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Phase-Coherent Optical Pulse Synthesis from Separate Femtosecond Lasers

Robert K. Shelton,* Long-Sheng Ma,*† Henry C. Kapteyn, Margaret M. Murnane, John L. Hall, Jun Ye*‡

We generated a coherently synthesized optical pulse from two independent mode-locked femtosecond lasers, providing a route to extend the coherent bandwidth available for ultrafast science. The two separate lasers (one centered at 760 nanometers wavelength, the other at 810 nanometers) are tightly synchronized and phase-locked. Coherence between the two lasers is demonstrated via spectral interferometry and second-order field cross-correlation. Measurements reveal a coherently synthesized pulse that has a temporally narrower second-order autocorrelation width and that exhibits a larger amplitude than the individual laser outputs. This work represents a new and flexible approach to the synthesis of coherent light.

The interaction of coherent light with matter, and the “control” of matter using light, has been a prominent scientific theme in recent years. On “slow” time scales, the interaction of precisely tuned continuous wave (CW) laser light with atomic vapors leads to the generation of quantum degenerate gases and the “control” of matter using light, has been routine. On “fast” time scales, ultrashort light pulses are used to study coherent evolution in atomic and molecular systems. “Coherent control” experiments now use light pulses, precisely shaped in amplitude and phase, to selectively drive a desired dynamical process.

The ability to generate coherent light with ultrabroad bandwidths is essential for many applications in ultrafast science and technology. Advances in this area have led to broadband, ultrashort-pulse, laser systems (4) that routinely generate pulses shorter than 10 femtoseconds (fs) (3, 6). Moreover, coherent bandwidths can be extended over very broad spectral regions by using nonlinear frequency conversion techniques such as white-light continuum generation (7, 8), parametric amplification (9), molecular phase modulation (10), and so forth. However, for some applications, these techniques can suffer from poor efficiency and lack of flexibility, in particular for applications where arbitrary pulse synthesis at very different wavelengths is required. Ideally, it would be desirable to synthesize arbitrary pulses by coherently combining the output fields of two or more separate lasers, which would enable the generation of optical waveforms with distinct optical properties in distinct regions of the spectrum with potentially high powers. Here, we report the demonstration of coherent optical pulse synthesis from two independent fs lasers. This approach will have application in many areas of science and technology, including wide-bandwidth pump-probe configurations and coherent control, mid-infrared generation through difference frequency mixing (11), laser synchronization with x-rays or electron beams from synchrotrons (12), particle acceleration with phase-locked pulsed laser arrays (13), and synthesis of light pulses with durations shorter than those obtainable from any individual laser (4–6).

Tight phase locking of two CW lasers is a mature field (14) and has been applied to many scientific experiments. Phase locking of separate fs lasers, however, requires both tight synchronization between the two pulse trains as well as effective detection of the phase difference between the two optical carrier waves underlying the pulse envelopes. Recently, the combination of ultrafast laser technology with precision frequency metrology based on CW lasers (15) has resulted in dramatic breakthroughs of significance to both fields. Optical frequencies can now be directly linked to microwave sources (16, 17), and the stability of a CW optical oscillator can be readily transferred to the entire optical frequency comb (18, 19). In the time domain, control over mode frequencies translates into control over the time domain evolution of the carrier-envelope phase of the short pulses (20, 21). Here, we take advantage of these concepts of control in both the time and frequency domain to demonstrate coherent light synthesis: the coherent combination of outputs from more than one laser in such a way that the combined output can be viewed as a coherent, fs-duration pulse that is emitted from a single source.

As a first step, we synchronize two Kerr-lens mode-locked (KLM) fs lasers. The KLM laser generates a repetitive pulse train, and the rigorous periodicity in time leads to a corresponding periodicity in the spectral domain. The repetition rates of the two lasers are required to be identical to a very high degree of precision. The repetition rate of each laser has been controlled precisely using either a microwave clock (16) or a stable reference laser (18, 19). Tight synchronization between the two separate lasers is achieved via direct phase locking of the repetition frequencies of the two lasers.

However, in order to phase lock the optical carrier frequencies of the two lasers, it is necessary to ensure that the spectral combs of the individual lasers are exactly coincident in the region of spectral overlap. This requirement is associated with the rate of slipping of the carrier-envelope phase of these short pulses. The difference in the group (v_g) and phase (v_p) velocities (v_g ≠ v_p) results in a pulse envelope function that is not fixed with respect to the underlying optical oscillation frequencies—in general, there is a phase slip between the “carrier” phase and the envelope peak for each of the successive pulses emitted by the laser. Denoting this pulse-to-pulse phase slip by $\Delta \phi$, each optical comb frequency will be given by $f_n = n f_{rep} - \delta$, which corresponds to $\delta = f_{rep} (\Delta \phi / 2 \pi)$, where $n$ is the integer harmonic number ($\sim 4 \times 10^6$) of the optical comb line relative to $f_{rep}$. Thus, while the comb lines are rigorously equally spaced in frequency, they are not necessarily harmonics of the repetition rate $f_{rep}$; i.e., extending the comb toward zero frequency would reveal a frequency offset of the closest comb line from zero frequency (22).

To clarify the situation further, the fundamental issues of phase locking two mode-locked lasers are illustrated in both the time domain (Fig. 1A) and frequency domain (Fig. 1B). The two pulses need to be synchronized tightly, i.e., the two repetition rates are controlled to match each other ($f_{rep,1} = f_{rep,2}$). To achieve phase locking under synchronization, we also need to match the two sets of optical frequency combs so that they form a continuous and phased set. To achieve this, the two lasers should exhibit a certain degree of spectral overlap (Fig. 1B), allowing detection of a coherent heterodyne beat signal between the corresponding comb components of the two lasers. Such heterodyne detection yields information related to $|\delta_1 - \delta_2|$, which can then be controlled. By phase locking ($\delta_1 = \delta_2$) to a frequency of a mean zero value, we effectively demand that $(\Delta \phi_1 - \Delta \phi_2) = 0$, leading to two pulse trains that have nearly
The comb can be characterized by two variables: \( f_{\text{rep}} = v_e / l_c \) and \( \delta = \nu_c (1 - v_e / v_p) \), where \( v_c \) is the laser carrier frequency and \( l_c \) the cavity length. One obvious choice of laboratory control variables is \( l_c \), which controls \( f_{\text{rep}} \) without any influence on \( \delta \). Another control mechanism would be to vary either the pump laser power, which influences both \( v_e \) and \( v_p \), or to vary the angle of a swivel mirror in the dispersed end of the laser cavity to control \( v_c \). Here, we use a fast servo loop acting on \( l_c \) to stabilize \( f_{\text{rep}} \) whereas the offset frequency \( \delta \) is controlled using the swivel mirror [Supplemental fig. 1 (23)].

The two independent mode-locked Ti:sapphire lasers (24) each operate at a 100 MHz repetition rate, with one centered at 760 nm and the other at 810 nm. The bandwidth of each laser corresponds to a sub-20 fs transform limited pulse. Our synchronization scheme employs two phase-locked loops working at different time resolutions [Supplemental fig. 1 (23)]. The first loop operates at the fundamental repetition frequency to provide dynamic range of timing offset, whereas the second one operates at the 80th harmonic of \( f_{\text{rep}} \) (8 GHz) to provide enhanced phase stability. Using this approach, we have demonstrated the timing jitter between the two separate pulse trains to be <5 fs at a bandwidth of 160 Hz, observed over several minutes (25). This result represents a nearly 100-fold improvement over previous studies in synchronizing separate mode-locked Ti:sapphire lasers (26). The flexibility of this synchronization system is demonstrated by locking two independent lasers working at different commensurable repetition frequencies.

When the two lasers are well synchronized, the heterodyne beat between the two corresponding sets of combs can be recovered with a signal-to-noise ratio of 60 dB in a 100-kHz bandwidth. Phase shift in the synchronization lock loop is adjusted to have the two pulses optimally overlapped temporally at the heterodyne detector to produce the maximum beat signal. The beat frequency is then phase-locked to the drive frequency of the AOM. This avoids the need to process the beat signal in the troublesome frequency range around 0 Hz. The beat frequency from the beat is 0 Hz is implemented using an acousto-optic modulator (AOM). One laser beam passes through the AOM and is offset by the drive frequency of the AOM. This avoids the need to process the beat signal in the troublesome frequency range around 0 Hz. The beat frequency is then phase-locked to the drive frequency of the AOM, effectively removing the AOM frequency from the beat.

The carrier frequency beat signal between the two lasers is recorded under phase-locked conditions (Fig. 2A), with a standard deviation of 0.15 Hz at a 1-s averaging time. In contrast, without phase locking, the beat frequency between the two synchronized lasers has a standard deviation of a few MHz. To further explore the issue of the beat frequency noise versus characteristic time scales, we employ an Allan variance analysis (27), which allows study of noise process in the time domain by comparing adjacent measurements segmented within certain time windows. In this first-difference calculation, processes can be separated and isolated on the basis of their time scales, permitting the time scales at which fluctuations are the largest to be identified. From the time record of the timing jitter noise, we determined the corresponding Allan variance (red diamonds, Fig. 2B). Another fast frequency counter measures Allan variance directly on the instrument (blue circles, Fig. 2B). The result shows that the frequency variance falls off at a rate of \( 1/\tau \), where \( \tau \) is the averaging time. This is characteristic of phase noise in our wide-range phase-locked system.

Although this frequency counting method is convincing, a direct time-domain analysis of the coherence between the two fs lasers can be equally effective [Supplemental figure 2 (23)]. Spectral interferometry is a sensitive technique for measuring frequency-domain phase difference between two pulses, and it has been applied to the characterization of weak signal pulses with respect to stronger reference pulses (28) and to pulse-shaping studies. We combine the pulses from the two lasers on a beam splitter and direct the two pulses collinearly into a spectrometer consisting of a grating and a charge-coupled device array. The spectrum of the sum of the two pulses would produce the phase difference between the two pulses, and a preset delay \( \tau \) between the two pulses would yield fringes in the combined spectrum, if coherence between the two pulse trains persists over the measurement time period. Typical
situational interferometry data (Fig. 3A) when the spectra of the two lasers are overlapped are shown. The black and green curves represent the individual pulse spectra, obtained by blocking one beam and measuring the spectrum of the other. When both pulses are sent into the spectrometer, the resulting sum spectrum is shown in red (with phase-locked between the two lasers) and in blue (without phase-locked). Whereas the spectrum is smooth in the non-phase-locked case, under phase-locked conditions, interference fringes are produced that reveal excellent phase coherence between the two pulses within the observation time, chosen to be 20 µs in this case. Timing jitter at this short time scale is measured to be ≤1 fs. When the gate time is increased, we observe a decreased contrast in the interference fringes. However, the fringe pattern is still visible even at relatively long observation times of 20 ms.

Cross-correlation measurements of the two field amplitudes is another powerful technique to demonstrate mutual phase coherence (29). The interference term in a cross-correlation measurement is a convolution integral of the two field amplitudes, and fringes are obtained by scanning the delay between the two pulses. The second-order field cross-correlation is obtained when the coherent sum of the two optical fields passes through a second harmonic crystal before entering the photodetector. Again, we compare the phase locked (red curve) and unlocked (blue curve) results in Fig. 3B. Each scan takes about 20 µs, and the data shown are averaged results of eight scans. The fringe contrast of the averaged scans is reduced by only 20% from a single scan when phase lock is active. Without phase locking, the fringe contrast quickly disappears after averaging only a few sweeps.

To demonstrate the “coherently synthesized” aspect of the combined pulse, a second-order autocorrelation measurement of the combined pulse is carried out. For this measurement, the two pulse trains were maximally overlapped in the time domain before the autocorrelator. One arm of the autocorrelator (Michelson interferometer) was scanned to collect the autocorrelation data. The second-order autocorrelation curves of each individual laser are shown (Fig. 4, A and B, respectively). The spectrum of one laser is centered around 760 nm, whereas the other one around 810 nm. Under phase lock, the spectral interferometry measurement displays clear interference fringes within the overlapping spectra (Fig. 4C). An interesting autocorrelation measurement is shown when the two lasers are not even synchronized (Fig. 4D). We obtain an autocorrelation of a single laser pulse, with a sharp spike appearing in the data at a random position. The spike appears because, at that particular instant, the pulses overlapped in time and the two electric fields came into phase and coherently added together. The time scale of this random interference is related to the offset frequency between the two repetition rates and is usually less than a few ns. When the two lasers are synchronized but not phase-locked, the resulting autocorrelation measurement indicates an increased signal amplitude compared to the unsynchronized case, typically by a factor of 2.7 (Fig. 4E). However, as expected, this signal displays considerable random phase noise within the autocorrelation interference fringes. When the two lasers are phase-locked, the autocorrelation reveals a clean pulse that is often shorter in apparent duration and larger in amplitude (Fig. 4F). This result is statistically significant because this pulse shortening is observed in more than 40% of the total data sets. Note, however, that in this work we did not attempt to recompress the light pulses outside the laser cavities to very short duration, and the pulses are dispersive broadened to 50 to 70 fs in duration. The width of the central fringe pattern in an interferometric autocorrelation is more characteristic of the overall bandwidth of the pulse than of the pulse duration, and can result in a trace that appears deceptively short when the overall timespan of the scan is short. However, the data clearly show the difference between a coherently phase-locked pulse train (Fig. 4F) and one with a complex and random frequency substructure (Fig. 4E). Averaging of hundreds...
of the autocorrelation scans also consistently shows an amplitude enhancement by more than 20% when the phase lock is activated.

An important issue will be to demonstrate control over the phase profile across the entire synthesized spectrum, namely, pulse shaping. For example, a flat spectral phase profile is a prerequisite for generating an ultrashort pulse while arbitrary shape is needed for coherent control applications. Using the current control scheme, the carrier-envelope phase difference between the two lasers track each other ($\Delta \phi_1 = \Delta \phi_2$). There remains, of course, a static phase difference between the two lasers, namely ($\phi_2 - \phi_1$). However, this static phase can be controlled through an appropriate phase offset introduced in the carrier heterodyne beat detection, for example, through phase shift of the radio frequency signal driving the AOM. This phase-compensated spectrum can then be used in a pulse-shaping device to generate the desired pulse waveform.
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Nanowire Nanosensors for Highly Sensitive and Selective Detection of Biological and Chemical Species

Yi Cui,† Qingqiao Wei,† Hongkun Park,‡ Charles M. Lieber*‡†

Boron-doped silicon nanowires (SiNWs) were used to create highly sensitive, real-time electrically based sensors for biological and chemical species. Amine- and oxide-functionalized SiNWs exhibit pH-dependent conductance that was linear over a large dynamic range and could be understood in terms of the change in surface charge during protonation and deprotonation. Biotin-modified SiNWs were used to detect streptavidin down to at least a picomolar concentration range. In addition, antigen-functionalized SiNWs show reversible antibody binding and concentration-dependent detection in real time. Lastly, detection of the reversible binding of the metabolic indicator Ca2+ was demonstrated. The small size and capability of these semiconductor nanowires for sensitive, label-free, real-time detection of a wide range of chemical and biological species could be exploited in array-based screening and in vivo diagnostics.

Planar semiconductors can serve as the basis for chemical and biological sensors in which detection can be monitored electrically and/or optically (1–4). For example, a planar field effect transistor (FET) can be configured as a sensor by modifying the gate oxide (without gate electrode) with molecular receptors or a selective membrane for the analyte of interest; binding of a charged species then results in depletion or accumulation of carriers within the transistor structure (1, 2). An attractive feature of such chemically sensitive FETs is that binding can be monitored by a direct change in conductance or related electrical property, although the sensitivity and potential for integration are limited.

The physical properties limiting sensor devices fabricated in planar semiconductors can be readily overcome by exploiting nanoscale FETs (5–9). First, binding to the surface of a nanowire (NW) or nanotube (NT) can lead to depletion or accumulation of carriers in the “bulk” of the nanometer diameter structure (versus only the surface region of a planar device) and increase sensitivity to the point that single-molecule detection is possible. Second, the small size of NW and NT building blocks and recent advances in the fabrication of oxide surfaces, for example, from studies of silica (12) and planar chemical and biological sensors (4, 13), to create semiconductor NWs modified with receptors for many applications. Here we demonstrate the potential of NW nanosensors with direct, highly sensitive real-time detection of chemical and biological species in aqueous solution.

The underlying concept of our experiments is illustrated first for the case of a pH nanosensor (Fig. 1A). Here a silicon NW (SiNW) solid state FET, whose conductance is modulated by an applied gate, is transformed into a nanosensor by modifying the silicon oxide surface with 3-aminopropyltriethoxysilane (APTES) to provide a surface that binding can be monitored by a direct change in conductance or related electrical property, although the sensitivity and potential for integration are limited.