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Ku can contribute to telomere lengthening in yeast at multiple positions in the telomerase RNP
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ABSTRACT

Unlike ribonucleoprotein complexes that have a highly ordered overall architecture, such as the ribosome, yeast telomerase appears to be much more loosely constrained. Here, we investigate the importance of positioning of the Ku subunit within the 1157-nt yeast telomerase RNA (TLC1). Deletion of the 48-nt Ku-binding hairpin in TLC1 RNA (tlc1Δ48) reduces telomere length, survival of cells with gross chromosomal rearrangements, and de novo telomere addition at a broken chromosome end. To test the function of Ku at novel positions in the telomerase RNP, we reintroduced its binding site into tlc1Δ48 RNA at position 446 or 1029. We found that Ku bound to these repositioned sites in vivo and telomere length increased slightly, but statistically significantly. The ability of telomerase to promote survival of cells with gross chromosomal rearrangements by healing damaged chromosome arms was also partially restored, whereas the kinetics of DNA addition to a specific chromosome break was delayed. Having two Ku sites in TLC1 caused progressive hyperelongation of a variable subset of telomeres, consistent with Ku’s role in telomerase recruitment to chromosome ends. The number of Ku-binding sites in TLC1 contributed to telomerase RNA abundance in vivo but was only partially responsible for telomere length phenotypes. Thus, telomerase RNA levels and telomere length regulation can be modulated by the number of Ku sites in telomerase RNA. Furthermore, there is substantial flexibility in the relative positioning of Ku in the telomerase RNP for native telomere length maintenance, although not as much flexibility as for the essential Est1p subunit.
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INTRODUCTION

X-ray crystallography has led to high-resolution structure determination of macromolecular assemblies that are well ordered. As a consequence, precisely determined structures such as those of the nucleosome and the ribosome have become archetypes for how one tends to envision nucleic acid–protein complexes (Luger et al. 1997; Moore and Steitz 2002). However, some other complexes of nucleic acid and protein, even including essential ones and those harboring a separate (structured) enzymatic domain, appear to be highly flexible (Zappulla and Cech 2006). For example, the telomerase RNA in yeast appears to provide a functionally and potentially physically flexible scaffold, or tether, for the essential Est1 (Ever Shorter Telomeres 1) protein subunit (Zappulla and Cech 2004).

Telomerase is the enzyme that replenishes DNA at the ends of eukaryotic chromosomes, a function that is beyond the ability of the conventional semiconservative DNA replication machinery (Watson 1972; Olovnikov 1973; Greider and Blackburn 1989; Lingner et al. 1995). Because maintaining telomeric DNA is required for cell proliferation and preventing gene loss, regulation of telomere replication is of fundamental importance in cancer and a growing list of degenerative diseases. In the budding yeast Saccharomyces cerevisiae the telomerase RNA subunit provides the template for synthesis of telomeric DNA as well as binding sites for at least four proteins or protein complexes: Est2p, Est1p, the Ku heterodimer, and the Sm heterohexamers (see Fig. 1; Singer and Gottschling 1994; Cech 2004). Est2p is the telomerase reverse transcriptase (TERT) catalytic subunit in yeast. Est2p interacts with the template nucleotides, which it reverse transcribes to synthesize DNA, and it binds in the vicinity of a pseudoknot/triple-helix
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structure in the central core region of telomerase RNA (Livengood et al. 2002; Tzfati et al. 2003; Chappell and Lundblad 2004; Dandjinou et al. 2004; Lin et al. 2004; Theimer et al. 2005). The RNA triple-helix also contributes to telomerase catalysis (Qiao and Cech 2008). In yeast as in other systems, TERT plus the RNA subunit are necessary and sufficient for reconstituting telomerase activity in reticulocyte lysates (Zappulla et al. 2005). The proposed TLC1 secondary structure models show the template and Est2p-binding regions in close proximity within the central core region, whereas the binding sites for accessory proteins exist near the tips of three long, partially helical arms (Dandjinou et al. 2004; Zappulla and Cech 2004).

Previous experiments have shown that large single deletions spanning the majority of the 1157-nt TLC1 RNA are tolerated in vivo (Livengood et al. 2002). Furthermore, Mini-TLC1 RNAs as small as one-third the size of wild type (384 nucleotides; smaller than the 451-nt human RNA) allow yeast to maintain short telomeres and avoid senescence (Zappulla et al. 2005). The regions of TLC1 that are dispensable are very poorly conserved, even among closely related species (Tzfati et al. 2003; Dandjinou et al. 2004; Zappulla and Cech 2004). This is quite different from most other RNPs essential for cellular proliferation. However, certain budding yeast snRNAs are also larger than their homologs in other organisms, and much of their sequence is also dispensable for essential function (Igel and Ares 1988; Shuster and Guthrie 1988; Siliciano et al. 1991). The longer arms in natural TLC1 relative to Mini-T do provide better RNA accumulation and a significant fitness increase (Zappulla et al. 2005), which presumably contributes to the evolutionary selection of the larger RNA in nature.

The sum of these observations led to the hypothesis that TLC1 functions as a flexible RNA scaffold for the protein subunits (Evans and Lundblad 2002; Livengood et al. 2002). This hypothesis was substantiated for the Est1p essential accessory subunit: When the Est1p-binding nucleotides 514–694 were relocated to positions 211, 446, or 1029 (new numbering reflects 5’ end reported by Dandjinou et al. 2004), telomerase retained its ability to maintain telomere length in vivo (Zappulla and Cech 2004).

The Ku heterodimer is best known for its role in DNA repair (Boulton and Jackson 1996; Peterson et al. 2001; Stellwagen et al. 2003; Fisher and Zakian 2005; Ting et al. 2005). Ku binds directly to a variety of DNA structures in vitro, but interacts with the ends of DNA with highest affinity and is essential for nonhomologous end-joining (NHEJ) (Mimori and Hardin 1986; Boulton and Jackson 1996; Tuteja and Tuteja 2000). Seemingly paradoxically, Ku also binds natural chromosome ends, where it is part of the protective heterochromatin-like structure that protects against end joining. In yeast, Ku binds telomerase RNA via a stem–loop, or “hairpin,” structure (Stellwagen et al. 2003) and it has also been reported to bind the human telomerase RNA (Ting et al. 2005). Yeast telomerase RNA was first identified for its ability to disrupt telomeric silencing by binding Ku when overexpressed from a galactose-inducible promoter (Singer and Gottschling 1994). Yeast lacking Ku subunits or the 48-nt Ku-binding hairpin in TLC1 (tlc1<sup>48</sup>) have similarly short but stable telomeres and do not senesce (Peterson et al. 2001). Telomeres retain full Ku-association in the tlc1<sup>48</sup> strain, despite the loss of the Ku–TLC1 interaction (Fisher et al. 2004). Cells deleted for YKU80, YKU70, or both genes are temperature sensitive, arresting in G2/M at 37°C (Feldmann and Winnacker 1993; Feldmann et al. 1996). This arrest is probably due to the triggering of a DNA damage-related checkpoint caused by extensive resection of the 5’ end of the chromosomes in the absence of Ku (Gravel et al. 1998).

In addition to its canonical role, telomerase also adds telomere sequence to broken chromosome ends (for review, see Pennaneach et al. 2006). This low-frequency event can promote survival of cells with gross chromosomal rearrangements (GCRs), as telomere addition to a broken chromosome leads to loss of the distal portion, but survival of the cell if this chromosomal fragment contains no essential gene. This process is mediated in yeast in large part by Ku, which binds efficiently to broken DNA ends and to telomerase RNA, as detailed above.

Here, we first examine the effects of repositioning Ku in the telomerase RNP on telomere length maintenance and...
find that the Ku subunit retains at least partial function even when tethered to telomerase RNA through alternative positions. A second largely binding position-independent function of Ku is its contribution to telomerase RNA abundance. In contrast, TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku sites do not perform as well at promoting telomerase-mediated healing of broken chromosome ends. These results extend and refine the model of yeast telomerase RNA as a flexible scaffold for protein binding.

RESULTS

Targeting Ku to alternative positions in the yeast telomerase RNP

To determine the importance of the position of Ku in the telomerase ribonucleoprotein complex, we relocated the Ku-binding hairpin in the 1157-nt TLC1 RNA to two different positions (Fig. 1). The Ku-binding site normally follows nucleotide 278 of TLC1, and we moved it to either 446 or 1029. To predict whether the Ku site would fold properly when inserted elsewhere in TLC1, we used Mfold software (Mathews et al. 1999; Zuker 2003) to assist in our design (Supplemental Fig. 1). This led us to insert a 74-nt Ku site (nucleotides 262–335; see red box in Fig. 1; Supplemental Fig. 1A), which includes the 48-nt hairpin shown to be sufficient for binding (Stellwagen et al. 2003) as well as the additional 5' and 3' nucleotides that are paired beyond the base of its stem.

We first addressed the important question of whether the relocated sites in TLC1 bind to Ku in vivo. We took advantage of the assay that first identified TLC1 (Singer and Gottschling 1994; Singer et al. 1998), which is based on the fact that overexpression of TLC1 RNA competes with telomeric DNA for binding Ku (Singer and Gottschling 1994; Peterson et al. 2001; Stellwagen et al. 2003), leading to a readily detectable loss of transcriptional silencing (i.e., telomere position effect, TPE). As expected, when wild-type TLC1 RNA was overexpressed from the galactose-inducible GAL1/10 promoter, telomeric silencing was disrupted (Fig. 2A). This effect depended on the 48-nt Ku-binding hairpin in TLC1 (Fig. 2B, tlc1Δ48). When we overproduced TLC1 RNAs with the Ku site relocated to position 446 or 1029, we observed silencing disruption as with wild-type TLC1, at least 100-fold more than with tlc1Δ48 or vector alone and slightly less than with an RNA with three tandem Ku sites (Fig. 2B). This result indicates that Ku binds very similarly to TLC1 in vivo when its binding site is repositioned compared with when it is at its native position.

Repositioned Ku in the telomerase RNP still contributes to maintaining telomere length

We transformed yeast cells that have the telomerase RNA gene deleted (tlc1Δ) with low copy-number centromere-containing plasmids harboring TLC1 alleles with different Ku sites and arrangements. After shuffling out a wild-type TLC1 cover plasmid and passaging cells in liquid culture, we isolated genomic DNA, digested it with XhoI, and performed Southern blot hybridization with a telomeric probe. Hybridization to an internal fragment of chromosome IV provided a standard for relative electrophoretic mobility. We developed a method for identifying weighted average telomere length from even a non-Gaussian distribution of fragments (see Materials and Methods). Y' element-containing telomeric restriction fragments (17 of these are predicted from the Saccharomyces genome
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database) have a broad size distribution, which we determined to have an average length of 1267 ± 7 bp in cells expressing wild-type TLC1 RNA. (Comparing this value with the genomic database suggests that the average amount of telomeric sequence for Y’ telomeres in the cells used in this experiment is 171 bp.) Average Y’ telomere length of senescing tcl1Δ cells (containing vector only), harvested between 50 and 70 generations after loss of TLC1, was decreased by 139 ± 12 bp (see Table 1, first column). In contrast, this telomere population was 69 ± 6 bp shorter than wild type in strains expressing TLC1 with a Ku site deletion (tcl1Δ48; Table 1, second column). Thus, the telomeric repeats are maintained at about one-half the wild-type number in the absence of a Ku site in TLC1.

In the key test, when a Ku site was then added to this binding-defective RNA at either position 446 or 1029 (see Fig. 1), telomeres were longer by a statistically significant amount than those in cells expressing tcl1Δ48 (Fig. 3A,B; see also Figs. 4, 5 and Supplemental figures). As summarized in Table 1, based on the results of five independent experiments, Y’ telomeres were 20 ± 5 bp longer in cells expressing tcl1Δ48+Ku@446 than tcl1Δ48 (two-tailed t-test P = 0.006). When the Ku site was introduced at position 1029 (tcl1Δ48+Ku@1029), data from nine experiments showed that Y’ telomeres were lengthened by an average of 22 ± 7 bp (P = 0.003). However, these telomeres were still shorter than wild type (see additional analysis below).

To determine whether there was any telomere length regulation difference with non-Y’ telomeres, we took advantage of the 2.1-kb XhoI telomeric restriction fragment (see arrows in Fig. 3A). We found this telomere maps to the right arm of chromosome VI based on analysis of genomic sequence from the Saccharomyces Genome Database (SGD) and Southern blotting with a VI-R-specific subtelomeric probe (Supplemental Fig. 2). The length of this VI-R non-Y’ telomere was also significantly increased when a Ku site was reintroduced at position 446 or 1029 in a tcl1Δ48 RNA (P = 0.04 and 0.11, respectively). The results of relocating Ku to very different positions in the telomerase RNP demonstrate that alternative Ku-binding sites retain some functionality in telomerase-mediated telomere length maintenance, although the natural position is more functional than either of the two alternatives tested.

We next tested whether binding of Ku to the repositioned sites in TLC1 was indeed important for the observed partial restoration of telomere length by introducing a Ku-binding-defective three-point mutant hairpin (3*ku) (Peterson et al. 2001; Stellwagen et al. 2003) at position 446 or 1029. The constructs with the mutant hairpin were confirmed to be defective in binding Ku, as demonstrated by the Ku-binding-dependent telomeric silencing disruption assay (Supplemental Fig. 3). Furthermore, the 3*ku hairpin did not improve telomere length when introduced at either novel position in tcl1Δ48, but rather, the Y’ telomeres were 44–65 bp shorter than those of tcl1Δ48 cells (Fig. 3A; Table 1). Using these point-mutant Ku hairpin RNAs as controls for insertion of functional Ku-binding RNA, the net increase in Y’ telomere length caused by Ku binding to new positions in telomerase is calculated to be 59 and 67 bp for the 446 and 1029 insertions, respectively. This is 91% of the length by which telomeres shorten when Ku binding is disrupted (69 ± 6 bp; see Table 1) and, therefore, in this context targeting Ku to position 446 or 1029 may provide a nearly wild-type level of telomere-lengthening function.

**Ku binding to telomerase RNA increases its abundance**

We analyzed the size and abundance of the TLC1 RNAs with repositioned Ku sites by Northern blotting. Sizes were as expected considering the various RNA deletions and insertions. Moreover, we discovered that telomerase RNA levels correlated with the number of functional Ku-binding sites in the various TLC1 alleles (Fig. 3C). Strains with the Ku-binding site deleted (tcl1Δ48) showed an average of 0.48 ± 0.11 the relative RNA abundance of wild type. Adding a Ku site to position 446 or 1029 of tcl1Δ48 raised the relative level to 0.76 ± 0.27 and 0.67 ± 0.19, respectively, while adding the 3*ku binding-defective hairpin caused a relative decrease to 0.20 and 0.22. In the case of TLC1 alleles where a second Ku site was introduced at 446 or 1029, respectively (i.e., in addition to the Ku site at its

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Relative average length (bp) of telomeres maintained by telomerase RNAs with repositioned Ku sites</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TLC1 allele:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Y’-proximal telomeres:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>VI-R telomere:</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Telomere lengths (bp) calculated from the weighted average mobility for the 17 Saccharomyces cerevisiae Y’ telomeres through 300 generations (see Materials and Methods).

*This particular telomere in the experiment began shortening from wild-type starting length at 50 generations and the experiment was stopped at 70 generations, so it did not reach equilibrium as in most other experiments where telomere length was examined through 300 generations.*
normal position), the relative RNA abundance was increased to 1.29 ± 0.52 and 1.21 ± 0.42, while it was reduced by a 3*ku hairpin to 0.68 and 0.43. We also tested the TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku sites when integrated in the chromosome rather than expressed from CEN plasmids. Analysis of telomere length showed the same modest, but statistically significant contribution of the repositioned sites (Supplemental Fig. 4), despite a decrease of ~40% in RNA abundance due to differences in copy number between CEN plasmids and chromosomes. Furthermore, the integrated alleles were in a wild-type RAD52 background, whereas the strain used with CEN plasmids is a rad52Δ mutant, so this also demonstrates that the results are RAD52 independent.

**Two Ku sites in TLC1 causes progressive telomere hyperlengthening**

Southern blotting analysis suggested that telomeres were reproducibly longer than wild type for TLC1 alleles that retained the endogenous Ku site and also had another one introduced at 446 or 1029 (Fig. 3B, lanes 11–13, 17–19). We addressed this in more detail by performing an extended time course of cell growth through 300 generations (Fig. 4A). We observed progressive hyperelongation of the chromosome VI-R telomere by 255 bp (Fig. 4A, lanes 22–25) over this 225-generation time period; or ~1 bp per generation on average. Similar gradual hyperlengthening was observed for a subset of the Y′ telomeres and other non-Y′ telomeres; it appeared to occur stochastically with respect to the particular chromosome end that was affected (e.g., chromosome end VI-R was not always hyperelongated). This progressive hyperlengthening of telomeres was never observed when the binding-defective 3*ku sequence was introduced into TLC1 at 446 or 1029.

**RNA abundance is not the cause of telomere length phenotypes of TLC1/Ku alleles**

Telomere length in diploid yeast cells is sensitive to TLC1 copy number, probably because there are normally extremely low levels of the RNA, approximately stoichiometric
to telomeres (Mozdy and Cech 2006). Telomerase RNA haploinsufficiency is also associated with dyskeratosis congenita in humans (Wong and Collins 2006). We have shown here that when the Ku-binding site in TLC1 is deleted, RNA abundance decreases to 48 ± 11%. To test the possibility that this might completely explain shorter telomeres in tlc1Δ48 cells, we increased tlc1Δ48 RNA abundance twofold by transforming tlc1Δ48 cells with two different tlc1Δ48-expressing CEN plasmids, each with a different selectable marker. Maintaining selection for both plasmids led to a cellular increase in tlc1Δ48 RNA to 98% of wild-type RNA levels, but only a 14-bp increase in average Y′ telomere length, still 28 bp shorter than the wild-type telomeres in the experiment (all experimental groups were done in duplicate from 50 to 300 generations; see Supplemental Fig. 6). Thus, even with almost exactly the wild-type amount of tlc1Δ48 RNA in vivo, telomeres are short, supporting a direct role for the Ku telomerase subunit in telomere lengthening.

**FIGURE 4.** Telomere hyperlengthening is caused by TLC1 RNA containing two Ku sites. (A) Expression of TLC1 with two functional Ku sites leads to gradual runaway lengthening of a variable subset of telomeres. Note the greater generation number than in Figure 3. (B) Doubling the number of centromeric plasmids per cell expressing wild-type TLC1 provides a twofold increase in TLC1 RNA, just slightly more than TLC1 alleles with two Ku sites expressed from a single CEN plasmid. Northern blotting was performed as in Figure 3C. (C) TLC1 RNAs with two Ku sites cause greater disruption of telomeric silencing than wild type. [p(Ku hairpin)3] Positive control construct with three Ku sites, which causes potent disruption of TPE. Experiment was performed as in Figure 2B.
TLC1 RNA with an additional Ku site was, on average, 25 ± 7% more abundant (Fig. 4B; data not shown; see also Figs. 3C, 5B; Supplemental Figs. 6, 7), also consistent with Ku contributing to telomerase RNA levels. TLC1 alleles with two Ku-binding sites indeed bind more Ku protein, as shown in Figure 4C; galactose-inducible RNA overexpression causes at least twofold more telomeric silencing disruption than wild-type TLC1. Since the TLC1+Ku@446 and @1029 alleles also have telomeres longer than wild type, we tested whether this might simply be due to increased telomerase RNA levels. The level of wild-type TLC1 was increased to that of TLC1+Ku RNAs using the two-CEN-plasmid approach as described above to double gene copy number. Indeed, growing these cotransformants in doubly selective medium led to approximately twice the TLC1 RNA abundance per cell (Fig. 4B), yet the length of telomeres was indistinguishable from that of yeast harboring wild-type TLC1 on a single CEN plasmid (Fig. 4A, lanes 5–8). Thus, doubling the normal concentration of TLC1 RNA in vivo did not lead to a discernable telomere length hyperelongation phenotype in the otherwise isogenic haploid strain. Therefore, hyperlengthening of telomeres caused by TLC1 with an extra Ku site is probably due to increased function of Ku in promoting telomerase activity, and not simply an indirect effect of Ku stabilizing telomerase RNA.

A telomerase RNA-binding defective allele of Ku80 shows that normal telomere length and TLC1 RNA levels require the Ku–TLC1 interaction

In the above experiments, we manipulated Ku binding to telomerase RNA by mutating and repositioning Ku sites in the RNA. To determine whether these effects were due to changes in Ku binding or changes in the RNA structure, we next disrupted the Ku–TLC1 interaction by introducing a mutation in YKU80, the gene encoding the Ku subunit responsible for binding telomerase RNA (Stellwagen et al. 2003). We replaced the chromosomal copy of YKU80 with yku80-135i, a 15-nt insertion mutant identified by screening a transposon-mutagenized library of Ku alleles for those specifically defective in binding TLC1 (Stellwagen et al. 2003). We then transformed the YKU80 and yku80-135i versions of this tlc1D strain with the various centromeric plasmid-borne TLC1 alleles with altered Ku sites and assessed the telomere length and RNA abundance in these clones after 300 generations.

As seen previously (Stellwagen et al. 2003), with wild-type TLC1 telomere length was shorter in the yku80-135i strain than in the YKU80 strain (Fig. 5A, lanes 1,2). Average Y′ telomere length in the TLC1 yku80-135i strain was 113 bp shorter than wild type, similar to the 95-bp decrease in the tlc1Δ48 YKU80 strain (cf. lanes 2,5). Telomere length
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was more significantly affected by the yku80-135i mutation in cases where a functional Ku site was present in the TLC1 RNA—be it only at the endogenous location (−113 bp), only an unnatural one (−72 bp), or at both positions (−182 bp)—than for the defective tlc1Δ48 allele (−36 bp) or cases where a 3′ku hairpin was introduced into tlc1Δ48 (−49 bp) (Fig. 5A). These results provide additional evidence that Ku binding to TLC1 is responsible for the observed telomere length phenotypes.

Consistent with the decrease in TLC1 RNA abundance we observed in tlc1Δ48 YKU80 cells, TLC1 yku80-135i cells have ~30% the TLC1 abundance of TLC1 YKU80 cells (Fig. 5B). Interestingly, there was a discernable effect of the yku80-135i mutation even without a functional Ku site in the TLC1 RNA, but it was generally smaller than for those TLC1 alleles with a Ku-binding site.

**TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku-binding sites still promote telomere healing at broken DNA ends**

Ku is one of several telomere-binding proteins that interact with telomerase to promote telomere addition at native chromosome ends. However, Ku also interacts with telomerase to promote telomere addition at broken DNA ends, particularly when DNA damage is accompanied by substantial deletions within a chromosome (Stellwagen et al. 2003; Pennaneach et al. 2006). To explore whether TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku-binding sites remain able to promote telomere healing at broken chromosome ends, we used the gross chromosomal rearrangements (GCR) assay developed by Kolodner and colleagues. In this assay, two counter-selectable markers, URA3 and CAN1, are located ~10 kb apart on the left arm of chromosome V and distal to the most telomere-proximal essential gene, PCM1 (Fig. 6A).

Spontaneous or induced DNA damage can cause the loss of chromosomal DNA containing both URA3 and CAN1 while retaining PCM1; the vast majority of these DNA damage events are healed by the addition of a telomere to the centromere-proximal DNA end (Myung et al. 2001).

GCR assays were performed using strains with the genomic copy of TLC1 replaced with tlc1Δ48, tlc1Δ48+Ku@446, or tlc1Δ48+Ku@1029. Native telomeres in these strains were maintained at lengths similar to those in strains with these tlc1 alleles on CEN plasmids (Supplemental Fig. 4). DNA damage was induced using the alkylating agent methyl methanesulfonate (MMS), and GCR events were identified by plating on media containing 5-fluoroorotic acid (FOA) and canavanine. Under these conditions, the tlc1Δ48 strain exhibited a 10-fold lower GCR frequency than the wild-type strain (Fig. 6B). However, GCR frequencies in strains containing tlc1Δ48+Ku@446 and tlc1Δ48+Ku@1029 were three- to fivefold increased over the tlc1Δ48 strain. These increases were seen under a range of MMS concentration conditions, and Southern blot analysis verified that the majority of GCR events were the result of new telomere addition (data not shown). These results suggest that the TLC1 RNAs with repositioned Ku-binding sites retain approximately half the ability to promote telomere healing at broken DNA ends.

**De novo telomere addition is reduced when the Ku-binding site is repositioned in TLC1**

To investigate whether telomerase-mediated extension of a broken chromosome end is affected when the Ku site is repositioned in TLC1, we measured the rate of telomere addition at a defined site on chromosome VII using an assay developed by Dieder and Gottschling (1999) (Fig. 7A). The tlc1Δ48+Ku@446 and tlc1Δ48+Ku@1029 alleles were integrated into a strain in which a short telomeric seed sequence is located next to a recognition site for the HO endonuclease. Induction of HO exposed the telomeric seed, and the rate of new telomeric sequence addition to the seed was monitored over time by Southern analysis (Fig. 7B).

In all strains, cleavage of the HO site was largely complete after the first hour of the endonuclease’s induction (note disappearance of uncut band and appearance of cut band in Fig. 7B). In the wild-type strain, telomere addition was detectable after 2 h, as indicated by the smear of signal above the cut band; by 4 h, more than half of the cut fragments had
been elongated. These kinetics were slower in the tlc1Δ48 strain: The smear of elongation was detected later, and a smaller fraction of the cut fragments were elongated. Neither the tlc1Δ48+Ku@446 nor the tlc1Δ48+Ku@1029 strain had telomere addition restored to wild-type levels. In the latter case, little telomere addition was detectable within the first 4 h; longer time courses revealed that telomere healing was underway after 8 h, and these strains did not exhibit any loss of viability when plated, in contrast to telomerase-deficient strains (data not shown).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we tested whether scaffolding of the Ku subunit by TLC1 RNA is flexible, in the sense that it can function in different positions in the telomerase RNP. We deleted the endogenous binding site in TLC1 and then added it back at two new positions, hundreds of nucleotides away from the normal location. The hypothesis, based on the flexible scaffolding model already demonstrated for the essential Est1 subunit (Zappulla and Cech 2004) was that Ku would provide its function in telomerase despite being relocated within the enzyme complex. As described in detail below, repositioning of Ku allows some retention of its functions, but does not exhibit the degree of positional flexibility exhibited by the Est1 subunit.

We first tested whether Ku would bind to the repositioned hairpins in vivo. This was determined by the ability of TLC1 to bind to Ku and disrupt Ku’s essential role in the telomere position effect. Indeed, Ku bound to repositioned sites in TLC1, causing disruption of telomeric silencing indistinguishable from the wild-type RNA. This result demonstrates flexibility in the ability of Ku to associate with the telomerase RNP, a prerequisite for testing for flexibility of its function at these novel positions.

We report here that deletion of the 48-nt Ku-binding site leads to a decrease in TLC1 RNA abundance in vivo to 48% of wild type. The binding of Ku to repositioned hairpins in the TLC1 leads to substantial recovery of the RNA to nearly the wild-type level. Furthermore, TLC1 alleles with a functional Ku site have significantly decreased abundance when the binding-defective yku80-135p is introduced. Consistent with our results, a relative TLC1 abundance of 53% has been reported for a yku70Δ mutant (Mozdy et al. 2008). Given that Yku70 forms a heterodimer with Yku80p, this would presumably disrupt Ku function in telomerase to an extent similar to that described here. Ku could promote telomerase RNA abundance by stabilizing the RNP and/or by enhancing its nuclear retention (Gallardo et al. 2008). Although we have not explored the detailed mechanism, we show here that the increase in TLC1 abundance requires Ku binding to the RNA and is flexible with respect to Ku’s position in the RNP.

Telomere length is maintained when the Ku site is repositioned in TLC1. Ku at the repositioned sites may be functioning at near wild-type efficiency when one factors in that a three-point mutation (3*ku) version of the Ku hairpin that is defective in binding Ku actually shortens telomeres when put at the same positions. This is consistent with Ku’s function in telomerase-mediated telomere length maintenance being mostly retained despite Ku binding to completely new positions in the RNP. We also assessed the ability of repositioned Ku in telomerase to enable cells to survive with gross chromosomal rearrangements (GCRs) via addition of telomeric DNA to internal positions of a damaged chromosome. In this case, repositioned Ku sites had an intermediate ability to facilitate telomerase-mediated GCRs.

FIGURE 7. Reduced de novo telomere addition in strains with repositioned Ku-binding sites in TLC1 RNA. (A) The de novo telomere addition experiment. An 81-bp telomeric seed sequence (single pair of internal black and white boxes) is located next to an HO endonuclease recognition site. Induction of HO and subsequent chromosomal DNA cleavage exposes this telomeric seed, which is then elongated by telomerase. (B) Southern analysis of de novo telomere addition. Genomic DNA was collected at the indicated times after HO induction, digested with SpeI, and analyzed by Southern blot hybridization to an ADE2 probe. This probe also binds to a ~1.6-kb fragment from the native ADE2 locus, which serves as a loading control.
Why do the TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku sites function intermediate well in telomere length maintenance and in the gross chromosomal rearrangements assay? We propose that one contribution to these intermediate phenotypes and to the somewhat reduced levels of RNA accumulation is a Ku-independent partial loss-of-function associated with introducing hairpin sequence at either position 446 or 1029, which is supported by phenotypes of the 3*ku site introduced at either location. This was unexpected, since integrating Est1p-binding sites previously (Zappulla and Cech 2004) did not cause this partial loss of TLC1 function or abundance of telomerase, and since RNA folding simulations using Mfold did not predict additional changes in secondary structure beyond those designed.

Furthermore, results from the de novo broken end-healing assay demonstrate that TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku sites are slow during the initial hours to add telomeric DNA to an introduced chromosome break. This result demonstrates that the kinetics of telomere addition is reduced when the Ku site is repositioned in the RNP. Slow kinetics of telomerase action when TLC1 has its Ku hairpin repositioned may also be responsible for the intermediate telomere length and degree of gross chromosomal rearrangements. There are alternative DNA repair mechanisms that can lead to GCRs (Pennaneach et al. 2006), and these may outcompete a less efficient telomerase. The decreased rate of telomere addition in the cases of telomerase with repositioned Ku may occur at the stage of telomerase recruitment to the broken DNA end or could also be caused by a decrease in the rate or processivity of telomerase elongation.

TLC1 alleles that retain the endogenous Ku site and also have an additional one at 446 or 1029 (see Fig. 1) lead to dysregulation of telomere length maintenance; hyperelongation of telomeres steadily continues over the course of a month of restreaking yeast colonies on solid medium (300 generations). There is also slightly increased TLC1+Ku RNA accumulation, but the increased amount of RNA, per se, is not the cause of the runaway telomeres, because doubling the level of wild-type TLC1 does not cause such an effect. Furthermore, the telomere lengthening is dependent on Ku protein being competent to bind RNA, based on our experiments using the yku80-135i allele. Additionally, we have shown that a triple point mutant Ku site hairpin, when added to wild-type TLC1 at either position, actually leads to telomere shortening, not lengthening. Thus, we conclude that it is the binding of additional Ku protein to TLC1 RNA that leads to progressive telomere hyperlengthening. The relatively high concentration of Ku in the nucleus is consistent with the model that TLC1+Ku alleles bind more Ku per RNA molecule than wild type. In fact, assessment of binding using disruption of telomeric silencing (DOT) assays (Singer and Gottschling 1994) further support this, as GAL-overproduced TLC1+Ku RNAs disrupt telomeric silencing more efficiently than wild-type TLC1 (Fig. 4C). Thus, if an additional Ku site in TLC1 leads to loading of up to two Ku heterodimers per telomerase (or alternatively doubles the chance that each telomerase RNP has at least one Ku70–80 heterodimer), then this may increase the rate of telomerase recruitment to telomeres. This could then lead to longer telomeres. However, it is also conceivable that Ku plays a role in telomerase processivity, as has been found recently for POT1-TPP1 and human telomerase (Wang et al. 2007); in this case, the increased number of Ku sites in TLC1 would lead to more extensive telomere addition at a stage after telomerase recruitment.

The observation that the two-Ku-site TLC1 RNAs support hyperextension of only a fraction of Y′ telomeres, and some of the non-Y′ telomeres more than others, is intriguing. Different non-Y′ telomeres were preferentially extended in independent experiments. Thus, it appears that telomere and/or telomerase composition or their nuclear location may determine which telomeres are hyperextended, rather than some difference in subtelomeric DNA sequence.

Because TLC1+Ku alleles cause an increase in telomere length regulation via the Ku pathway for telomerase recruitment to the telomere, we thought it could be possible that two Ku sites per RNA could potentially suppress the senescence phenotype of a tlc1 mutant deleted for the Est1p-binding site (tlc1Δbulge) (Seto et al. 2002). Thus, we cloned tlc1Δbulge+Ku@1029 and tlc1Δbulge+Ku@446 CEN plasmids and tested these for function in vivo in a tlc1Δ strain. Independently isolated transformants of each construct expressed RNAs of the expected size, and RNA abundance was 80% of wild type (Supplemental Fig. 7), but these cells still senesced due to ever-shortening telomeres. These results demonstrate that the gain-of-function provided by the two Ku sites was insufficient to overcome the absence of binding the essential Est1p subunit and support a model with functionally distinct roles for these telomerase subunits.

Our data suggest that telomerase with repositioned Ku sites performs better when extending native telomeres than broken chromosome ends. We propose that the reason for this may relate to the difference between these chromosome end substrates. At native telomeres, there are two well-characterized telomerase recruitment pathways, probably acting in parallel: the essential one is mediated by the telomeric DNA-binding protein Cdc13p and its TLC1-binding partner Est1p, while the other is mediated by the DNA- and TLC1-binding Ku heterodimer. At broken chromosome ends, it has been proposed that both of these pathways can facilitate telomerase recruitment (Myung et al. 2001; Bianchi et al. 2004; Fisher and Zakian 2005). However, one might expect that the role of the DNA end-binding Ku heterodimer is predominant, with the Cdc13-Est1 pathway probably being only responsible for recruiting telomerase when a significantly long and GT-rich ssDNA Cdc13p-binding consensus (GxGTx7) (Eldridge et al. 2006) is revealed by some processing at the break (Zhang and
Durocher 2010). Thus, at broken chromosome ends, it seems likely that Ku may often function independently of the Cdc13-Est1 pathway in telomerase recruitment, since it can bind quickly and may then protect the end from being resected by nucleases and it can then either facilitate non-homologous end-joining or recruit telomerase. We envision that broken end-bound Ku may have to coordinate telomerase activity on the end with some structural orientation requirements that do not exist at a native telomere, which has a 3’ overhang bound to Cdc13p and where other telomere-binding proteins are also present. Thus, with respect to the broken chromosome end as a substrate, there may be less flexibility tolerated in the telomerase RNP than at native telomeres.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

RNA-folding predictions

To aid our design of telomerase RNA alleles with repositioned Ku-binding sites, we utilized Mfold (v. 3.2) RNA folding software, which has previously been shown to provide useful predictions for folding of telomerase RNA from Saccharomyces species. To help preserve the correct folding of the 48-nt Ku-binding site when moved to new positions within TLC1 RNA, we inserted a 47-bp portion of the TLC1 gene at these positions (at the unique NcoI and BclI sites in TLC1), which includes additional paired nucleotides at the base of the 48-nt Ku-binding hairpin (see Results). The Mfold predictions for telomerase RNA are shown in Supplemental Figure 1.

Plasmids

The tlc1∆48 allele is encoded on yeast shuttle plasmid pDZ154, which was made by cutting pSD107 (TLC1 from BglII–PstI cloned into pRS314) with BsrGI and Ncol to insert a PCR fragment amplified from pRS306-tdlc1∆48 (Peterson et al. 2001). pKG210 is pDZ154 digested with BclI (position 1029) to insert a PCR product with 47-nt Ku hairpin sequence (from AATTTGTGG through CGTAAATT; position 262 through 335) amplified from pSD107. (Note that nucleotide position 1029, for example, is the same as 1033 described previously [Zappulla and Cech 2004]; it has been updated to reflect the new 5′-most nucleotide position of each restriction enzyme recognition site in TLC1.) Plasmid pKG212 is like pKG210 described above, except with the Ku site inserted at the Ncol site (position 446) instead of at the Bcll site. pKG211 and pKG213 are like pKG210 and pKG212, respectively, except that the starting plasmid used for the cloning was pSD107 (with wild-type TLC1) instead of pDZ154 (tlc1∆48). The tlc1∆48+Ku@1029 was harbored on pKG214 and was cloned by inserting two 74-nt Ku sites at Bcll site into pDZ154, with the first insert being in the forward direction and the second backward. This clone was identified by digestion with enzymes flanking the Bcll site, which liberated a fragment that demonstrated two inserts, and by sequencing (which, from both directions, only went about 9 nt into the inverted Ku site hairpin). The TLC1+3*Ku@1029 allele is present on plasmid pKG220 and was cloned like pKG211, except that the 74-nt hairpin PCR fragment inserted had the three point mutations previously reported (Peterson et al. 2001). The triple point mutation (U291A, U297G, U314G) insert was generated by PCR using two oligos, one of which was very long and had the three desired mutations within it (details of oligos are available upon request). The following plasmids correspond to pKG211, pKG212, and pKG213, respectively, except that each has a triple mutant hairpin instead of the wild-type one: pKG220, pKG228, and pKG222.

The high-copy TLC1 plasmid pKG237 that was used in Figure 5B contains TLC1 cloned from the BamHI–PstI site, before and after the gene, into pRS424 (2 μ, TRPI). The overexpression plasmids pTLClD and p(Ku hairpin), used in Figure 2 have been previously described as pTCG61 and pTCG-3X stem (Peterson et al. 2001). Galactose overexpression plasmids for TLC1, tlc1∆48+Ku@1029, tlc1∆48+Ku@446, and tlc1∆48 were created by replacing the BamHI–Stul fragment of pTLClD with a blunt Stul–Ndel fragment from pSD107, pKG210, or pKG212. The pop-in/pop-out plasmids pJA002 and pJA004 were made by replacing the NotI–Xhol fragment of pRS306 + TLC1 (Peterson et al. 2001) with a NotI–Xhol fragment from pKG210 or pKG212.

Yeast strains

Strain TCy43 (MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trpl-D1 his3-D200 leu2-D1 VR::ADE2-TEL adh4::URA3-TEL tcl1::LEU2 rad52:: LEU2 [pTLCl/LYS2/CECl]) was used for testing functionality of TLC1 alleles as shown in Figures 3–5. Strain UCC6078-1 and a yku80-135i derivative, MSy24, were used for the experiments shown in Figure 5A,B. MSy24 was constructed by cutting plasmid pRS305-yku80-135 with SmaI and transforming UCC6078-1 and plating on –Ura and then popping out the wild-type YKU80 allele by selection on SC + 5-FOA medium. Correct pop-out was screened for by yeast colony PCR using a variety of mutant–wild-type-specific primers. The wild-type TLC1 plasmid in strain UCC6078-1 marked with LYS2 was shuffled out by spontaneous loss and replaced with plasmid plTC11/UR3A (a gift from Amy J. Davis, University of Utah). Plasmid-shuffle was then performed using 5-FOA to counterselect this plasmid after transformation with mutant TLC1 alleles on pRS314-based plasmids with a TRP1 selectable marker.

Strain UCC3505 (Singer and Gottschling 1994) was used for telomeric silencing assays, while UCC5913 (Dieder and Gottschling 2001) and UCC5114 (Stellwagen et al. 2003) were used in the de novo telomere addition experiment, as were strains YJA012 and YJA014 that were created by two-step gene replacement of UCC6073 using plasmids pJA002 and pJA004. Strain UCC5114 (Stellwagen et al. 2003) was used in the GCR assay along with strains YED001, YED003, and YED005, in which tlc1∆48, tlc1∆48+Ku@446, and tlc1∆48+Ku@1029 alleles were integrated into BY4727 (Brachmann et al. 1998) by two-step gene replacement using plasmids pJA002 and pJA004, and URA3 was inserted distal to CAN1 by PCR-mediated gene disruption of the HXT13 locus.

To test phenotypes for each allele of TLC1 present on CEN plasmids, transformants were streaked on –Trp medium 10 times to assess growth and screen for senescence. In Southern blotting experiments showing 50–70 generations, cells were grown in liquid culture inoculated from a single colony off the first –Trp plate subsequent to the –Trp + α-aminoadipate used for shuffling
out the pTLC1(LYS2) wild-type cover plasmid. For Southern indicating 300-generation time courses, genomic DNA was isolated from 20-ml –Trp cultures each set from a single colony from the first, fourth, seventh, and tenth –Trp restreak plate after the TWC1 plasmid-shuffle.

**Telomeric silencing assay**

UCC3505 was transformed with the indicated TRP1-marked plasmids that drive the overexpression of TLC1 alleles via the GAL1 promoter. Liquid cultures of each strain were then grown, and 10-fold serial dilutions of each were applied to –Trp media containing 3% galactose to evaluate colony color and number and to –Trp –Ura media containing 3% galactose to monitor expression of the telomeric URA3 reporter gene.

**Yeast culturing for nucleic acid blots**

Southern blotting of yeast genomic DNA was performed as previously described (Friedman and Cech 1999; Zappulla et al. 2005). Briefly, in cases where 10-generation increments are shown, cells were passaged by serially diluting 20-ml saturated cultures 1028-fold (1 × 1010 or 10 generations) daily or, in all other cases, by setting cultures from serially restreaked plates, where each restreak was treated as 30 generations. Pelleted cells from cultures were frozen at –80°C, and genomic DNA was then isolated using the Gentra Puregene system, quantitated by running a small amount on an ethidium bromide-stained agarose gel and subjecting it to Imagequant software analysis after direct scanning on a PhosphorImager (GE Healthcare). Equal amounts of DNA were then digested for at least 2 h with XhoI and electrophoresed through a 1.1% agarose gel for 17 h at 70 V, nicked, denatured, and transferred to Hybond-N+ Nylon membrane (GE). After cross-linking, DNA was hybridized to a telomeric probe (both G- and C-rich strands) as well as a 1627-bp Chr IV fragment (Friedman and Cech 1999), and then scanned on a PhosphorImager and analyzed using Imagequant TL Software (GE Healthcare).

Northern blotting was performed from electrophoresis using 1.5-mm thick vertical polyacrylamide gels as described (Zappulla et al. 2005), except that probes were used for TLC1 (the 1012-bp fragment from StuI–NsiI) and U1 snRNA (Friedman and Cech 1999). Hybridization was performed with 1–5 × 106 cpm/mL of U1 probe and 1 × 108 cpm/mL for the less abundant TLC1 RNA.

**Quantification of telomere length**

Given that just more than half of yeast telomeres (17 of 32 in a haploid) contain subtelomeric Y′ sequence elements and there is an XhoI restriction site in this region, this group of telomeres is useful for monitoring telomere length by XhoI digestion and Southern blotting with a telomeric probe. However, the distribution of these telomeric restriction fragments is not Gaussian even in wild-type cells and, therefore, using the peak of the signal (i.e., the statistical mode) over this range on the blot is also not the average and in many situations can be highly inaccurate. Therefore, we developed a weighted average mobility (WAM) assay for Y′ telomere lengths so that we could determine the telomere length supported by the TLC1 alleles with repositioned Ku sites. We subjected 10 telomere Southern blots to our WAM analysis method, summarized as follows. Using Imagequant 5.2 (GE Healthcare), an identical line was laid over the entirety of each lane of the blots (including a polynucleotide kinase-labeled φX174-HaeIII and a λ–HindIII marker, or in a few cases, a 1-kb ladder). The lines were aligned horizontally, then were all selected and raw data extracted by copying and pasting them to a spreadsheet. Next, the relative mobility was normalized across the lanes by using the Pixel Inspector in Imagequant 5.2 to identify a position precisely below the y-coordinate of the chromosome IV (Chr IV) nontelomeric control fragment by centering the top of the ‘‘+’’ crosshair with the bottom edge of this sharp band. This was repeated evenly for all lanes. The position just below this 1627-bp band was used as the upper boundary of the Y′ fragments. To define the minimal boundary, a y-axis value for a position further down the gel than the Y′ signal from a tcl1 mutant was identified with the Pixel Inspector (typically ~900 bp). The relative fluorescent units (rfu) for each y-axis set of pixels through the range of the Y′ fragment region (or ~900–1600 bp), normalized to the relative mobility of the nontelomeric fragment, was then copied to a new spreadsheet for each lane. At this point, the minimal rfu value within the range (which toward the bottom of the gel contains no telomere signal) was set as background (bkg) and subtracted from rfu data for each y-axis pixel position. The background-subtracted telomeric fragment intensity was then multiplied by the pixel number (1 pixel = 0.1 mm) through the range, and these products were summed and then divided by the sum of the background-subtracted rfu alone to generate the weighted average relative mobility, τw, as summarized by the following equation:

\[
\tau_w = \frac{\sum_{y \approx 900 \text{ bp}}^{y \approx 1600 \text{ bp}} y (\text{rfu} - \text{bkg})}{\sum_{y \approx 900 \text{ bp}}^{y \approx 1600 \text{ bp}} (\text{rfu} - \text{bkg})}
\]

This τw relative mobility weighted mean value for Y′ telomeres in each lane was then converted to base pairs using an exponential formula expression for the relative mobility of prelabeled DNA molecular mass markers using Kaleidagraph software (Synergy; R² fits ranged from 0.998 to 1.0 for all 10 blots). Since the marker was always loaded adjacent to the left-most lane of each gel, any distortions in relative mobility across the gel are already normalized, since τw for Y′ telomeres is already adjusted to the mobility of the nontelomeric 1627-bp chromosome IV relative mobility control fragment.

Once the WAM assay-derived Y′ telomere length was established for each generational time point, those for each group were averaged. The same generations were studied in a given experiment except for tcl1Δ alleles, which senesced before 100 generations. Experiments typically included three or four time points between 50 and 300 generations; i.e., cells from a liquid culture set from a TLC1 plasmid allele transformant struck out sequentially on solid medium 10 times, based on an estimate of 30 generations per colony.

**De novo telomere addition assay**

De novo telomere addition assays were performed as previously described (Dieder and Gottschling 1999). In brief, log-phase cells
were arrested with nocodazole and then shifted into YEP + 3% galactose + nocodazole. Time points were collected hourly. DNA was harvested, digested with SpeI, and analyzed by Southern blot using an ADE2 probe.

**Gross chromosomal rearrangements assays**

GCR assays were performed essentially as described (Stellwagen et al. 2003). Log-phase yeast were treated with 0.04% MMS for an hour to induce DNA damage, allowed to recover, and then plated on media containing canavanine and 5-FOA and on YEPD to determine GCR frequencies.

**SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIAL**

Supplemental material can be found at http://www.rnajournal.org.
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