationalism and academic colonization is most evident in its historically given name or style and nationalistic moniker, “Isabelline,” named for the Spanish Catholic monarch, Isabel, and for the early period of Spanish colonization.
While there have been many books written on Caribbean architecture, most dealing with a particular island or European colony, there are few which look at *La Catedral* as a culturally active and plural form of visual culture, as imbued in a *matrix of visual culture*. To illustrate how the Imperio-Christian narrative influences a nationalistic agenda, I will consider two surveys that include the history of *La Catedral* in the early modern history of Caribbean architecture as a form of the Imperialist narrative, the center-periphery that has been forwarded from the church’s inception as *La Catedral Metropolitana* in 1511.\(^{15}\)

In the *Caribbean Baroque: Historic Architecture of the Spanish Antilles* (1996) Pamela Grosner uses a superior/inferior Imperial model, or center-periphery model, to describe the historic architecture of the “Spanish Antilles,” which she defines as Jamaica, Hispaniola, Cuba, and Puerto Rico. She notes that hers is the first attempt at a consolidated history of the architecture of this cultural region. The chapters begin with an overview of the “Spanish Antilles” that defines the region for the reader and provides an historical backdrop from the time of Columbus’ arrival through the period of colonization. It is important to note that while Grosner accounts for the populations of “Indians,” she ends this brief history with the decimation of the Taínos of Puerto Rico in 1582.\(^{16}\) Spanish architecture is discussed in Chapter Two, while Chapter Three discusses the “Progression of Styles in the West Indies.” The following chapters are divided first by building type then by island (excluding Jamaica). *La Catedral Primada* is discussed in the chapter on religious architecture where it is included between the sections on the “Isabelline Churches” and the “Baroque Churches,” although Grosner says that *La Catedral Primada* leans towards the former. The passage includes information on the Spanish architects, the plan, the style, the form, and an interior illustration of the presbytery.\(^{17}\) In general, Grosner’s Caribbean Baroque adheres to a teleological approach in her development of styles, an approach
that is facilitated by her inclusion of an illustration of the most “Baroque” façade of the church, the west facade. In so doing, she makes a strong visual case for what we are seeing, the “Baroque” character, while omitting any images of the mixed exterior facades of the north and east entries that would confuse and disrupt the Baroque classification of her book.18

Two years earlier, in *Historic Architecture in the Caribbean Islands*, Edward E. Crain, who likewise claims to offer the first survey of the region, provides an architectural history of the Caribbean, describing the most historically and architecturally “valuable” structures built before World War II.19 His geographical scope extends the traditional parameters of the Caribbean Sea to include the Bahamas. The first chapter, which is a brief three pages, covers the “pre-Columbian period,” “Spanish Exploration,” and an encounter with “Caribbean Amerindians.” This chronological introduction quickly dispenses with the topic of the Taíno people in order to move on to the process of empire building. Chapter Two covers island-specific background on urbanization while Chapter Three looks at the “Sugar Plantation.” The proceeding chapters are organized by building type and are further categorized by “European powers” in the following order: English, Spanish, French, and Other. In the chapter on “Religious Buildings” we find *La Cathedral of Santa Maria la Menor* under the section on the “Dominican Republic,” discussed briefly in terms of style, and form. There is mention of Christopher Columbus’ remains being relocated as well as the contemporary Dominican artist responsible for the stained glass windows, José Rincón Mora.20 Although the author considers migrations from Africa and Asia, his story maintains an imperialist view of the visual culture and peoples of Hispaniola; the analysis stops short of cross-cultural analysis that is equal across cultures.

Both scholars rely on a model established in Taíno studies in the 1950s by Irving Rouse. Rouse used ceramic artifacts as the basis for his model of classifying the Classic, Western, and
Eastern types of Taíno, a European model that sought to define the underdeveloped or “primitive” peoples of the greater Taíno Nation. This widely used model is, however, problematic because it projects a Eurocentric obsession with the Classical era, a belief that it represented the height of civilization, a concept known as classicism. This privileging of the Classic is constitutive of the development of the Western Canon of art history, or the limited view of history that privileges the “superior,” White, European, Male, Masters to the exclusion of all other supposedly “inferior” peoples.

From Geraldini in the sixteenth century, privileging the Classical Greco-Roman center, we follow a thread to Palm in the twentieth century, who also privileges and adopts the conventions of a European center against an uncivilized peripheral “New World.” While Grosner and Crain provide much needed historical context for our understanding of the Caribbean architecture that emerged from the colonial period, they rely heavily on the same superior/inferior, or center-periphery Imperialist narratives. By doing so, the authors disallow a complicated history—the careful consideration of its form, its agencies, and its function—in favor of the convenience of taxonomies and a teleological architectural canon. Further, the Marxist slant of the Rousseau paradigm they use, that of the division and classification of the visual culture and peoples of the Caribbean in terms of a “Classic” center with an East and West periphery, presumes an economically and politically dependent relationship between advanced center and sub-par or underdeveloped periphery.

The Santo Domingo-based literature and surveys ascribe to the Spanish-Imperial version of history as the wellspring of their nationalist narrative. The reward that progress promises, that of a metropolitan center, and a “civilized” society, is at the same time a perpetual reification of the hierarchical Imperio-Christian center that creates an inferior global periphery in order to
maintain its superiority. This version of history leaves no room for the Taino and West African co-participants and, in essence, erases their stories.

**A Slavocratic Society**

In spite of this resounding historical erasure, sixteenth-century sources testify to the presence and participation of West African and Taino workers in the construction of Santo Domingo’s *Catedral Primada de América*. Reports issued during the period of Spanish colonization reveal stories of slaveholders who disclosed (and also concealed) much about the quantity and social strata of their enslaved workforce, the workforce that built *La Catedral*. Before I analyze these records, it is important to understand the racialized power dynamic that is created as a result of a burgeoning slave economy created by colonial settlers who understood their mission in terms of an Imperio-Christian right justified by Aristotelian ethics.

A useful axiom for understanding the Imperio-Christian sentiment towards the sixteenth-century Caribbean and its peoples is “without Indians there are no Indies.”21 Predicated on the exploitation of the indigenous for personal wealth, this was the driving force behind the development of a series of systems created to organize and ultimately enslave the Taino and West African peoples, an enslavement that was legitimized by the Aristotelian ethics of “just war” and “natural slavery.”22 These concepts were the basis of a debate in the mid sixteenth century. Dominican friar Bartolomé de las Casas (1484-1566), protested the atrocities committed against the natives of the “Indies” by then governor of Hispaniola, Ovando, and the city’s encomenderos, who were not willing to give up the lucrative source of labor. Las Casas was joined by the Spanish Humanist Juan Ginés de Sepúlveda (1490-1573) for an official debate at Valladolid, Spain.23 Sepúlveda was on the other side of this debate arguing that it was not only just but the right of the Spanish nation and throne to rule over the “barbarians.” This famous
debate was set in motion by an earlier debate held for Charles V in 1519 in Barcelona, Spain between the Franciscan bishop of Darien, Juan Quevedo, and de las Casas.24

These so-called universal laws of “just war” and “natural slavery” justified the colonizers’ capture and enslavement of what they deemed the “barbaric” race of natives. The Taínos of the Caribbean were displaced from their homes and relocated to labor camps owned by Spanish clergy, administrators, and settlers. As early as 1495, a system of tributary servitude was set in place.25 Similar to the corvée system of early France, repartimiento-encomienda, or the royal distribution and commission of the Taínos population, helped establish the standard for the colonial programs of New Spain and Peru.26 What began as a tributary system was followed by a more organized encomienda system ordered by Queen Isabella in a Cédula Real addressed to the governor, Fray Nicolás de Ovando in 1503.27 In this more organized system, “public service” was enacted as a strict form of tribute and/or labour for the state. Though this decree was in part an attempt to keep track of dwindling numbers and protect the natives of Hispaniola by the queen, it resulted in a de facto form of enslavement. On paper the Taínos of Hispaniola were not legally slaves, but on the ground they were indeed functioning as the property of the colonizers, assigned to Spanish masters under the presumption of a civilizing education of work and proselytization. While maintaining their lucrative slave-based plantation and mining economies, or “slavocratic”28 society, colonizers became more expansive in their search for free labor, hastening the process of the Caribbean slave trade, which brought indigenous groups from the islands south of Puerto Rico to Hispaniola.29

This search for a larger slave pool, however, did not result in the abolition of local enslavement. As historian Carlos Esteban Deive indicates in La Española y la Esclavitud del Indio, this trade resulted in upwards of tens of thousands enslaved Africans brought to
Hispaniola who were put to work with the Hispaniolian Taíno, who were all subject to the same
treatment and hardships of labor.\textsuperscript{30} As early as 1501, and extending into the early nineteenth
century, the Atlantic slave trade brought roughly four million Africans to the Caribbean with the
largest numbers going to Cuba and Hispaniola.\textsuperscript{31}

It is important to point out that “colonization and the justification for the appropriation of
land and the exploitation of labor in the process of the invention and building of America
required the simultaneous ideological construction of colonial racism.”\textsuperscript{32} Although race and
slavery both find their historical antecedents well before the period of European colonization,\textsuperscript{33}
well-known scholar of African–American Studies, Henri Louis Gates Jr., has said that the
sixteenth-century European innovation was to conflate color with the idea of perpetual slavery, a
renewable resource that passed down from generation to generation.\textsuperscript{34} In fact, scholar of cross-
cultural medieval studies, Geraldine Heng, who wrote a two-part article on “The Invention of
Race in the European Middle Ages,” notes that “race has no singular or stable referent” and may
merge with and function as class, gender, and sexuality.\textsuperscript{35}

In the building up of the city of Hispaniola and its church, \textit{La Catedral}, colonial-era
documents reflect an emerging slave-based society embedded with ideologies of “natural
slavery” created to organize “New World” peoples and society. One example deals with a system
the Spaniards created to classify and list Taínos on a work period list in which the \textit{cacica/cacique}
(Taíno ruler) occupied the top position. Following were the elite \textit{naborias} and the commoners.
Thus, the labels “\textit{cacique},” “\textit{naboria},” “\textit{naboria de casa},” and “\textit{indio de servicio}” were created
to stratify their new workforce according to a Spanish understanding of social hierarchy. These
labels reflect the type of tributary enslavement; for example, \textit{caciques}, who had not already been
slain in previous battles, were maintained as the symbolic leaders of the tributary encampments,
the naborias likely trained in various professions; the indios de servicio worked the mines, fields, and construction projects of the city. It seems that because the enslavement of the Taíno people was technically outlawed in Queen Isabella’s revision of the tributary state in a 1503 Cédula Real, encomenderos were less than accurate with their records.36 The trans-Atlantic distance allowed the local colonizers to interpret and, therefore, manipulate the 1503 decree. That is to say, that as long as they did not record the exact details—female/male, individual names, and the specific nature of the work of the individual Taínos in their encomiendas, they could avoid breaking the law against enslavement. According to these encomenderos, their workers remained under their cacique ruler and worked much like free vassals for an education in Christianity—an oppressive Imperio-Christian viewpoint. It is also important to note that these repartimiento-encomienda censuses have been used widely to corroborate the rapid “decline” and “extinction” of the Taíno peoples. However, these records include only the Eastern parts of the islands and often do not include women, children, and the elderly. They certainly do not take into account any of the Taínos outside of the tributary system such as those that fled to the mountains to avoid enslavement, or the various groups brought in from islands south of the Mona Passage.37 Despite these dehumanizing erasures, which occluded the names of the “naborias” and “indios de servicio,” there exist records attesting to their presence, and offering clues about their collective identity.38

**Reading Contrapunctally for Co-Participants**

In a record on the Repartimiento of 1514, by the Spanish Crown, there are a number of Taínos, “indios de servicio,” working for the construction of La Catedral (Figure 1).39 From this distribution and commission of the Taíno, repartimiento-encomienda, we know that there were fifty Taíno allotted to the construction of La Catedral. Since the cacicas/caciques were listed, we
have some clues about where the people that began the church construction came from. The fifty Taínos were listed under the rulership of cacica Catabano, which is also the name of the region in the Caicimu-Higüey territory. Another list indicates that cacica Catabano had a total of two-hundred-and-eighty-four indios de servicio under her chieftainship.

Although this is the only explicit list of Taíno co-participants that helped construct La Catedral, a closer study of the 1514 Repartimiento documents reveals a fuller picture of Taíno participation. According to the same 1514 list, every carpenter, stonemason, blacksmith, sawyer, and potter working in Santo Domingo were each allotted two high-ranking Taínos, naborias. Based on the workshop traditions of the proto-guild brotherhoods in Spain, and based on the tradition of training the native elite in Peru and Mexico directly following this period, we can safely posit that these elite Taíno were selected to be trained in the various professions involved in building the city of Santo Domingo and La Catedral.

Although the governor of Hispaniola (1502-09), Nicolas de Ovando (1451-1511), does not occur on the Repartimiento list of 1514, we know that the Taínos of his encomienda worked on the church. In one of the oldest court proceedings of the American colonies, Tapia v. Ovando (1509), a complaint by the early Spanish dignitary, the plaintiff Christobal de Tapia, against then governor Nicolas de Ovando was heard at a residencia. Among other scandalous accusations, Ovando was charged with holding a monopoly of “yndios” and of a “discriminatory allotment of labor.” In what could be described as an “yndios”-for-public-works racket, Ovando wielded a certain power by virtue of his large workforce. Notably, the defense stated that the governor, in fact, supplied many “yndios” for the purposes of public works and for the construction of La Catedral de Santo Domingo. Since we know that the distribution of Taíno cacica/cacique was commensurate with the rank of the Spanish official, we know that he was likely awarded a high-
ranking cacicazgo. However, more archival work would be needed to understand the identity of the cacica/cacique.

The large allotment awarded to high-ranking officials on the repartimiento list and the revelations of the court case suggests that it was common practice to loan one’s workforce if you held a large monopoly of Taínos, such as would be awarded to the station of governor. It is plausible, therefore, that the governor at the time of the repartimiento, Diego Colón, was expected to contribute his encomendado for public works, especially those building projects deemed most central to the construction of the city. Diego Colón received three-hundred-and-ninety-eight Taínos in tributary servitude. Unlike other officials, Colón’s numbers are more detailed and list thirty-six elderly, sixty-one children, three hundred “indios de servicio” and a cacique listed as “Cayacoa (Gonzalo Fernandez).” This might point to another contingent of Taíno from Higüey who participated in the creation of the church.

Church purchase records from 1585 reveal the licensing of eighty “esclavos negros” (“black slaves”), who were purchased for the “maintenance of the church facade.” Only a few years later in 1588, one hundred West African men participated in the rebuilding of the city and church. Again, in 1599 the dean and church council requested another one hundred “slaves” for the construction of the church. One can imagine the numbers that were subsequently requested led up to the Haitian Revolution of 1791-1804, when several earthquakes were felt in Santo Domingo. According to the historian Luis E. Alemar, in his work Santo Domingo, Ciudad Trujillo (1943), several earthquakes affected La Catedral in 1662, 1673, and 1751.

Despite the large discrepancies in the records of the Spanish colonial settlers, if we approach the extant records and literature with what Edward Said calls a contrapunctal reading, that is, reading the Imperial narrative for its many counterpoints, or, more simply, reading in
between the lines of colonial histories, we are able to intuit many intersecting avenues of a
cultural story yet to be explored. For *La Catedral*, a contrapuntal reading reveals the region and
peoples of Higüey as a large source of Taino contribution. For the West African populations, the
records reveal upwards of three hundred men who are documented participants in the
maintenance and rebuilding of *La Catedral*. 
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CHAPTER III

ALTERNATIVE MEANINGS: COMPLEMENTARY DUALISM & LA CATEDRAL

“Meaning is constructed—given, produced—through cultural practices; it is not simply ‘found’ in things.”

“[C]ultural meanings do not arise in things but as a result of our social discourses and practices which construct the world meaningfully.”

The goal of this chapter is to open up the field of meaning beyond the Western Canon by adding to it the meanings of the Taíno and West African sacred-aesthetics. This chapter decenters, or decolonizes, the Imperio-Christian and nationalistic narratives of La Catedral by exploring the church as a “full matrix of relations,” as an extremely dynamic location where people, structures, and public/private spaces meet. To do this, I will analyze the decorative ensemble of the West Façade to which I will apply some of the central aspects of the Taíno and Yoruba sacred-aesthetic signifiers including the head and sacred cave sites. In taking these particular signifiers into account, I do not intend to speak for those historical actors. Neither do I attempt to limit Taíno and West African capabilities of understanding and interpreting the church from multiple viewpoints including Christian, and complexly syncretic viewpoints. Instead, I offer a way of understanding how the city’s Taíno and West African co-participants perceived La Catedral based on archival and literary patterns, and sacred-aesthetic ways of knowing. I carry out a visual analysis of the cathedral that takes into account these viewpoints to understand what it means to its different creators and users. Much can be learned from the perspective of two cultures that value the activating force of a sacred object or site, in distinction to the authority given to original form, of the European analog. For example, Taíno and Yoruba sacred systems indicate that the value of such sacred objects and sites is determined by their ability to act on behalf of the petitioner rather than based on how similar the object is to an original form.
Furthermore, the decorative or aesthetic elements of the sacred object or site serve only to facilitate such a spiritual transaction.

**Reading the Western Facade**

An atrium with walls finished in pointed merlons encloses the western or main façade of *La Catedral*. The ground within this space is laid in stone and is apparently marked by a converging set of cartographic lines, ideologically connecting (correlating) this space to European markers of territory on the early modern map and the cardinal points of the Christian cosmos (Figure 2). This double-arched façade is the most photographed entry and many scholars have referenced its classical pediment, architrave, frieze and cornice. Overall, the building is a simplified structure covered with elaborate surface decorations, what many traditional Western scholars refer to as the plateresque style.

The decorative assembly of the western façade includes various representations of empire, including the shield of the Habsburg emperor, Charles V, with the double-headed eagle of the Romano-German empire flanked by a heraldic shield from which hangs a pendant-like sheep crowning the central composite column of the portico. The flared double archway reveals a series of foliate decorations lining the intrados, or the underside, of the archways. The tympana are inlaid with stained glass. Moving-outward from the center, the twin arches are flanked by three statues on either side. These statues convey the missionizing exploits of the Catholic monarchs. On the lower level, the figures include the four authors of the Gospels: Saint Matthew who represents man, Saint Mark representing the lion, Saint Luke the ox, and Saint John the eagle. On the upper portion, there are two apostles on either side: Saint Peter holding the keys to heaven and Saint Paul holding the sword of his martyrdom.
This decoration continues to the large set of pilasters flanking either side of the portico, which are covered in a number of imperialistic icons of heraldry including herculean columns, a crown insignia, four-legged fauna, mythical griffins, and hybrid humanoids. It is important to understand that these more abstract representations of empire signified an early modern European worldview. This ensemble reveals a preoccupation with the monstrous beasts and races on the edges of the world with its “gothic” blend of mythical creatures, beasts, and man.8

The frieze above the pilasters shows another compellation of figures and motifs including several putti, zoomorphic figures, floral embellishments, and grotesques (beasts).9 At the center of the frieze, in high relief, is a medallion that encircles a female bust thought to be Minerva. Not the central figure of Christianity, Jesus, or his Virgin Mother but the pagan Roman goddess of wisdom, the arts, and ultimately war takes place as the central face marking the entry of the church.10 There also exist the harpy and centaur of Greek mythology; hybrids that are half human and half animal, not Christian signs and motifs but heathen icons, not visually unlike the grimacing-faced idols that they condemned (Figure 3). As you look closely, there is an impressive pattern of monstrous faces that appear in low relief all over the ornamented surface of La Catedral.11

The conceptual motif of the face and structural elements of space open the scope of analysis by moving beyond a narrow comparison of architectures. Further, a fuller spectrum of visual culture, such as sacred objects in stone, wood, and other organic materials and sites, offer a deeper understanding of how both Taino and Yoruba constructed meaning. The cross-media approach I use is best described in Homi Bhabha’s elaboration of Baudrillard’s “aesthetic and cultural space” as follows:
The value of art lies not in its transcendent reach but in its translational capacity: in the possibility of moving between media, materials, and genres, each time both marking and remaking the material borders of difference; articulating “sites” where the question of “specificity” is ambivalent and complexly construed. Its is in conformity with the ideas that Baudrillard suggests that the postmodern passes beyond representational forms of icon, image, and symbol, where hermeneutic truth is finally determined in the mirror of “nature.” He urges us to engage with an aesthetic and cultural space where “the real and the imaginary are confounded in the same operational totality.”

It is with this creative flexibility of interpretation, “between media, materials, and genres” that I approach the Taíno and Yoruba perspectives that follow.

For the Yoruba and Taíno this space and structure, with its myriad representations, could have signified in very different ways. It is important to consider, here, the sacred and aesthetic perspectives of Taíno and Yoruba co-participants. The Taíno and Yoruba cultures of the sixteenth century understood the world as a form of complementary two-ness. Common among the motifs found in the sacred-aesthetic of these two cultures is the face, or head, and sacred sites such as the cave, which function as spiritual sites that facilitate the mediating powers and are represented as liminal spaces of the inner-outer world. I start with a comparison of the images of the frieze, containing the flora, creatures, and faces of medieval mythology to the intricately carved ceremonial masks and divination sculptures of the Yoruba as well as the anthropomorphic and zoomorphic ceremonial effigies of the Taíno.

The Head

The head figures prominently in Yoruba divination trays from the seventeenth through the nineteenth centuries, which typically feature a central head figure around which a geometric
design or vignette frames a circular or rectangular tray used for ceremonies (Figure 4). A terracotta vessel dating from the fourteenth or fifteenth-century displays a half-human-half-buffalo face with large horns, flared nostrils, and protracted tongue. A foliate design springs form the “monstrous face” that is set on a background of consistent mosaic-like patterning and rosettes. Further, the face has been interpreted as *irúnmolè*, an earthly spirit messenger, or an intermediary between worlds (Figure 5). A set of palace doors (*Ìlèkùn àfin*), of king Ògògá, features a series of vertical relief scenes that are bisected by two long panels of twenty-six heads alternating between light and dark (Figure 6). This prominent display of heads featured on the palace door functions by attracting the viewer through the concept of outer beauty (*ewa*) and by revealing glimpses of the inner workings of the palace with frieze style reliefs and vignettes of individuals in ritual/civic performance. In this way, the façade of the palace symbolically serves as the face of the royal-sacred palace inviting devotees to enter through its *ewa*, an enabling force of the àse, the supreme divine force.

The head or skull features prominently in Taíno belief as the site of the “soul of both the living world, *guaíza*, and the dead world, *opía*. The skull of a deceased person is, therefore, frequently used for the construction of ancestor *cemi* figures. Further emphasizing the skull’s role as a repository of the soul in life and death are the eyes. Often inlaid with mother of pearl, covered in gold or other luminous materials, the eyes represent the “liminal orifices” of the soul, allowing the soul to see and to be accessed by the outer world. The identity, the expressive force of life and death is, therefore, expressed in the head, or face.

Among the head forms that could be compared to the abstracted faces of *La Catedral* are the Taíno effigies seen in *duhos* (ceremonial seats) and three-pointer stones, as well as the double-headed effigy vessels. The most widely circulated Taíno cultural objects, trigonolites, the
three-pointer cemí stones often feature an effigy face surrounded by delicate geometric patterns and other zoomorphic and anthropomorphic figures (Figure 7). Describing the three pointer stones, José Arrom has said, “It is evident that the strange intertwining of circles, loops, crosses and triangles is astonishing for its precision of line and calculated symmetry. This amply proves the capacity for visualization and consummate skill of the Taíno sculptor.” Likewise, the duhos typically features a head in high or low relief with roundel-like shapes above the joints and head that have been interpreted as the axis points through which the spirit enters and leaves (Figure 8). The identity, the expressive force of life and death is, therefore, expressed in the face. La Catedral’s Minerva relief panel transforms as a cemí spirit, an entry point for the divine enabling force of the Yoruba ase and the Taíno cemí. Among the many otherworldly faces on the church are countless opportunities to attract the devotee to participate in the inward and outward flows of the universe.

**Decoration As Attraction**

The perceptual dualism that represents the kinetic force of the cemí spirit and the ase, demonstrated by the inner-outer flow of the head, continues in other forms including the birds, canines, and other decorative features.

What did the Taíno and Yoruba make of the large double-headed eagle centered above the door, for example? For the Taíno the likeness of a bird, often carved onto stone slabs (petroglyphs) or sculpted in wood and stone as an abstracted figure, and sometimes carved as a double-headed, or bicephalic figure, was associated with the primordial sky realm and with the various bird deities. In fact, from early Spanish chronicles we know that the Taíno thought that the Spaniards had fallen from the primordial sky realm of the bird. In this way, the image of the Habsburg eagle above the entry to the door of the church did not only speak as an ancient
European sign of heraldry and Imperialism but, perhaps, as a cenified sky bird, suspended between the door and the upward thrust of the triangular pediment, joining the heavenly and earthly realms.

For the Yoruba, the bird also has special significance. Often represented by the egret feather that adorns the crowns of kings, Yoruba elders interpret the more general performative function of the bird as symbolic of the intermediary role of the ruler between his people and the orisha (deities). The bird also represented the link between the king and his divine lineage to Odùduwà, who used a bird, representative of the divine spirit, àse, to create the Yoruba homeland at Ilé-Ifè. In this way the imperialistic motif of the bird (and crown) defining the entry of La Catedral could be interpreted as a sign of the king, and his intercession to the orisha on behalf of the people.

On the east side of La Catedral stand a cluster of canine waterspouts, once used to redirect the water from the face of the church (Figure 9). Gargoyles of this nature were popularly used on “gothic” buildings during the late Middle Ages and at times took the representational form of the bizarre. From a Taíno perspective, animals were spirit-helpers and deities often took the form of various animals. The dog spirit Opiyelguobirán was the “escort of the soul,” and guided and watched over the spirits of the dead (Figure 10). For the Yoruba, the dog, like other animals, also served as a sort of helper. Featured in the towering masks of the Èkiti, ijèsa, and Ìgbóminà Yoruba, which are worn atop the head, the Epa masks represent the major deities or cultural heroes and often feature ajá, the dog to whom the “mystical powers . . . [are] expected to reinforce the function of the given mask.”

Since the Yoruba concept of art, onà, begins with Olòdúmarè commissioning Obàtálá (the deity of creativity) to mold the first human form of clay, Yoruban ways of understanding art
are inextricably linked to sacred belief. To wit, the iconography and symbolism of the human head—as the outer shell that can use beauty (ewù) to attract attention to the inner self, the supreme self where the divine force ase is activated—is central to traditional Yoruban epistemologies of art.

This way of understanding inner-outer sacredness applies similarly to the face of a palace or shrine, as mentioned earlier. Moreover, if the façade of the church carries the value of the face, what of the widely used decorative feature of La Catedral, also identified for its imperial/national period style as “Isabelline beading” (Figure 11)? Notably found around nearly every entry point—windows, archways, and chapels—could this decorative feature also be read as representative of cemi or ilèkè beads? For the Taíno, as cemified objects, the beaded necklaces represented the connection with certain deified ancestors and therefore to a religious and political lineage. Since the earliest examples of coral beads were dug up from the ocean, the Yoruban ilèkè beads are worn to solicit the blessings of Olókun, the deity of the sea and wealth. Beads also have a general sacred-aesthetic significance as an empowerment of the visible outer self through decoration. Therefore, mediating the motion of natural elements (think light and bodies) into the internal space, or sacred center, are the beaded moldings that have new meaning as the intercession between devotee and deity for the Taíno and Yoruba alike.

Materiality

The Taíno and Yoruba sacred-aesthetic can tell us much about how material was endowed with meaning. Most of the material for the church was brought from the Santa Barbara canteras (quarries), not six hundred meters away from the church site, now approximately at the end of the road called Calle de los Canteros. This local material, calcified coralline, proved to be remarkably durable and sufficient in quantity (Figure 12). Other local materials from the
nearby banks of the Ozama River provided the lime, brick, and tile for the church. Local oak was also used in large quantities, including for the episcopal seat, mandated by the Cédula Real of 1510, in which the king called for the clearing of forests to be used for the building of the church and other works.\textsuperscript{35}

\textit{Cemí} figures from 1000-1500 CE\textsuperscript{36} have been found in a variety of materials including many of the same materials used for the church such as stone, calcified coral, wood, and gold.\textsuperscript{37} The selection of these materials speaks to a Taíno animistic practice deeply rooted in the nature around them—the “vital essence,” or the potential dynamism of any material form.\textsuperscript{38}

[The] Taíno-Language term \textit{cemí} refers not to an artifact or object but to an immaterial, numinous and vital force. Under particular conditions, beings, things, and other phenomena in nature can be imbued with \textit{cemí}. \textit{Cemí} is, therefore, a condition of being, not a thing. It is a numinous power, a driving or vital force that compels action; it is the power to cause, to effect, and also denotes a condition or state of being.\textsuperscript{39}

In his ethnological study of the religious practices of the Taíno, Fray Ramon Pané, recalls the process of localizing the \textit{cemí} spirit, in which any Taíno may stumble upon moving (animated) organic matter (“a tree that is moving its roots”) and being sensitive to such subtle movements in nature the passerby would call upon a \textit{behique} (spiritual leader and healer) to perform a \textit{cohoba} induced ceremony in which its personhood was determined. Along with its titles, names, and legends, the form of the \textit{cemí} spirit was bestowed (its zoomorphic/anthropomorphic configuration and its decorative elements) during the ritual, and a relationship to a particular human “trustee” or partner was formed.\textsuperscript{40} Thus, a variety of materials can be expressed in a concrete form; the activating force of \textit{cemí}, therefore, is central here.
The Yoruba sacred-aesthetic is also understood in terms of two-ness and includes various organic materials as well as a spiritual system for color. African teak (Afromosia), for example, is used for carving the ceremonial double-axe staff due to its association with the thunderstorm deity Sango.\textsuperscript{41} Cowrie shells, valued for the sacred purity of its white color, referred to the daily practice of praying to one’s inner head.\textsuperscript{42} To the Yoruba “the physical head is no more than the outer shell (\textit{orí òde}) of the “inner head” (\textit{orí inú}) which can activate the enabling force (\textit{àse}) of the Supreme Being in the individual, determining that individuals personality and destiny.”\textsuperscript{43} The decorative elements of \textit{La Catedral}, from this perspective, draw the spectator in by its outward \textit{ewa} (beauty).

\textbf{The Cave}

Did the Christians understand the activating force of \textit{ewa} when they created their highly ornamented church facades? Were the new fair-skinned Christians sensitive to the moving \textit{cemí} spirit of nature when they cleared the forests near the Ozama River? And what of the massive stone structure? How did this figure into a Yoruba or Taíno worldview? Since their central religious structures were made of wood and palm,\textsuperscript{44} how did they understand the highly decorated monolithic structure at the center of the newly defined territory? To understand the church as an entire unit, a large stone monolith, I consider the Taíno connection to a natural stone monolith, the cave. According to Taíno myth, their people came from a cave called \textit{Cacibajagua} (Cave of the Jaguar Tree),\textsuperscript{45} in the mountain of the Caonao province, called Cuata.\textsuperscript{46} The cave is a link to the subterranean world and some scholars have argued that caves served as sanctuaries for the Taíno.\textsuperscript{47} Further, ceremonial seats, \textit{duhos}, have been found deep in the caves of Hispaniola leading many Taíno scholars to believe that certain \textit{duhos} had special powers that needed to be contained in the powerful cave structures or sanctuaries.\textsuperscript{48} Since \textit{duhos}
were *cemí* that physically and spiritually mediated the connection between the *behique-cacique* and the supernatural *cemí* world, the appointment of these seats in the deep spaces of the cave could also be understood as creating a distinction between private, public, and liminal spaces. With this image in mind—a wooden ceremonial seat housed in the large monolithic sacred cave—I consider an alternate reading for *La Catedral*, one that considers Taíno and Yoruba mythology and materialism (Figure 13).

One plausible example of how Christian forms, the structure of the church, can be viewed from the perspective of the Taíno sacred-aesthetic is the ceremonial *duho* placed in the capacious stone sanctuary. The episcopal seat that is part of the *Retablo de las Doce Columnas* (Altar of the Twelve Columns) is placed deep in the cavernous space of the nave (Figure 14). The retable also sits in the space of the presbytery, which separates this sacrosanct space from the space of public congregation. Carved masterfully from local oak, a religious object that served as the seat for the most holy *behique* of the Christian church could not only have been understood according to Taíno mythology of the cave but also appreciated for its liminal spiritual significance.

The cave shrine devoted to the legend of Ogun, the deity of iron, located in the region of Ilere, Nigeria, provides a good comparison for sacralised sites. According to myth, Ogun accompanied by his dog, traveled to the neighboring communities of Owa and Ofaro reminding the peoples to petition him in time of need before he disappeared into the cave. The *àjà ile* (underground tunnel), according to locals, was made a shrine to Ogun. Could this legend and shrine site lend itself to an understanding of the monolithic site of the *La Catedral* as an *àjà ile*, further signified by the canine sculptures, Ogun’s animal helper on the surface of the cave shrine?

Moreover, the shrines and altars of the Yoruba religion employ the same concept of
outer-inner connectivity. As the location that “binds the community together” in matters of the sacred, the shrine also connects the devotee to the *orisha* world, and is the site where worship and sacrifice is given to the divine.⁵¹ As a mediatory site between devotee and divine, the shrine provides a physical platform for the activation of two separate but already connected parts, matter and spirit.

These sculpted figures surrounding the altar seat are the teachers of the gospel who also mark the façade of the church creating a double *retablo* effect, a spectacle or “theatre of conversion” rendered in the conceptual dualism of the Taino and Yoruba alike.⁵² To wit, the central polychrome statue of the Virgin Mother holding the Christ Child, is surrounded by the four writers of the Christian gospels who are depicted with their animal avatars (and who are also representations of the pioneering orders—Dominicans, Franciscans, Mercidarians, and Jesuits, respectively).⁵³ This double-*retablo* can be read as the opening chapter in the book of Imperio-Christian expansion, announcing the army of missionaries. However, it can also be read as deified ancestors with their animal spirit helpers.

A decentering analysis of *La Catedral* shows this site to have been an extremely dynamic location where people, structures, systems of knowledge, and public/private spaces were entangled. Yoruba, Taino, and Euro-Christian perspectives bear forth *La Catedral* as an architectural composite of meanings. For its Yoruba and Taino audience, it is a sacred cave whose decorated façade functions like the inner-out (attractions) flows of the head, where the religious leader performs for the community of followers, where the Supreme Being is called upon. With this structural similarity across belief systems, the story of the church can no longer stand solely as a signifier of Empire, labeled as Isabelline Gothic. “No object of culture is purely one thing.”⁵⁴ Instead, the dynamically hybrid church is in constant motion, constructing for and
with its different users the most appropriate sites and customs for sacred practice. In other words, the structure and story of *La Catedral*, its space, imagery, and materiality open the scope of meanings to reveal the cross-cultural currents present there. Attention to these components decolonizes the European view as the only one and establishes the cathedral as a composite Taino, Yoruban, and Christian structure.
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CHAPTER FOUR

CONCLUSION: REBUILDING THE CHURCH

This study examines the participation of historically erased Taínos and West Africans in the construction and meaning of *La Catedral Primada de América*, not simply as “contributors,” but as active agents and creators of its form and meaning in the culturally plural place of Hispaniola during the sixteenth century. A critical review of literature on *La Catedral* demonstrates how the early configurations of Imperio-Christian narratives of superior/inferior helped to shape later art-historical configurations of nationalism by carrying forward models of racial superiority from the time of Imperial Christian expansion to the nationalism of the post-revolutionary period of independence. The general literature on the church has not yet moved beyond the exclusive architectural canon of European supremacy and therefore does not decenter the canon from its privileged place, a place that must secure its position by casting alternatives meanings into the shadows. Future studies, therefore, must consider how the church has been represented in historical writings and in scholarship, who helped construct the church, how the Taíno and West Africans used it and operated in its space, and what the cultural mechanisms that regulated its meaning and use were.

When colonial narratives or the European tradition frame architectural histories, we dismiss the matrix of connections that link various stories, peoples, and places. Scholarship that continues to dismiss Taíno and West African co-creators perpetuate the Renaissance configurations of “master” and by virtue, dismiss the demanding creativity of improvisation of those who had to “cajole the materials into doing what the architects” wanted “to accommodate the inflexible design to the realities of a fickle and inconstant world.”1 The skilled artisans and workers of *La Catedral*, and of many of the churches of the Americas, were build by the hands
of enslaved Native Americans and West Africans and they abide in the “kink” in-between reality and the “architect’s idea of it.”

Further, these co-creators used the sacred site of La Catedral. Understanding how performance contributes to this matrix would provide even more ways of understanding what the church means. In other words, “It is who, through the process of using words and images to form concepts in our heads which refer to objects in the real world, construct meaning, who made [the church] mean something.”

Early literature on the church may answer some of these questions and provide valuable insight on the early church and its builders. These include the collective works by Fray Cipriano de Utrera (1886-1958), and Teatro eclesiástico de la Primitiva Iglesia de las Indias Occidentales by Gil Gonzalez Davila. For example, a 1649 chronicle identifies four confraternities founded by “negros” and “negros criollos” (Africans born in America) constructed some time between the late sixteenth and the beginning of the seventeenth centuries. The Capilla de San Cosme Y San Damián, located in the north nave of the church (Figure 15) was dedicated to the martyred twins Saints Cosmos and Damian, who were physician-scientists born in Arabia and active in ancient Turkey and Syria. They are famously known for grafting the leg of a deceased African onto the body of a European man.

In the side chapel confraternities of Africans created a place for African rituals to persist by investing the Christian twins with new meaning as the divine child twins, the Marassa of Vodou, via the Yoruba twins Ibeyi, and the possibly the Taíno cave twins Boinayel and Máriohu. Afro-Dominican religious brotherhoods, confradias, still exist today in Haiti and the Dominican Republic. Exploring Utrera and Davila’s work might provide answers about how the structure and space of the church was understood by an Afro-Caribbean imaginary during the sixteenth
century. It may also lead to new questions about how sacred performance contributes to the
meanings of the church.

Due to the separation of publishing and dissemination between English-language and
Spanish-language literature, there is still much to be learned. Although this thesis takes a step
toward eliminating this gap, there remain many avenues of exploration. For example, what else
can the archives tell us about the names and identities of the people who built the church,
including those brought from other areas of the Caribbean? What other forms and materials can
be understood in terms of a dualistic sacred aesthetic? The precious metals on the exterior, the
shell motifs, and skulls found carved all over the exterior and interior might provide new
opportunities for alternate meanings. Moreover, how can a conversation about gender and
sexuality contribute to the meaning of the church?

Historical documents in the *Archivo General de la Nación* and the archives of the
*Arzobispado Arquidiócesis de Santo Domingo* are two sources that must be further mined in
order to begin answering these questions. Additionally, at the time of this writing, the city of
Santo Domingo is scheduled to open a museum on the church, *Museo de la Catedral*, which will
house some of the precious relics from the church treasury. This would provide another valuable
research opportunity in terms of understanding sacred materiality, but also in terms of
experiencing the church as an object of study in the context of a museum setting.

To speak of *La Catedral Primada* is not to simply to discuss the structure, master
architect, period-style, or the “discovery” of the Americas and the erection of Imperio-Religious
glory manifest in stone. Rather, to speak of *La Catedral* is to speak of a multiplicity of
connections, of how producers, consumers, mechanisms produce meanings and how this
influences both the city’s and church’s development. It is also to speak of a place where many
people meet, where people lived for thousands of years, where others arrived to satisfy certain goals and still others were brought to satisfy those goals—all bringing systems of knowledge and representations and a fierce will to survive their circumstances. Between the enmeshed spheres of similarity and difference is the continual site of interaction, negotiation, and production. To understand La Catedral is to take into account the way the church is embedded into the larger matrix of visual culture.

As a Caribbean–American, I am reminded of how the erasure of two vital groups that help to build the Caribbean and the US influenced my sense of self as a child and young adult. It took thirty-two years for me to learn about the peoples and visual culture of my familial island home in the Caribbean. The people that carved the ceremonial duhos and the sacred cemi ancestor effigies are not a part of the history that is taught when we learn of the “discovery” of the Americas. What I think of today, however, are the possibilities. How would young Caribbean children understand who they were if they had learned more about their Taíno and West African forebears? What if they were taught that the architecture, monuments, and sculptures that dotted their cities reflected their own cultural hybridity and that they could be proud of their collective histories? How would the space of the church change if we introduced a new plaque that told the stories of the Taínos of Higüey and the Yoruba of West Africa who co-created the church?

Like the Taíno that rebuilt a “new world” atop their own Quisqueya/Aiti and the West African men who built alongside Taínos and continued to rebuild and care for the church, the cofradías (confraternities) created new meaning from Christian forms. I take my inspiration from these individuals by contributing to the “rebuilding” of the church as a site of contested meanings that decenters the Euro-Christian narrative and conjoins it to the matrix of meanings that endows this sacred site with the force of cemi-ase.
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