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Abstract

Moderate exercise has been shown to be beneficial to health in many ways, including reducing stress, building a stronger immune system and increasing cardiovascular health. Recent studies in the human gut microbiome have demonstrated benefits of certain microorganisms in aiding human pathogen resistance and reduction of inflammation. The hypothesis is that exercise can help modulate the human gut microbiota. Here we show that increasing exercise frequency selects for a diverse community of microbes that contribute to a healthier environment. Individuals who exercised more often showed a significant elevation in their diversity, as well as a significant elevation of certain members of the Firmicutes phylum (including *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, uncharacterized species of genus *Oscillospira*, *Lachnospira*, *Coprococcus*, and uncharacterized families of Clostridiales).
Introduction

There are up to 100 trillion microbes in the human body, tenfold the numbers of human cells. The human gut holds more than seventy percent of all the microbial mass in and on the body. (Hattori M et al. 2009) Recent discoveries have shown that the microbial biota of the human body may be the first line of defense against pathogens. (Hooper LV et al. 2010) Intestinal bacteria help drive the formation of lymphoid tissues and bacteria are known to promote development of preimmune Ab repertoire which results in positive selection of B cells in GALT. (Severson et al. 2010)

The human gut contains many of the microbes crucial for digestion and processing of organic material. Without certain bacteria, such as Bacteriodetes, processing of many crucial foods may not be possible. (Bolam et al. 2011) An unhealthy gut can contain unwanted bacteria, or
become dominated by normally rare taxa, such as an overgrowth of
Proteobacteria. These unhealthy bacteria can cause inflammation and have
other harmful effects on the body. Good bacteria are necessary to reduce
inflammation and suppress the inflammation due to Proteobacteria and other
pro-inflammatory taxa. (Hattori M et al. 2009) A deficiency in the TLR5 gene,
which is a component of the innate immune system and recognizes bacterial
flagellin, can result in unstable gut microbiota associated with low-grade
inflammation, and harboring Proteobacteria can drive and/or instigate
chronic colitis (Carvalho FA et al. 2012.) The complex interaction between
bacteria and human defenses can be affected greatly by antibiotic
treatment, stress, and dietary choices. Moderate exercise is known to
decrease levels of stress and build immunity. Lymphoid tissue associated
with the gut contains high levels of immune cells found within Peyer’s
patches and lamina propria. Moderate exercise has been shown to modulate
the immune system and exhaustive frequent exercise can result in
immunosuppression. (Valdes-Ramos et al. 2010) As such it seems reasonable
to think of exercise as being crucial to the interactions within the gut microbiota (Choi J et al. 2013).

Moderate exercise is shown to produce reactive oxygen species and change the cellular/metabolic rate redox balance to that of a pro-oxidative/pro-inflammatory state. This results in anti-oxidant enzymes responding by being up regulated to decrease the inflammation, with a net effect of decreased oxidative stress. No exercise would result in a low endogenous antioxidant level response. Moderate to frequent exercise would cause a full response of endogenous antioxidants; vigorous exercise could overwhelm the endogenous antioxidant response, which could lead to a chronic pro-oxidative/pro-inflammatory state. (Herder et al. 2004) Relatively little is known about how the gut microbiota vary with exercise in humans. As our knowledge of what defines healthy guts and those with dysbiosis are limited, understanding how gut microbes are shaped in individuals with a healthy lifestyle may lead to a better definition of a healthy gut which could lead to practical applications of remodeling the gut microbiota for improved human health.
Materials and Methods

Fecal samples from participants of the American Gut Project, a crowd-sourced endeavor where individuals volunteered to sequence their own microbiomes. Participants who specifically identified themselves as exercisers or non-exercisers were analyzed, totaling 1493 participants. Each participant was categorized according his or her exercise frequency, Never, Rarely (a few times a month), Occasionally (1-2 times per week), Regularly (3-5 times per week) and Daily. DNA was extracted from the participants’ feces, and the 16S rRNA gene V4 hypervariable region was sequenced on the Illumina MiSeq platform according to the manufacturer’s specifications, with addition of 15% PhiX. 175 base pair were generated in each direction. The base pairs were further processed in a data curation pipeline implemented in QIIME 1.8.0 with closed reference OTU picking against the August 2013 Greengenes database. A 97% identity was used for clustering
reads into OTUs (operational taxonomic units) discarding reads that fail to match the reference sequences. Taxonomy is already associated with each of the reference sequences from Greengenes. These data were then used to generate an OTU table. Antonio Gonzales did these preprocessing steps up to generating an OTU table. Members of the Knight lab did sample preparation, extraction, and sequencing. Robert McFadzean performed the following post-processing data analyses steps with supervision by William Walters.

QIIME 1.8.0, an open source software package was utilized for analysis and comparison of microbial communities from high-throughput sequencing data. Statistical measures can be run in QIIME to compare and contrast microbial communities, and measure significant differences between communities. (Caparaso et al. 2010) Alpha and beta diversity analysis were run using even sampling at 1000 sequences per sample. Alpha diversity shows overall diversity within a community, whereas beta diversity is the differences between samples. In this case, alpha diversity was calculated by
using the unique OTUs (known as the observed species metric) in each exercise frequency category, and beta diversity was calculated using the phylogenetic-based UniFrac metric (Lozupone C et al. 2005). Taxa Summaries were generated for each exercise group, with standard error calculated in excel across each phylum. A Kruskal-Wallis test was performed to find distributions of OTUs that were significantly different among exercise groups. Bonferonni and FDR P values were calculated for all groups. Further analysis was performed on the Firmicutes to determine if there may be a dose response for any taxon with exercise and to further breakdown the taxa down to the species level.
Results

**Figure 1**: Alpha diversity by exercise frequency. A: Never B: Rarely C: Occasional D: Regular E: Daily exercisers. Significant P-Value differences in diversity can be seen in P * < 0.05 between groups. Significant groups are between Group B and C, B and D, B and E.
**Figure 2:** Taxonomy summary of phyla by exercise frequency: Bacteriodetes are more abundant in the Never exercisers and Rarely exercisers. Firmicutes have highest abundance in the Regularly, Occasionally and Daily exercisers. Standard error bars are shown to be highest on Never and Rarely exercisers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bonferroni_P/FDR_P</th>
<th>Never</th>
<th>Rarely</th>
<th>Occasionally</th>
<th>Regularly</th>
<th>Daily</th>
<th>Taxonomy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.000199</td>
<td>1.886</td>
<td>1.095</td>
<td>1.927</td>
<td>2.434</td>
<td>2.842</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0021</td>
<td>2.204</td>
<td>1.838</td>
<td>1.841</td>
<td>3.007</td>
<td>3.296</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Ruminococcaceae; Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0031</td>
<td>8.613</td>
<td>8.845</td>
<td>11.776</td>
<td>10.77</td>
<td>9.286</td>
<td>o__Erysipelotrichales; f__Erysipelotrichaceae; Unclassified</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P Value</td>
<td>OTU 1</td>
<td>OTU 2</td>
<td>OTU 3</td>
<td>OTU 4</td>
<td>Taxonomy</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0131</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>1.985</td>
<td>2.8728</td>
<td>3.805</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Lachnospiraceae; g__Lachnospira; Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0195</td>
<td>9.931</td>
<td>11.19</td>
<td>15.343</td>
<td>16.72</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Ruminococcaceae; g__Faecalibacterium; s__prausnitzii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0312</td>
<td>0.7727</td>
<td>0.691</td>
<td>1.3161</td>
<td>1.665</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Lachnospiraceae; g__Coprococcus; Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>3.977</td>
<td>2.6176</td>
<td>2.9141</td>
<td>4.5992</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Lachnospiraceae; unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0099</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.1911</td>
<td>11.5738</td>
<td>14.348</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0108</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>2.3161</td>
<td>3.2509</td>
<td>4.6225</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Lachnospiraceae; g__Lachnospira; Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0128</td>
<td>2.159</td>
<td>4.2573</td>
<td>4.2405</td>
<td>5.0089</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__; g__; s__</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0151</td>
<td>1.477</td>
<td>1.7794</td>
<td>1.8247</td>
<td>2.2021</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Lachnospiraceae; Unclassified</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0212</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>19.080</td>
<td>23.9347</td>
<td>28.0429</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Ruminococcaceae; g__Faecalibacterium; s__prausnitzii</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0366</td>
<td>1.045</td>
<td>0.9705</td>
<td>1.6632</td>
<td>1.5813</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Ruminococcaceae; g__; s__</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.0468</td>
<td>1.159</td>
<td>1.6617</td>
<td>1.7422</td>
<td>1.7316</td>
<td>o__Clostridiales; f__Ruminococcaceae; g__Oscillospira; s__</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Significant Bonferroni and FDR P values for OTUs. Taxonomy for each OTU is in Firmicutes showing order, family, genus and species where available. All significant differences are in the phylum Firmicutes.
Summary of Taxonomy at Order Level

**Figure 3:** Firmicutes taxonomy shows an increase in the order Erysipeiolotrichales as exercise increases. (A=Never; B=Rarely, C=Occasional, D=Regularly, E=Daily)
Figure 4: Going down to the species level within the phylum of Firmicutes we see an increase for exercisers in the species *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*. We also see a decrease in species *Ruminococcus gnavus* with increasing exercise. The species *Lactobacillus zeae* showed no obvious trend with exercise. These were the only classified species at the species level; other species shown are unclassified at this level. (A=Never; B=Rarely, C=Occasional, D=Regularly, E=Daily)
Figure 5: Unweighted Unifrac Beta analysis Showing Principal co-ordinates analysis PC3 Vs. PC2. Colorized by exercise frequency (Never: Red; Blue=Rarely; Green=Regular; Purple=Daily; Orange=Occasional). A clear split is shown, however it does not appear to be associated with exercise frequency. There are no distinctly different clusters according to Exercise.
Figure 6: Unweighted Unifrac Beta analysis Showing Principal co-ordinates analysis PC1 Vs. PC3. (Never: Red; Blue=Rarely; Green=Regular; Purple=Daily; Orange=Occasional). There are no distinctly different clusters according to Exercise.
**Figure 7:** Unweighted Unifrac Beta analysis showing Principal co-ordinates analysis PC1 Vs. PC2. (Never: Red; Blue=Rarely; Green=Regular; Purple=Daily; Orange=Occasional). There are no distinctly different clusters according to Exercise.

**Figure 8:** Weighted Unifrac Beta Diversity shows a trend. Beta Analysis of each group OTU’s shows a trend in the weighted unifrac distances between the samples. Weighted UniFrac metric takes into account abundance of sequence data. Difference between Never and Regular exercisers may be due to the number of groups of each category. The weighted unifrac treats each sample equally instead of each unit of the branch equally. The distances between all samples are calculated and the results indicate a trend. It did not appear to be a gradient mapped to Exercise Frequency.
Figure 9: Weighted Unifrac beta diversity plot generated in QIIME with make_2d_plots. Showing Principal co-ordinates analysis PC3 Vs. PC2. Colorized by exercise frequency. (Never: Red; Blue=Rarely; Green=Regular; Purple=Daily; Orange=Occasional) No apparent strong clustering according to exercise is visible here.

Figure 10: Weighted Unifrac beta diversity plot generated in QIIME with make_2d_plots. Showing Principal co-ordinates analysis PC1 Vs. PC3. Colorized by exercise frequency. (Never: Red; Blue=Rarely; Green=Regular; Purple=Daily; Orange=Occasional) No apparent strong clustering according to exercise is visible here.
Figure 11: Weighted Unifrac beta diversity plot generated in QIIME with make_2d_plots. Showing Principal co-ordinates analysis PC1 Vs. PC2. Colorized by exercise frequency. (Never: Red; Blue=Rarely; Green=Regular; Purple=Daily; Orange=Occasional) No apparent strong clustering according to exercise is visible here.

Figure 12: Summary of Taxa between groups, showing greatest change in distribution of Firmicutes and Bacteriodetes. Individuals who exercised Regularly, Daily or Occasionally showed an increase in Firmicutes and as compared to Rarely or Never exercisers.
Discussion

An increase in diversity has been associated with a healthier system due to the ability to respond to adverse selection pressures. (Chatelier et al. 2013) Healthy children from Bangladesh showed a far greater diversity in their gut microbiota than healthy children from the United States. This diversity may confer resilience adding to ecosystem stability though ensuring functional redundancy. As such healthy children in Bangladeshi contained greater diversity compared to sibling counterparts recovering from acute diarrhea. (Lin A et al. 2013) Here we demonstrate a greater diversity in groups of individuals who exercise more frequently (figure 1). The taxa distribution between exercise groups is significantly different for certain commensal bacteria in the Firmicutes phylum using a Kruskal-Wallis test, as shown in (table 1). These Firmicutes are all elevated in those individuals who exercise more frequently. Decreases in biodiversity and amount of intestinal bacteria from the dominant phyla Firmicutes occurs frequently within patients with Crohn’s disease. *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* was the only species level
taxon we observed to be significantly different among exercise categories.

Here we showed an increase in *Faecalibacterium prausnitzi* as exercise increases. (figure 4) The depletion of *Faecalibacterium prausnitzi* is often related to an increased risk of recurring Crohn’s disease. (Sokol H et al. 2008) There is a significant increase in alpha diversity among individuals who exercise more frequently (figure 1), and lower variability among the predominant taxa (figure 2) as can be seen by the standard error. This suggests that exercise can help maintain a healthier gut microbiota by increasing diversity, selecting for microbes that impart a healthy phenotype, and potentially stabilizing the microbial configuration. Many other factors may however also influence the human gut such as diet, antibiotic treatment and age. The prevention of colon cancer is often associated with healthy lifestyle choices such as exercising regularly. Genetic variation also has an upward shift associated with physical activity and diet, which can lead to higher prevention of developing colon cancer. (Zeng et al. 2014) Future experiments may include keeping individuals with a controlled diet and exercise regimen to determine effects of exercise as the driver diversifying
or enriching the human gut microbiota. This analysis only included individual
time points-if a longitudinal human study were performed, a volatility analysis
with given time stamps of samples would clarify whether exercise acts to
stabilize the microbial community. Probiotics have been known to help with
homeostasis of the human immune system after exercise. (West et al. 2009)
It would also be beneficial to look deeper into the specific dose-response
within the different levels of exercise and determine the actual magnitude of
species affect on health and the ability to perform at a high level. Additional
study is needed with a higher sample population of ‘Never’ exercisers, which
was the lowest in our participant sample. This low sample size may cause
significant differences not to be seen. Additionally it would be worth looking
into whether human fecal transplants from regular exercisers into
gnotobiotic mice can increase resistance to infection, change activity levels
or decrease the propensity to gain weight, in a similar fashion to the fecal
transplants from human twins who were discordant for BMI into mice
(Turnbaugh et al. 2009). Further study could help identify species, which may
be useful as treatment for certain known diseases such as irritable bowel
disease. (Sokol H et al. 2008) It would also be beneficial to investigate how certain bacteria may be enriched through exercise, and help with performance in athletics. Recent studies in mice have shown a correlation between exercise and shifts in gut microbiota as a result, which led to weight loss. (Evans C et al. 2014) Studying elite athletes, their gut microbiota and how it may be different than average individuals may further help with diagnosing differences in species. This may serve to identify species helpful for athletic achievement. In addition to giving us a better understanding of how a healthy lifestyle shapes the gut microbiota, these studies could lead to potential treatments or probiotics for ailments or potentially improving athletic performance.
Conclusion

Exercise leads to an increase alpha diversity amongst individual’s microbiome, especially the Firmicutes and Clostridiales. Significant differences were seen in commensal bacteria within the phylum, Firmicutes. These included *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii*, uncharacterized species of genus *Oscillospira*, *Lachnospira*, *Coprococcus*, and uncharacterized families of Clostridiales. These differences in commensal bacteria can lead to healthier individuals more able to fight off certain pathogens. For example, *Faecalibacterium prausnitzii* is a butyrate producer, which has been shown to be important in maintaining intestinal epithelial health. (Blottere HM et al. 2003) Understanding how the microbial diversity and enrichment of commensurate bacteria in the gut is modulated through exercise could aid in treatment and prevention of health issues.
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